The regular meeting of the Planning Commission Subdivision Committee of the County of Kaua‘i was called to order at 8:35 a.m., at the Līhu'e Civic Center, Mo‘ikeha Building, in meeting room 2A-2B. The following Commissioners were present:

Mr. Roy Ho
Ms. Donna Apisa
Mr. Kimo Keawe

The following staff members were present: Planning Department – Dale Cua, and Planning Commission Secretary Leslie Takasaki; Office of the County Attorney – Deputy County Attorney Nicholas Courson; Office of Boards and Commissions – Administrative Specialist Anela Segreti, Commission Support Clerk Arleen Kuwamura

CALL TO ORDER

Subdivision Committee Chair Ho called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m.

ROLL CALL

Chair Ho: Good Morning everyone welcome to our Subdivision meeting. Mr. Cua Could you please start us off with our roll call?

Staff Planner Dale Cua: Good morning Chair. Chair Ho.

Chair Ho: Here.

Mr. Cua: Commissioner Keawe.

Mr. Keawe: Here.

Mr. Cua: Commissioner Apisa.

Ms. Apisa: Here.

Mr. Cua: We have three members present.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Approval of the Agenda.

Ms. Apisa: You ready for a motion?
Mr. Cua: Yes please.

Ms. Apisa: I move that we approve the agenda as submitted.

Mr. Ho: One moment. Were you going to reverse something in the Agenda?

Mr. Cua: Oh yes, I'm sorry. Actually, we do have the subdivision agreement to allow them more time. It's all good. We were going to move them for more time but now have the documents in place.

Mr. Ho: So the agenda in place is good?

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Mr. Keawe: I second the motion.

Chair Ho: The motion on the floor is to approve the agenda as written. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Mr. Cua: Okay.

MINUTES of the meeting(s) of the Subdivision Committee

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Item D, Minutes of the Meeting of the Subdivision Committee. What we have is the Minutes of April 9, 2019. If we could get a motion please?

Mr. Keawe: I move to approve the minutes of April 9, 2019.

Ms. Apisa: Seconded.

Chair Ho: The motion on the floor is to approve the Minutes of the Meeting of April 9th. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

HEARINGS AND PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Hearings and Public Comment. Individuals may orally testify on this items on this agenda during Public Comment Period. Testimony may be accepted when the agenda item is taken up by the Planning Commission at the discretion of the Chair. Testifiers shall limit their testimony to three (3) minutes, but may be extended longer at the discretion of the Chair. Written testimony is also accepted. Department requires original twelve (12) copies of the testimony. So, at this time if there is anyone from the public who would like to comment on any of the agenda items, they are welcome to do so at this time.

Chair Ho: Mr. Cua, could we do Receipt of Item please?
 RECEIPT OF ITEMS FOR THE RECORD (None)

Mr. Cua: I’m sorry, yes. Item E, on the Agenda Receipt of Items for the Record. At this time we have none. Now going back to Item F, Hearings and Public Comment.

Chair Ho: Just a moment please, Mr. Cua. Does the Attorney have something to interject at this time?

County Attorney Nicholas Courson: No.

Chair Ho: Okay. Proceed please.

HEARINGS AND PUBLIC COMMENT (Cont.)

Mr. Cua: Okay. At this time we welcome any testimony for any Items on the agenda. Seeing none at this time.

GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS (None)

Mr. Cua: We are moving on to General Business Matters. We have none.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (None)

Mr. Cua: Item H, Unfinished Business. We have none.

NEW BUSINESS (For Action)

Tentative Subdivision Map Approval.


Mr. Cua: Item I, New Business for Action. Item 1.a., for tentative subdivision map approval -Subdivision Application No. S-2019-15: Matthew Nelson, Stephanie & Katherine Skow; proposed 2-lot Subdivision: TMK: (4) 4-7-006: parcel 019; Kealia, Kaua’i

