

The departmental budget decision making reconvened on May 10, 2013 at 10:45 a.m., and proceeded as follows:

Deliberation and Preliminary Decision-Making:

Honorable Tim Bynum
Honorable Gary L. Hooser
Honorable Ross Kagawa
Honorable Mel Rapozo
Honorable Nadine Nakamura
Honorable JoAnn A. Yukimura
Honorable Jay Furfaro, Council Chair

Chair Furfaro: I would like to call back from recess our budgetary reviews and the plus/minus calendar. As I stated yesterday, if there is any public testimony we would take it each morning at the start of the meeting. Is there any public testimony?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended to take public testimony.

There being no one to testify on this matter, the meeting was called back to order, and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Seeing none, I would go to the County Clerk. Clerk, would you like to acknowledge – I think we are starting today with the Planning Department and then the Police Department, I believe.

JADE K. FOUNTAIN-TANIGAWA, Deputy County Clerk: Planning and then the Office of Economic Development (OED).

Chair Furfaro: Planning Department and then the Office of Economic Development. I would suggest from my instructions yesterday that someone gets a hold of George's Office because I want the following Department ready to go. On that note, who is representing the Planning Department today?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: I believe Director Dahilig was here this morning and Miles.

Chair Furfaro: Miles, are you coming up? Ladies and gentlemen, before we begin going down line items, are there any general questions for the Planning Department?

Mr. Rapozo: I have a process question, Mr. Chair. It really is because of in the interest of time. Yesterday we spent a lot of time as if it was budget reviews again and this time has been allocated for deliberations and I understand that if there are some questions. But if we continue the pace we did yesterday, I am not so sure we will get done by Tuesday. I know you have referenced that sort of yesterday, but the time for the review was the last three (3) weeks or so. We are in deliberations now. We have all had our sheets. I guess my only suggestion is that the Councilmember that proposes an add or a deletion state their case and we take the vote because we will never get done. In my experience and knowing the way this dialogue is going, it is not really a

deliberation. It is really another set of budget reviews and although I respect my colleague's questions, I think this is the point where we need to convince our colleagues to support our proposals. I would just ask that we kind of focus on the time. I know we are starting late today. I cannot stay late tonight. I am not asking the Council to not continue without me. But just in the interest of the time ask that we focus on the deliberations and move forward. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: I recognize the piece that you are sharing. I share the same. I also said because of our Sister City relationship event today, we would start late. But some of us should plan to go at least to 7:00. I will again, and I said that yesterday, so I will again ask are there any questions of the Planning Department? Thank you for being here. We are calling the meeting back to order. There is no testimony. We are going through the Planning Department plus and minuses. None? None? None? None? Aloha. There are refreshments with the Mayor across the street. Next Department.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Council Chair the next Department is the Office of Economic Development.

Chair Furfaro: He is not here? I do not know how clear I can make it to the Administration. I sat here with Mr. Heu yesterday. This is business. There have been two (2) events that I have had to go through and change my schedule and I only am asking for a little cooperation here. I do not want to get out of sequence. Are we going to talk about Economic Development without him or shall we go right to the Police Department?

Mr. Rapozo: I think we should proceed with the schedule,
Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Okay.

Mr. Rapozo: I would like to start if I may?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove funding for "Other Services – Kaua'i Marathon" for twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000), seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: Discussion?

Mr. Rapozo: If I may Mr. Chair, we have had numerous discussions on this item throughout the years. There was a commitment from the Kaua'i Marathon that they needed three (3) years' of funding which the majority of the Council approved. I did not approve, but it did pass. We have met that commitment on the County side and in this tight budget cycle and in the interest of core essential services, I am proposing that we remove that. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Further dialogue? Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you. I certainly have talked to a lot of local people who told me that I need to go down and witness it. The Kaua'i Marathon, I think, is doing well. I think Councilmember Yukimura ran it, my friend ran it, and they said it was excellent. However, I know that the tourist industry is booming down

in Kōloa/Po'ipū. I think twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) can be gathered from the hotels and the Visitor Industry. I believe that, as much as possible, large events such as those should try to maximize funds from the people who will benefit from that. I will be supporting Councilmember Rapozo's deletion. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Further discussion? JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, Mr. Chair. Not because I run in it because I am not even sure I can run in the next one. But I think it is a wonderful event that not only brings in visitors, but it also gives really good publicity for the island. I think they are already raising a lot of the match from the industry and I think this is also it the way, as I think Nalani Brun said, gradually supporting events and then taking them off. I think we need to do this for the last time to do that.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. Further discussion? Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I will be very brief. I am not going to support removing this. The Kaua'i Marathon, they are four (4) or five (5) years in. It is becoming very much a signature event. Even during the downtimes there has been an increase of participation throughout the world each year. This is the kind of investment we make and citizens know that we invest in almost all of the festivals and this is part of what we do as a County and this has been a success story so far, not without some problems, as anything. But with this dwindling support, it is important to keep this event alive.

