INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK FOR REVIEW OF LOCAL MITIGATION PLANS

Attached is a Plan Review Crosswalk based on the ***Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance***, published by FEMA in July, 2008. This Plan Review Crosswalk is consistent with the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act* (Stafford Act), as amended by Section 322 of the *Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000* (P.L. 106-390), the *National Flood Insurance Act of 1968,* as amended by the *National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004* (P.L. 108-264) and *44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201 – Mitigation Planning,* inclusive of all amendments through October 31, 2007.

SCORING SYSTEM
N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.
S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of a requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a summary score of "Satisfactory." A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing.

When reviewing single jurisdiction plans, reviewers may want to put an N/A in the boxes for multi-jurisdictional plan requirements. When reviewing multi-jurisdictional plans, however, all elements apply. States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements. Optional matrices for assisting in the review of sections on profiling hazards, assessing vulnerability, and identifying and analyzing mitigation actions are found at the end of the Plan Review Crosswalk.

The example below illustrates how to fill in the Plan Review Crosswalk.:

Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): *[The risk assessment **shall** include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description **shall** include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan include an **overall summary** description of the jurisdiction's **vulnerability** to each hazard?	Section II, pp. 4-10	The plan describes the types of assets that are located within geographically defined hazard areas as well as those that would be affected by winter storms.		☐
B. Does the **new or updated** plan address the **impact** of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Section II, pp. 10-20	The plan does not address the impact of two of the five hazards addressed in the plan. **Required Revisions:** • Include a description of the impact of floods and earthquakes on the assets. **Recommended Revisions:** This information can be presented in terms of dollar value or percentages of damage.	☐	
		SUMMARY SCORE	☐	

Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Jurisdiction: Kauai County	**Title of Plan:** County of Kaua'i Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan	**Date of Plan:**
Local Point of Contact: Mark Marshall	**Address:** Kauai Civil Defense Agency 3990 Kaana Street Suite 100 Lihue, HI 96766	
Title: Emergency Management Coordinator		
Agency: Kauai Civil Defense		
Phone Number: 808-241-1800	**E-Mail:** mmarshall@kauai.gov	

State Reviewer:	**Title:**	**Date:**

FEMA Reviewer:	**Title:**	**Date:**
Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approved		
Date Approved		

	dFIRM in plan?	**Adopted**	**Participating**	**Risk Assessment**	**Mitigation Action**	**NFIP Status**			
Jurisdiction:	**Y/N**	**Y/N**	**Y/N**	**Y/N**	**Y/N**	**Y/N**	**N**	**N/A**	**CRS Class**
1. Kauai County	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
2.									
3. [ATTACH PAGE(S) WITH ADDITIONAL JURISDICTIONS]									

*** Notes: Y = Participating N = Not Participating N/A = Not Mapped**

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY

The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not been formally adopted. Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a **"Needs Improvement"** score.

Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)	**NOT MET**	**MET**
1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) OR		
2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5) **AND**		
3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3)		

Planning Process	**N**	**S**
4. Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1)		

Risk Assessment	**N**	**S**
5. Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)		
6. Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)		
7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)		
8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties. §201.6(c)(2)(ii)		
9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures, Infrastructure, and Critical Facilities: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)		
10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)		
11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)		
12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii)		

*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Local Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements.

SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Mitigation Strategy	**N**	**S**
13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)		
14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)		
15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: NFIP Compliance. §201.6(c)(3)(ii)		
16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iii)		
17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iv)		

Plan Maintenance Process	**N**	**S**
18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)		
19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)		
20. Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)		

Additional State Requirements*	**N**	**S**
Insert State Requirement		
Insert State Requirement		
Insert State Requirement		

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS

PLAN NOT APPROVED	
See Reviewer's Comments	
PLAN APPROVED	

PREREQUISITE(S)

1. Adoption by the Local Governing Body

***Requirement §201.6(c)(5)*:** *[The local hazard mitigation plan **shall** include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE NOT MET	SCORE MET
A. Has the local governing body adopted **new or updated** plan? Language prepared, waiting final signature	Front Section, Exec Order, before Ch 1 tab			
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	Yes, Front Section			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

2. Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

***Requirement §201.6(c)(5)*:** *For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan **must** document that it has been formally adopted.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE NOT MET	SCORE MET
A. Does the **new or updated** plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?	Yes, Kauai County			
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Mayor and approval by disaster mgt committee			
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?	Yes			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

3. Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

***Requirement §201.6(a)(3)*:** *Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process … Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE NOT MET	SCORE MET

A. Does the **new or updated** plan describe **how** each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?	Yes, description about participation in plan update, Chapter 2			
B. Does the updated plan identify all participating jurisdictions, including new, continuing, and the jurisdictions that no longer participate in the plan?	Yes, Chapter 2			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

PLANNING PROCESS: *§201.6(b): An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan.*

4. Documentation of the Planning Process

Requirement §201.6(b): *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process* ***shall*** *include:*
(1) An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;
(2) An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and
(3) Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.

Requirement §201.6(c)(1): *[The plan* ***shall*** *document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Chapter 2; Executive Summary, p. vi			
B. Does the **new or updated** plan indicate who was involved in the **current** planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, *etc*.?)	Yes, lists all agencies and organizations involved in update of the plan, Section 2.2.1, p. 2-4 to 2-8			
C. Does the **new or updated** plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Yes, ongoing throughout updated process, Section 2.2, Ch 2 Appendix 1 &2; Ch 6 Table 6-4			
D. **Does the new or updated plan discuss the** opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Yes, ongoing throughout updated process, Section 2.2, Ch 2 Appendix 1 & 2			

4. Documentation of the Planning Process

Requirement §201.6(b): *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process* ***shall*** *include:*
(1) An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;
(2) An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and
(3) Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.

Requirement §201.6(c)(1): *[The plan* ***shall*** *document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

	Location in the		**SCORE**	
E. Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Yes, described in intro to Chapters 3 to 5, and in Executive Summary			
F. Does the updated plan document how the planning team reviewed and analyzed each section of the plan and whether each section was revised as part of the update process?	Yes, Executive Summary described in detail about changes made in plan; Chapter 2 on planning process further describes details of the UPDATE planning process			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

RISK ASSESSMENT: *§201.6(c)(2): The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.*

5. Identifying Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment* ***shall*** *include a] description of the type … of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	**Reviewer's Comments**	**SCORE**	
			N	**S**
A. Does the **new or updated** plan include **a description** of the types of **all natural hazards** that affect the jurisdiction?	Yes, Chapter 3 goes through each hazard, in parallel to the State Plan			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

6. Profiling Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment **shall** include a] description of the … location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan **shall** include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the risk assessment identify the **location** (*i.e.*, geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Chapter 3, Risk maps in Chapter 3 Appendix			
B. Does the risk assessment identify the **extent** (*i.e.*, magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Chapter 3			
C. Does the plan provide information on **previous occurrences** of each hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Chapter 3, historical occurrence of hazards discussed.			
D. Does the plan include the **probability of future events** (*i.e.*, chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?	Yes, Chapter 3 and Chapter 5			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

7. Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): *[The risk assessment **shall** include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description **shall** include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan include an **overall summary** description of the jurisdiction's **vulnerability** to each hazard?	Yes, Chapter 5 assesses hazard layers with assets to look at vulnerability			
B. Does the **new or updated** plan address the **impact** of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Yes, Chapter 3 and 5, including historic losses			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

8. Assessing Vulnerability: Addressing Repetitive Loss Properties

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): *[The risk assessment] **must** also address National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insured structures that have been repetitively damaged floods.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of *repetitive loss properties* located in the identified hazard areas?	Yes, Chapter 5, p.5-6	***Note: This requirement becomes effective for all local plans approved after October 1, 2008.***		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

9. Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A): *The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area … .*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan describe vulnerability in terms of the **types and numbers** of **existing** buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Yes, Chapter 5, table 5-10, p.5-12 - 5-14 Chapter 5, Appendix 3	***Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.***		
B. Does the **new or updated** plan describe vulnerability in	Future buildings	***Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will***		

terms of the **types and numbers** of **future** buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	not identified; economic crisis has stalled plans	***not preclude the plan from passing.***		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

10. Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B): *[The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate … .*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan estimate **potential dollar losses** to vulnerable structures?	Yes, Chapter 5 Table 5-10	***Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.***		
B. Does the **new or updated** plan describe the **methodology** used to prepare the estimate?	Yes, Ch 5 for each hazard	***Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.***		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

11. Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C): *[The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan describe land uses and development trends?	Yes Ch 4, Ch 6 sec 6.14	***Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.***		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

12. Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii): *For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment **must** assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.*

Element	**Location in the Plan** (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	N/A			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

MITIGATION STRATEGY: *§201.6(c)(3): The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction's blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.*

13. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i): *[The hazard mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A Does the **new or updated** plan include a description of mitigation **goals** to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards?	Ch 7 sec 7.1; Executive Summary p. x-xi			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

14. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii): *[The mitigation strategy **shall** include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan identify and analyze a **comprehensive range** of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Yes, Chapter 7			
B Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on **new** buildings and infrastructure?	Updated building codes, Chapter 6			
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on **existing** buildings and infrastructure?	Chapters 6 and 7			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

15. Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Compliance

Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(ii): *[The mitigation strategy] must also address the jurisdiction's participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the new or updated plan describe the jurisdiction (s) participation in the NFIP?	Yes, chapter 3, Ch 5, ch 6	***Note: This requirement becomes effective for all local mitigation plans approved after October 1, 2008.***		
B. Does the mitigation strategy identify, analyze and prioritize actions related to continued compliance with the NFIP?	Yes, chapter 6 section 6.2.3 , Ch 7 p 7-11	***Note: This requirement becomes effective for all local mitigation plans approved after October 1, 2008.***		
		SUMMARY SCORE		

16. Implementation of Mitigation Actions

Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii): *[The mitigation strategy section **shall** include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization **shall** include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** mitigation strategy include how the actions are **prioritized**? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Exec Summary, p.ix; Ch 7 sec 7.4			
B. Does the **new or updated** mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered, including the responsible department , existing and potential resources and the timeframe to complete each action?	Ch 7 section 7.5, Ch 7 Appendix 1			
C. Does the **new or updated** prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a **cost-benefit review** to maximize benefits?	Yes, but cursory CBA until funding availability, Ch 7, sec 7.4 and 7.5, Ch 7 Appendix 1			
D. Does the **updated** plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged (*i.e.*, deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Ch 6 updates by hazard and Ch 7 sec 7.3			

SUMMARY SCORE		

17. Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv): *For multi-jurisdictional plans, there* ***must*** *be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A Does the **new or updated** plan include identifiable **action items** for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?	Ch 7, Action Items Table 7-1; policies in section 7.3			
B. Does the **updated** plan identify the completed, deleted or deferred mitigation actions as a benchmark for progress, and if activities are unchanged (*i.e.*, deferred), does the updated plan describe why no changes occurred?	Ch 7, Action Items Table 7-1; policies in section 7.3			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

18. Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i): *[The plan maintenance process* ***shall*** *include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan describe the method and schedule for **monitoring** the plan, including the responsible department?	Ch 8 sec 8.1			
B. Does the **new or updated** plan describe the method and schedule for **evaluating** the plan, including how, when and by whom (*i.e.* the responsible department)?	Ch 8 sec 8.1 and 8.2			
C. Does the **new or updated** plan describe the method and schedule for **updating** the plan within the five-year cycle?	Ch 8 sec 8.1 and 8.2			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

19. Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii): *[The plan **shall** include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the mitigation requirements of the mitigation plan?	Chapter 6; Ch 6 Appendix 5; Ch 8, sec 8.2			
B. Does the **new or updated** plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (*e.g.*, risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Ch 8, sec 8.2			
C. Does the **updated** plan explain how the local government incorporated the mitigation strategy and other information contained in the plan (*e.g.*, risk assessment) into other planning mechanisms, when appropriate?	Ch 2, Ch 6, Ch 8 , Exec Summ			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

Continued Public Involvement

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii): *[The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE N	SCORE S
A. Does the **new or updated** plan explain how **continued public participation** will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Ch 2, Ch 8, Exec Summ			
		SUMMARY SCORE		

MATRIX A: PROFILING HAZARDS

This matrix can assist FEMA and the State in scoring each hazard. Local jurisdictions may find the matrix useful to ensure that their plan addresses each natural hazard that can affect the jurisdiction. **Completing the matrix is not required**.