Mr. Cua read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Cua: The Department has received all of the Agencies Requirements as a result we are recommending tentative subdivision map approval of this application.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone in the audience wishing to testify for Matthew Nelson, Stephanie and Katherine Skow?
Attorney Mr. Ian Jung: Good afternoon Subdivision Committee. Chair. Ian Jung on behalf of the applicants. Mr. Nelson, Dr. Skow, and Katherine Skow. So just to summarize what Dale said, we are trying to resolve a dispute that was formed between the Kealia Kai Association and the owner of this particular lot. Essentially, what’s happening is the original lot-18, was a part of the old Kamole Road Subdivision and so we are trying to restore those original boundaries when it was pulled in under the 2012 subdivision to be a part of Kealia Kai. But the Boards position was there’s no proper authorization for that, so we are trying to just restore the boundary lines. I do have two (2) comments on the subdivision reports to clarify. We’d like pursuant to code section 9-4.3, we’d like to modify the requirements and do no curbs, gutters, and sidewalks on this particular subdivision. As many of you know, now this issue of the curbs, gutters, and sidewalks is been kind of in play on all new Subdivisions. But in this particular case, the standard is whether or not it’s feasible or unnecessary. In this case, it’s really unnecessary because the older Kamole Road, has no sidewalks, curbs, or gutters, and has an older kind of grass swale, kind of set-up. And based on the fact we are just trying to restore the old boundary line. I feel its infeasible and unnecessary request at this time. So if the Commission is willing, we’d like to strike Condition’s 1.D, and E., D, has to do with the actual requirements for the curbs and gutters, and sidewalks and then 1.E, is the plan sets that go along with it.

Mr. Keawe: I have a question. You know there are sidewalks anywhere in that subdivision?

Mr. Ian Jung: No. On Kealia Kai there are, so on lot-18-a-b, I’m sorry 18-a-2, there are requirements for roads and sidewalks. But not in trying to restore 18-a-1 on the map that’s proposed, that’s the old lot-18, which comes off Kuhio Highway into Kamole Road, which kind of a 200 yd. is run. And so really, if you put curbs, gutters, and sidewalks it would just be just one stretch of about 60 ft. and then nowhere else; there would be no connectivity.

Mr. Keawe: Getting back to my original question. So there are no sidewalks in the old section?

Mr. Ian Jung: No.

Mr. Keawe: Alright. And basically, they were not required to put them in initially when it was subdivided?

Mr. Ian Jung: Right. Cause this new requirement was requirement coming out of 2012. When this new-

Mr. Keawe: Okay. Prior to 2012 that was fine?

Mr. Ian Jung: Right. I think this sold subdivision was in 1968.

Mr. Keawe: 1968?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes. Years ago.

Mr. Keawe: I thought it was still in sugar cane back then in 1968.
Mr. Ian Jung: It probably when they plotted it actually.

Mr. Keawe: Alright. A couple more questions. Currently, on these two (2) lots. What is on there? What is built on those two (2) lots?

Mr. Ian Jung: So on lot-8, what’s going to be lot 18-a-1, there is a house that is under construction, under permit and it’s just a single family residents. And it’s a relatively small lot, I mean its 6000sq. ft. so the house is relatively small. And so there is just one single family residents. On lot, what’s proposed is lot-18-a-2, there is nothing, there is some coconut trees and some landscaping equipment that was stored on the property.

Mr. Keawe: So we are currently just talking about one (1) dwelling that is currently being built or modified or whatever?

Mr. Ian Jung: Correct.

Mr. Keawe: Even after it’s subdivided and that type of thing? Obviously, in the future they may decide to do something, but currently, I’m just asking about current conditions?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes, so current conditions is that there is one (1) house that’s under construction on lot 18-a-1. On 18-a-2, there is nothing and nothing planned in the immediate future. But as noted in the density table in the subdivision report, it will have one (1) dwelling unit right to that.

Mr. Keawe: Yes, you have listed the former lot density and that type of thing.

Mr. Ian Jung: The idea was to make sure the density was spread based on the original approvals for the Kealia Kai subdivision, which was one of the origins of the dispute. One of the lots had two (2) dwelling units assigned to it, they transferred it, and now, so we are just trying to correct it to make sure that not just the CZR’s but the Planning Department knows which lots gets which density to avoid any future disputes.

Mr. Keawe: Again, this agreement on the subdivision lots is in conjunction with the Kealia Home Owners Association?

Mr. Ian Jung: Correct. We have a settlement agreement that was entered into which we would go this course of action to either subdivide this property if this was allowed by the Planning Commission or CPR’d. But now we are striving for on subdivision so we are going with that option.