Chair Furfaro: Any more dialogue before I call for the vote? The motion is yes vote to remove twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) as made by Mr. Rapozo, seconded by Mr. Kagawa. Roll call, please.

The motion to remove funding for "Other Services – Kaua'i Marathon" for twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Kagawa, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Nakamura, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Chair Furfaro: I want to make a statement for myself. Originally we agreed for three (3) years. This is an extra bump and this will be my last support for this money.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The vote is 2:5, it stays.

Chair Furfaro: The vote is 2:5 and stays. Okay. Next item.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair, does anyone else have any cuts? I feel like the really bad guy because I am the guy proposing all the cuts and that is fine. I will be the bad guy. As I prefaced my comments yesterday, I said a lot of these cuts are to me...

Chair Furfaro: Excuse me, George. I am going to be very honest with you, you were called up to represent your Department earlier. You were not here. We are in decision-making. You do not need to be there.

Mr. Rapozo: A lot of these proposed cuts I am making are simply because in my opinion they are non-essential. They are good to have. At the end of our tallying, if we find some additional funds, there are definitely opportunities to reconsider. But at this time, because I know I will be portrayed as the evil guy, I am making a motion to remove the funding for the Airport Greetings that is fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) that I think the State needs to pull their fair share. We keep talking about the State taking back and taking back and the County has to fill the gap at the expense of our taxpayers. I appreciate the music and the dancing at the airport. Is it an essential service for the core function of County? No.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove funding for "Other Services – Airport Greetings" for fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: There is a motion and second. Discussion, members? Go ahead Mr. Kagawa, followed by Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes. We heard news from again – I will repeat myself, Mr. Pacopac and said that the Governor was bragging about the three hundred million dollars (\$300,000,000) surplus that they had this year at the legislature and a large chunk was given to the Hawaii Tourism Authority (HTA) which is already a gigantic body that promotes tourism for the State. The airport is a State function. The Tourism Authority is a State function and they should pay for these kinds of services. We get cuts from the tourism tax, the Transient Accommodations Tax (TAT), and they enjoy keeping the thirteen million dollars (\$13,000) that they should have given us. Why can they not pay for this measly fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000)? Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser, you have the floor.

Mr. Hooser: Yes. I object to the Councilmember's characterization. I think Councilmember Kagawa and I share the title of being bad guy too and want to be considered in that category. I introduced almost two million dollars (\$2,000,000) in cuts yesterday. We are cutting other ones and I appreciate the need to cut. So, just bringing in a little levity to the conversation here. Thank you.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: I am glad for those who are proposing cuts because they make you really think about what is essential and what is necessary? I mean, I have always wanted to make sure that in this whole tourism business instead of moneys just going to large corporations and then going mainly off-island that our people here, including our performers and those who are keeping Hawaiian music alive, be beneficiaries of some of this. I think everyone knows that it adds to the whole atmosphere in the airport. I also think the points made by Councilmember Kagawa about how the State should be carrying its share are really well taken. My take on this is the State has been so negligent in their responsibilities of stewardship of their moneys and resources and now, not only are they unable to do their responsibilities, but we are being asked often to carry it. I guess I would be open to a smaller cut, but not the whole thing.

Chair Furfaro: We are not negotiating the item right at the present time.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: The motion and second on the floor that is to remove it. Vice Chair, you have the floor