Note: First, check which hazards are identified in requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i). Then, place a checkmark in either the N or S box for each *applicable* ***hazard. An "N" for any element of any identified hazard will result in a "Needs Improvement" score for this requirement. List the hazard and its related shortcoming in the comments section of the Plan Review Crosswalk.***

Hazard Type	Hazards Identified Per Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i)	A. Location		B. Extent		C. Previous Occurrences		D. Probability of Future Events	
	Yes	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S
Avalanche	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Erosion	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Storm	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Dam Failure	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Drought	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Earthquake	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Expansive Soils	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Levee Failure	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Flood	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hailstorm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hurricane	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Land Subsidence	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Landslide	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Severe Winter Storm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tornado	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tsunami	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Volcano	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Wildfire	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Windstorm	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other ____climate change	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____public health/ pandemic	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other human -induced___	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

To check boxes, double click on the box and change the default value to "checked."

Legend:

§201.6(c)(2)(i) Profiling Hazards
A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (*i.e.*, geographic area affected) of each hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?
B. Does the risk assessment identify the extent (*i.e.*, magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?

C. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each natural hazard addressed in the **new or updated** plan?
D. Does the plan include the probability of future events (*i.e.*, chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the plan?

MATRIX B: ASSESSING VULNERABILITY

This matrix can assist FEMA and the State in scoring each hazard. Local jurisdictions may find the matrix useful to ensure that the new or updated plan addresses each requirement. **Completing the matrix is not required**.

Note: First, check which hazards are identified in requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i). Then, place a checkmark in either the N or S box for each ***applicable*** *hazard. An "N" for any element of any identified hazard will result in a "Needs Improvement" score for this requirement. List the hazard and its related shortcoming in the comments section of the Plan Review Crosswalk. Note: Receiving an N in the shaded columns will not preclude the plan from passing.*

Hazard Type	Hazards Identified Per Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i)	§201.6(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability: Overview					§201.6(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures				§201.6(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses							
		A. Overall Summary Description of Vulnerability		B. Hazard Impact			A. Types and Number of Existing Structures in Hazard Area (Estimate)		B. Types and Number of Future Structures in Hazard Area (Estimate)		A. Loss Estimate		B. Methodology	
	Yes	N	S	N	S		N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S
Avalanche	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Erosion	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Storm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Dam Failure	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Drought	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Earthquake	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Expansive Soils	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Levee Failure	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Flood	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hailstorm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Hurricane	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Land Subsidence	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Landslide	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Severe Winter Storm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tornado	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Tsunami	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Volcano	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Wildfire	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Windstorm	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

To check boxes, double click on the box and change the default value to "checked."

Legend:

§201.6(c)(2)(ii) Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

A. Does the **new or updated** plan include an overall summary description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to each hazard?
B. Does the **new or updated** plan address the impact of each hazard on the jurisdiction?

§201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A) Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

B. Does the **new or updated** plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?

§201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B) Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

A. Does the **new or updated** plan estimate potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures?

A. Does the **new or updated** plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?

B. Does the **new or updated** plan describe the methodology used to prepare the estimate?

MATRIX C: IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF MITIGATION ACTIONS

This matrix can assist FEMA and the State in scoring each hazard. Local jurisdictions may find the matrix useful to ensure consideration of a range of actions for each hazard. **Completing the matrix is not required.**

Note: First, check which hazards are identified in requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i). Then, place a checkmark in either the N or S box for each ***applicable*** *hazard. An "N" for any identified hazard will result in a "Needs Improvement" score for this requirement. List the hazard and its related shortcoming in the comments section of the Plan Review Crosswalk.*

Hazard Type	Hazards Identified Per Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i)	A. Comprehensive Range of Actions and Projects	
	Yes	N	S
Avalanche	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Erosion	☐	☐	☐
Coastal Storm	☐	☐	☐
Dam Failure	☐	☐	☐
Drought	☐	☐	☐
Earthquake	☐	☐	☐
Expansive Soils	☐	☐	☐
Levee Failure	☐	☐	☐
Flood	☐	☐	☐
Hailstorm	☐	☐	☐
Hurricane	☐	☐	☐
Land Subsidence	☐	☐	☐
Landslide	☐	☐	☐
Severe Winter Storm	☐	☐	☐
Tornado	☐	☐	☐
Tsunami	☐	☐	☐
Volcano	☐	☐	☐
Wildfire	☐	☐	☐
Windstorm	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐
Other _____	☐	☐	☐

To check boxes, double click on the box and change the default value to "checked."

Legend:
§201.6(c)(3)(ii) Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions
A. Does the **new or updated** plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?