Mr. Keawe: Okay.

Mr. Ian Jung: And then the second request if you want to do a cumulative motion on it. Is on condition 2. There’s...if you look on the subdivision map for lot -18-a-1, there is actually a County of Kauai Department of Water, water meter already allocated to that. So we would ask that condition 2 be further refined to only apply to lot-18-a-2. Because lot-18-a-1 has meter No. 2880442 which is the Department of Water meter.
Chair Ho: Would your deed restrictions include not building ADU’S and ARU’S?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes. That’s another issue actually that Dale and I worked out. So the deed restriction would restrict ADU’S on lot-18-a-1, because on lot-18-a-2 that is Ag. So under current rules you can’t get any new ADU’S on Ag. or ARU’S. So we would have no ADU restriction on lot 18-a-1, but the house is designed to maximize coverage anyways, so there wouldn’t be the possibility of doing an ADU or ARU for that matter.

Chair Ho: Would you...you were asking to eliminate curbs and sidewalks there? Would your client or you be receptive to a dedicated a reserve whether than do the actual side walk?

Mr. Ian Jung: There is a road widening reserve already required for Kamole Road for 2 ft. But the house was designed with the 10 ft. setbacks already so it would be a little problematic to try and do an additional reserve for sidewalks there.

Chair Ho: Oh I see. Because of the setback you would not meet the 10 ft. requirement?

Mr. Ian Jung: Right. Yes.

Chair Ho: Would you meet it now with the 2 ft. setback?

Mr. Ian Jung: That’s for the road widening reserve yes.

Chair Ho: You would meet the road widening?

Mr. Ian Jung: So I think it’s setback about 15ft. Ok so that would be Dennis Esaki who’s the surveyor on record that pointed that out, lot-18-a-2 would have and they have access coming off the internal Kealia Kai Roads, they actually have a 100 ft. setback line from the Kuhio Highway. So technically lot-18-a-1, would have a further restriction then lot-18-a-2.

Chair Ho: What would be that restriction again?

Mr. Ian Jung: The greater setback.

Chair Ho: The greater setback?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes. Because that’s the Ag. Lot, right?

Chair Ho: The Ag. Lot?

Mr. Ian Jung: It’s confusing here because you have one (1) Ag. Lot and one (1) residential R6 lot. So there is two different standards being applied to it. But any future construction on the Ag. Lot would be setback 100 ft. vs the R-6 section, that would be the 10 ft. setback requirement.

Chair Ho: Which is the Ag. Lot?
Mr. Ian Jung: 18a-2.

Chair Ho: A-2? So a-1 you wouldn’t have enough setback for sidewalks?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes, correct. Because with the 2ft. you can see... if you look on the map there’s that hashed dash line that goes along the front boundary line. And then you can see the footprint of the building under construction and you can see where it is setback about 15ft. from the boundary line. So what’s standard sidewalks now, 14 ft. Dale?

Mr. Cua: It depends on the road profile.

Mr. Ian Jung: Okay, yes. So the width, if it’s not a multi-purpose path than it’s usually 10 ft. But sidewalks are usually 4ft. so it wouldn’t fit.

Mr. Cua: Yes. Actually I think the new standard that Public Works has adopted is sidewalks are at least 6 ft. wide.

Chair Ho: Ok. I believe before us we have two (2) conditions we have to rule on. One would be to eliminate the verbiage of sidewalks and curbs and the other would be concerning the water meter for lot-18-a-1-

Mr. Ian Jung: 18-a-2.

Chair Ho: A-2?

Mr. Ian Jung: Yes. So that condition would apply to lot-18-a-2.

Mr. Cua: So Commissioners, just for clarification the actions required involving this subdivision application would be two (2) items. It would be to approve a modification of requirement, to eliminate the requirement for curbs, gutters, and sidewalks that would essentially eliminate Conditions 1D and E, of the subdivision report. And the second action would be to approve the tentative subdivision map approval as amended. And the amendment would involve condition 2a, to include verbiage affecting lot-18-a-2.

Mr. Keawe: A-what?

Mr. Cua: A – 2. Which is essentially the Ag. Lot.

Mr. Keawe: Okay. And the first one, was the lot number?

Mr. Cua: The residential zone property would be A-1.

Mr. Keawe: 18-a-1?