Ms. Nakamura: I agree that the airport is a State function and unfortunately much of these services that add value and add to the experience of the visitor coming to Kaua'i is so important. It is not just bringing people here, doing the marketing, but it is once they get here, making sure that they are welcomed, that there are products, there is great bathrooms, and so forth and experiences, like festivals and ways to engage with the community. The problem is that with the Hawaii Tourism Authority (HTA), that the eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000), I believe, was targeted for marketing. From my understanding of talking to Kaua'i Visitor's Bureau (KVB), is that does not always translate to funding that goes down to the County level to the Visitors Bureaus and I think that is a problem. I think that is where as a County and as all the Counties, we need to get together and talk to HTA about how we can get a fair share of the funding allocated by the legislature. I think that is something that the Economic Development Committee might want to take a look at, initiating those conversations because otherwise it is going to go worldwide marketing rather than the improving the local experiences. I think that is going to take some time to have those conversations. But I think it is really important that that is a next step that this issue is bringing up. I guess I would also be more comfortable moving in the direction of lowering this amount, but not completely wiping it out because it does benefit some local cultural practitioners and musicians.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I am not going to support this cut. We are having that dialogue hopefully. We started it last year and I thought there was a commitment to consistently fund the KVB because there are things that they can do that the HTA cannot do and this money in particular is a long tradition on Kaua'i. We have so many outstanding musicians and cultural practitioners who give back to the community with no compensation, so much of their work. This is something that give a unique experience for visitors, makes Kaua'i different than other places, and supports local practitioners who give so much. This is one of the few places that they get at least some compensation for their efforts. The Mayor proposal cuts KVB ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000) over what we agreed last year, we would try to make a sustainable fund. Maui County gives over three million dollars (\$3,000,000) a year to their local Visitors Bureau and they have been able to posture themselves differently quite successfully over the years. That is something that Kaua'i does do. But the amount that comes from our County to this effort is not that great and it pays dividends. Thank you.

Mr. Rapozo: My motion, Mr. Chair, was to remove the fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) funding from the Airport Greetings Program. I want to make a short, brief comment that this year we saw a lot of cuts come over from the Administration. The one that pained me the most was seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) from the Young Women's Christian Association (YWCA) Sexual Assault Treatment Program and the Family Violence Shelter, seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000). My goal is try to put that back in. Instruction was to find funds. I look at it a lot simpler than, I think, my colleagues is it essential or non-essential? This is non-essential and some may disagree. Maybe in a good economy we could afford it. Mr. Bynum talked about a lot of cultural practitioners that are giving back to the community. They are getting paid, that is not giving back to the community. I mean no disrespect to those practitioners because I think they do a great

thing. But we have a lot of volunteers on this island that give back to the community for non-profits and they are not lining up at our door asking for money. I think that State – until we cut that “nini-bottle” – I will reference my grandmother a lot during this budget session. Until we wean them off the “nini-bottle,” it is not going to change. Our taxpayers – we represent our taxpayer, Kaua‘i. Our taxpayers are funding these State, that in my opinion, are no one essential. I would just ask for the consideration. Obviously, if I cannot get the fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) we will support – I think as we are sending a message over to the Administrating this year, that same message needs to be State, our Governor, who can brag about giving one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) to this organization and three hundred million dollars (\$300,000,000) surplus. Election year, go ahead and brag, Mr. Governor. Take care of your business here in the Counties as well.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum, I am going recognize you. But I want it kept brief folks. But there are some bigger problems that we have not even talked about. I remember when I first got on the Council twelve (12) years ago, we participated in items that talked about having some influence over the State’s Capital budget, where the State is directing money to the various Counties and so forth. We do not get any dialogue about that one. They have eleven million dollars (\$11,000,000) more earmarked for the Visitor Industry and they took our two million nine hundred thousand dollars (\$2,900,000). There has to be a reaction to them from us so I understand the Councilmembers’ comments. We need to stay focused on what we are accomplishing today in the plus and minuses. Let us try and keep the dialogue around the financial picture. Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I would not be asking for the floor. But I just want to ask Mr. Rapozo to not misconstrue what I just said. What I just said was the culture – and without listing names and I could because we know who the musicians are. They give back to the community all over the place. This is the one place they do get some compensation. That is what I said. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: The motion on the floor is to remove fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) from Economic Development and there is a second. We are not considering any moderations or reallocation. A member wants to make a motion on a second vote, we will do such. But let us get a vote. A “yes” vote removes the fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000).

The motion to remove funding for “Other Services – Airport Greetings” for fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Kagawa, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Nakamura, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 2:5.

Chair Furfaro: 2:5. Is there any further discussion on this item?
Vice Chair Nakamura. I am sorry yesterday I also referenced her when we were sharing secretaries as Vice Chair, but it was during that period. Now, Vice Chair, you have the floor.

Ms. Nakamura: On the same line item. I would like to recommend a reduction to twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000), fifty percent (50%) that shows the intent that we need to move in this direction, we would like the State to take the responsibility for what happens at the airport to greet our visitors, but gives us some time to get there.

Ms. Nakamura moved to remove funding for “Other Services – Airport Greetings” for twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000), seconded by Mr. Hooser.