Mr. Cua: Yes.
Chair Ho: Commissioners, let's just do one at a time.

Mr. Cua: Right. So the first action would be a modification of requirement to eliminate curbs, gutters, and sidewalks.

Chair Ho: I need motion to move if you're in agreement with it?

Mr. Keawe: Yes. I move to approve the modification for lot-18-a-1, to eliminate sidewalks and curbs.

Ms. Apisa: I seconded.

Chair Ho: The motion before us is to eliminate conditions 1.d and 1.e. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0. Next amendment please.

Mr. Cua: So the next action would be tentative approval as amended.

Chair Ho: Motion carries. I'm sorry, motion carries. Next item please.

Ms. Apisa: Dale give us some little briefing on the water meter.

Mr. Cua: Sure. So the next action would be for tentative subdivision map approval as amended and the amendment would involve condition, revision to condition 2-a, to included language that would affect lot-18-a-2.

Ms. Apisa: Regarding water meter?

Mr. Cua: Yes, regarding the water meter.

Chair Ho: Dale I think the clarification on that would be we're doing the water meter and not the tentative map approval?

Mr. Cua: Well as part of the tentative map approval will be revising that condition, yeah?

Chair Ho: Attorney.

County Attorney Mr. Nicholas Courson: I think the way you’ve teed this up, because you did the one as a separate adjustment. I would do the second one as a separate adjustment and then once you’ve got those two adjustments do the map as adjusted. I think would be your cleanest record.

Mr. Cua: So based on that, the next motion would be to amend condition 2-a.

Ms. Apisa: I will make a motion to amend condition No. 2-a as it pertains to the water meter for lot-18-a-2.
Mr. Keawe: Seconded.

Chair Ho: The motion is 18- water meter 18-a-2. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0. Now the final motion for-

Mr. Cua: Yes. So the final motion would be to grant tentative subdivision map approval as amended.

Mr. Keawe: I move to grant tentative subdivision approval as amended.

Ms. Apisa: Seconded.

Chair Ho: The motion before us is tentative map approval as amended for Matthew Nelson, Stephanie & Kathrine Skow. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Mr. Ian Jung: Alright, thank you Commissioners. Sorry to make that one a little complicated.

Chair Ho: Come with something easier.

Ms. Apisa: If we needed a Second on the last one, I would second it. I’m not sure we did.

Mr. Keawe: Okay.

NEW BUSINESS (Cont)

Tentative Subdivision Map Approval.

Subdivision Application No. S-2019-16; ROBERT S. BARROS, JR & KERRILYN R.V. BARROS: Proposed 2-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-5-008: 005; Koloa, Kaua‘i

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Item I.1b, tentative subdivision map approval involving Subdivision Application No. S-2019-16; applicants Robert S. Barros, Jr & Kerrilyn R.V. Barros; proposed 2-lot subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-5-008: 005; Koloa, Kaua‘i.

Mr. Cua read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Cua: The Department has received comments from the reviewing agencies’ and we are recommending tentative subdivision map approval of this application.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone in the audience that is representing Robert and Kerrilyn Barros?

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Good morning. Dennis Esaki. Pitch in for Maren. Representing the client. We’ve reviewed the conditions and request that Item 1.d, condition 1. d, be removed that regards to curbs, gutters, and sidewalk along Koloa road. Because like the previous applicant said, it’s
infeasible and there is no sidewalk between Lawai Canary and Sueoka Store. We request that the item be removed. Other than that, it seems okay.

Chair Ho: Commissioners any questions-

Ms. Apisa: I guess I have a question of Dale. There are no curbs, sidewalk, and gutters now, would there be any in the foreseeable future?

Mr. Cua: I haven’t seen any construction plans involving future improvements to Koloa Road at this time and as stated by the applicant’s agent, there are no existing curbs, gutters, or sidewalks in this area.

Chair Ho: In this area, it’s in a commercially zoned area?

Mr. Esaki: No. It’s a... theses are smaller lots but it abuts agriculture areas by Kaneshiro’s ranch heading west, west of Kaneshiro’s ranch.

Mr. Cua: The property is in Lawai just for clarification purposes.

Chair Ho: Oh, Lawai, that’s right.

Ms. Apisa: Lawai Canary?

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Between Lawai Canary and Sueoka.

Mr. Cua: Yes.

Chair Ho: Commissioners, any comments?

Ms. Apisa: I guess I would rely on our Planning Department recommendation and move that condition 1.b, be removed regarding curbs, gutters, and sidewalks from the proposed 2-lots subdivision application No. S-2019-16.

Mr. Keawe: Second.

Chair Ho: The motion before us is to eliminate condition 1.d, delta. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Chair Ho: We move to the approval of tentative map?

Mr. Cua: Yes. So similarly to the previous application, the action you just took is approval of the modification of requirement to eliminate the requirement for curbs, gutters, and sidewalks.

Mr. Keawe: I move to approve the subdivision application 2019-16, Robert Barros and Kerrilyn Barross as modified.
Ms. Apisa: Seconded.

Chair Ho: The Condition before us is tentative map approval with conditions modified. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Chair Ho: You have your approval.

Mr. Esaki: Thank you.

Final Subdivision Map Approval.

Subdivision Application No. S-2019-10; AHE GROUP, LLC/KAUAI HABITAT FOR HUMANITY; Proposed 38-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 1-6-008: 006; Waimea, Kaua‘i

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Item I.2a, final subdivision map approval involving Subdivision Application No. S-2019-10; applicants Ahe Group, LLC/Kauai Habitat for Humanity; proposed 38-lot subdivision; TMK: (4) 1-6-008: 006; Waimea, Kaua‘i

Mr. Cua read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Cua: As of this morning we have received the subdivision agreement so we can proceed with the final approval.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone in the audience from Ahe Group, LLC, please?

Mr. Stephen Spears: Hi. Good morning. I’m Stephen Spears, with Kauai Habitat. And I’m Joelle Chiu with the Ahe Group.

Chair Ho: Good Morning to you. Commissioners, any questions?

Ms. Apisa: Any opening statements or any opening comments you want to make?

Mr. Spears: To the best of my knowledge, we have addressed all the tentative subdivision requirements and we are ready to subdivide.

Ms. Apisa: Dale do you have anything further?

Mr. Cua: I know they were pressed for time in terms of receiving the bonding requirements. Kudos to them for receiving the bond documents.

Ms. Apisa: So I know, Kauai Habitat’s doing good things, so thank you.

Mr. Keawe: I just have one question. Subject to the approval, what is your best guess on the time completion on this project? You have been working on it for many years.
Mr. Spears: Yes. The project, we already have construction contracts and if every things executed today and we get everything recorded with Bureau of Conveyance. I talked to the contractor and it would be at the end of June we’d get started on the actual construction. Everything is in place and ready to go.

Mr. Keawe: And then the length of time for completion?

Mr. Spears: I believe by the end of the year for the subdivision and –

Ms. Joelle Chiu: Probably about eighteen (18) months of construction until we get the multi-family phase completed.

Mr. Spears: And Habitat single family homes will probably start in about eighteen (18) months.

Mr. Keawe: Eighteen (18) months?

Mr. Spears: Starting about eighteen (18) months from now. But they should have the rental units finished about the time we start.

Mr. Keawe: So hopefully, in another 2-2 ½ years, that project will be up and running.

Ms. Chiu: Yes.

Mr. Spears: Yes.

Chair Ho: How is it broken down? How many units do you have in the multi-family? How many in the Habilitat?

Mr. Spears: We sold...we will be selling Ahe Group, LLC two (2) lots, which they will have thirty-five (35) affordable rentals and we will have thirty-two (32) single family homes. We kind a match what the neighborhood demographics are according to the surveys per need as far as rentals vs single family.

Chair Ho: And both of you will be starting both phases at the same time?

Mr. Spears: A construction on the infrastructure yes. We both have contracts signed and we are ready to go.

Chair Ho: Commissioners?

Ms. Apisa: I make a motion that we approve the final subdivision of map for application No. S-2019-10 for Ahe group, LLC/Kauai Habitat for Humanity.

Mr. Keawe: Second.
Chair Ho: Motion on the floor is for final map approval Ahe Group, LLC/Kauai Habitat for Humanity. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Ms. Chiu: Thank you.

Mr. Spears: Thank you.

Subdivision Extension Request.