Chair Furfaro: Second from Mr. Hooser on the modified piece. Mr. Hooser, do you want the floor on your second?

Mr. Hooser: Yes, just really briefly. I am obviously supporting this. But I want to say thank you to Councilmembers who are initiating the cuts because it initiates a conversation that we would not otherwise have and we had some yesterday and that is really the hard part, really is making those cuts and being willing to make that statement to say that some things are more important than others. I think it is good and it forces us to deal with that and make those decisions and I just want to say thank you.

Chair Furfaro: *Mahalo* for that commentary. JoAnn, you wanted to be recognized?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, I was going to suggest a twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) cut. But I will go with twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

Chair Furfaro: We have a motion to reduce the budgeted amount by twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). We have a second on that. Do a roll call. A “yes” vote would reduce to twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

The motion to remove funding for “Other Services – Airport Greetings” for twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Kagawa, Rapozo, Hooser, Nakamura, Yukimura	TOTAL – 5,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum	TOTAL – 1,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: Furfaro	TOTAL – 1.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 6:1.

Chair Furfaro: Let us show the adjustment accordingly. Ashley, you followed it?

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair, one (1) more.

Chair Furfaro: Go ahead, we are still in Economic Development.

Mr. Rapozo: I would like to make a motion to remove the funding for the North Shore Transportation Feasibility Study. We had discussion in the budget hearings and, in fact, I sensed some apprehension on the Council in the Committee during the budget hearing. The Administration heard the concerns of the Council that Kēē Beach is a State beach. Again, we are looking at a Feasibility Study to run shuttles and so

forth on the North Shore. Maybe I misread the communications that were going on, but the supplemental budget came back with an increased amounts, increased amount. I do not think that right now with the issues going on this island as far as transportation, we are dealing with Kōloa and Po'ipū. Again, is it essential for this year? Is it essential? I do not think so. We are trying to get to one million seven hundred ninety thousand dollars (\$1,790,000). This seventy-two thousand four hundred dollars (\$72,400), in my opinion, can wait until a later date.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove funding for "Other Services – North Shore Transportation Feasibility Study" for seventy-two thousand four hundred dollars (\$72,400), seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum and then followed by Yukimura.

Mr. Bynum: I am a huge supporter of the North Shore shuttle plan. I thank the Mayor for resurrecting it. We all know the reality of the North Shore. We choose, as a community, to keep the rural character, to keep one-lane bridges, and the caring capacity of all the facilities from Kē'e Beach to Hanalei is maxed out because virtually every visitor wants to drive to the end of the road. This is a concept that says visitors eventually could not go beyond Princeville other than on the shuttle. That would eliminate the traffic congestion, it would be able to pick up those residents who live past where the bus currently goes to connect with the bus. There are places on this planet that are just so special. We cannot let one million (1,000,000) cars go there. Yosemite did this years ago. There are many areas in Yosemite that you cannot drive to. You have to take the shuttle to preserve the environment and the character, that is what this initiative is for, and it is great.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes. I think we are finding both in Po'ipū and on the North Shore that just addressing parking supply is not sufficient. We have to address parking demand. I guess it is another way of saying as Councilmember Bynum has said, you cannot just let cars going into an area because it becomes untenable and really expensive. I think we are all due for a study and what I was going to propose was and this is an addition, but it is affected by this proposed cut. So, I would like some ability to explain it. What I was going to propose...

Chair Furfaro: Excuse me, JoAnn. We are only entertaining cuts.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: I am going to go back through the list for people who want to do adds.

Ms. Yukimura: I know. Chair, but it is related to this cut. If I just allow me to describe what I have in mind, it may make a difference on how people vote on this cut.

Chair Furfaro: I am in such a pleasant mood today after being after the Sister City recognition for fifty (50) years and so forth. But practice brevity here and we are going to come back to this when it is an add.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, I will. My thought was to put one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) in the budget for transit corridor studies in both Po'ipū and the North Shore, to put this as a CIP item not in Economic Development, but allowing the Transportation Coordinating Committee and the Administration to decide who would oversee it. The reason I am suggesting this is because the Po'ipū Workshop recommendations that are coming out on our next meeting recommend a two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) study for Po'ipū alone and it has a very clear outline about the issues that have to be addressed in a corridor study. I am thinking seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) – oh, I might need more. Anyway for two (2) and using match on a twenty (20) / eighty (80) match, if we can get Federal planning moneys, we should do both at the same time and under the same contract. I think economies of scale, we will get some expertise help, and it is more appropriate under the Transportation Coordinating Committee. It is an investment in the future that we have to address very soon if not yesterday to keep that money.