Subdivision Application No. S-2017-6; Moloa’a Valley One, LLC. Proposed 7-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-9-011: 013; Moloa’a, Kaua’i.

Mr. Cua: Okay commissioners. The last Item on the Agenda for action is Item l. 3, Subdivision Extension Request involving Subdivision Application No. S-2017-6; Moloa’a Valley One, LLC. Proposed 7-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-9-011: Parcel 013; Moloa’a, Kauai.

Mr. Cua read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Cua: The Department recommendation is recommending an extension until May 9, 2020 be granted to obtain final subdivision approval however, the applicant is made aware that an updated status report on the subdivision with a detailed status report on the subdivision with a detailed time chronology on the progress of the tentative approval requirements shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review for further extension request. The status report shall be submitted to the Planning Department no later than sixty (60) days prior to the expiration date.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone from Moloa’a Valley One, LLC in the audience please? Introductions please.

Mr. Art Freeman: Art Freeman. I’m the President of the Board of Directors, for the project.

Attorney Mr. Patrick Childs: Patrick Childs, Attorney.

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Dennis Esaki, Surveyor for (inaudible).

Mr. Keawe: Chair, I have a question. You’ve been working on this for quite a while so, where are you in the process? And are you confident that with this extension to 2020, you’ll be able to complete it?

Mr. Esaki: The applicant has submitted…You submitted this right? Submitted the (inaudible) and a status report. They have worked on getting some of the conditions met and or working on some others. Actually I would request a two (2) year extension because this is a rather cumbersome one. This is by the old Koolau Road, Moloa’a Road-

Ms. Apisa: Question. Did you say two (2) year extension or one (1)?
Mr. Esaki: Yes. I would prefer a two (2) year so we don’t have to come back, but then we can give you progress report-

Ms. Apisa: In one (1) year?

Mr. Esaki: Yes. Two (2) months before…or one (1) year.

Mr. Keawe: Dale.

Mr. Cua: Just for background. Generally speaking the Departments extension request goes on an annual bases. In circumstance where the requirements are quite substantial the committee has granted the extension request for two years. The intent is to try to get the applicant to move on the project and at the same time to make sure that they are making progress. So they request is reasonable and we have no objections to the two (2) year extension if that’s what the committee wants to do.

Mr. Keawe: So again, if you are granted a two (2) year extension, are you confident? I mean it’s another whole year…Just for background. How long have you been working on this project? For the initial? Pat?

Mr. Childs: Perhaps, we are probably going on 15 (15) years.

Mr. Keawe: Fifteen (15) years?

Mr. Childs: To explain and I know most of you know this, but for those new Commissioners. This project is owned and subdivided by multiple parties, each of who will have a stake in putting a house the project when the County has approved the density, there are four (4). The best way we can do this is via subdivision that was determined, with negotiations with the County and with Mr. Cua and that is what we are proceeding to do. From my perspective, the little part of the agenda that I am handling, we’ve had difficulty in the turnover of administration and particular with Housing. Housing has been very receptive to this subdivision and has been trying to work with us. But as you know, there’s been some personnel changes over there and some switching of positions and I don’t believe that the people are totally familiar with their portfolio yet.

Mr. Keawe: Okay. Any other comments? Dennis?

Mr. Esaki: Yes, like Mr. Childs said, this has been on-going for a long time. But the present subdivision under my watch has been a couple years.

Mr. Keawe: Okay. Alright.

Ms. Apisa: I move that we grant a two (2) year extension to subdivision application No. S-2017-6, with the condition of a status update report one year and then again sixty (60) days prior to the expiration.
Mr. Keawe: Second

Chair Ho: Motion on the floor is for extension for two years, Moloa’a Valley One, LLC. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0.

Chair Ho: You have two (2) years.

Mr. Freeman: Thank you gentlemen, ladies.

Mr. Childs: Thank you.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Cua: Moving on to Item J, Adjournment.

Ms. Apisa: I move we adjourn.

Mr. Keawe: Second.

Chair Ho: Motion is to adjourn. All in favor? (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 3:0. We are adjourned. Thank you.

Subdivision Committee Chair Ho adjourned the meeting at 9:12 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Arleen Kuwamura
Commission Support Clerk

( ) Approved as circulated (add date of meeting approval).

( ) Approved as amended. See minutes of _________ meeting