Chair Furfaro: George, I am going to suspend the rules. I want you to come up for a second. George your family is a North Shore family, my family is a North Shore family. What can you tell me about the State's plans that they have got going right now about reducing traffic to Hā'ena based on the fact that they are doing a traffic study? I do not want to be duplicating things here. What do you know about it? You can be honest with me because I only heard about it from people dealing with Maka'ala and I am going, we have no understanding of what State is doing, real *pohō* kind.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

GEORGE K. COSTA, Director of Economic Development: Right. It is my understanding that there is a group working on a Kē'ē Beach shuttle. PBR Hawai'i is coordinating with the State.

Chair Furfaro: And funded by the State?

Mr. Costa: And funded by the State.

Ms. Yukimura: PBR?

Mr. Costa: I found out earlier this morning that the person that is coordinating those meetings will be here, I guess, attending the Po'ipū Road planning charette, so I have asked to have a meeting with that person on one day.

Ms. Yukimura: It is Kimi, right?

Mr. Costa: Right, Kimi Ewing. I guess there has been a lot of meetings and talk for a while.

Chair Furfaro: You have only confirmed what I am saying. When I first got on the Council, there was dialogue in the preparation for the legislature to be able to interact with State about where their Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) money is going. We do not seem to do that anymore and what I am afraid of, we are putting money there that is duplicated from another study. I would almost want to have you grab them, get in the circle of what needs to be done there, and then if we have to put up a Money Bill later, we will put up a Money Bill later. But I have no clue and I mean, my family goes

back to the powerhouse in Wainiha and I have to tell my daughters they have to take a shuttle?

Mr. Costa: I hear you, Chair and that is what I am trying to do, even on a personal basis because the families that I know out there are troubled because the traffic is just so bad.

Ms. Yukimura: And the parking.

Chair Furfaro: We all agree with that. But I am saying that we have to be coordinated here.

Mr. Costa: Right.

Chair Furfaro: I do not think we are coordinated.

Mr. Costa: I do not want to duplicate the efforts so I agree with Councilwoman Yukimura that there needs to be a coordinated effort. I put moneys in there just so we can do something. But you are right. We need to do a coordinated effort and so, anyway that is my *mana'o*.

Chair Furfaro: I want you to find out the facts for us.

Mr. Costa: I will.

Chair Furfaro: Because right now I am tending to vote that money out, and when we know what is going on, if we have to revisit money, I am almost to the point about revisiting money.

Mr. Costa: Actually, I would entertain that and let us revisit it later.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you for that comment.

Mr. Costa: You are welcome.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn has a question for you.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes. Did you say the State has money to work on it?

Mr. Costa: Again, I am assuming because they are conducting these meetings. Somebody has money to do these meetings. It is not coming from us that is for sure.

Chair Furfaro: Go find out. We need the facts. Go find out.
Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: This is such an obvious cut. George is telling us right here to take it out and you folks are still trying to talk to him about keeping it in. We are never going to reach that number if you folks are asking these kinds of questions. It is ridiculous.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa, I am not talking about keeping it in. I am telling him to go and get the facts before we leave something like this in that is what I am saying. I want to make sure you and I are okay.

Mr. Kagawa: I think he just said that he is not ready, he does not need the money, take it out. I think that is what I heard.

Mr. Costa: You can take it out. I will find the facts and come back and report.

Chair Furfaro: That sounds like a good plan and thank you for responding to my request.

Mr. Costa: We are all trying to help.

Mr. Rapozo: Call for the question, Mr. Chair.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Question, please? Restate the question, seventy-eight thousand dollars (\$78,000).

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Seventy-two thousand four hundred dollars (\$72,400).

The motion to remove funding for "Other Services – North Shore Transportation Feasibility Study" for seventy-two thousand four hundred dollars (\$72,400) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Rapozo, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Nakamura, Yukimura	TOTAL – 2,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 5:2.

Chair Furfaro: 5:2. George, I am very serious. We have got to get closer to those things that are going on with the State. Keep us posted, please. Next item, please.

Mr. Costa: Can I stay here?

Chair Furfaro: George, you need to go back to your seat. We are back in session. I will call you up only when we need you. Any other things going on here?

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair. There were a lot of items in the Economic Development budget and these were small amounts, five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for Cultural Program, five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for a swimming challenge, twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) for Cultural Place Name Research Project, another five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for the Canoe Racing Championship, and another ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for a conference. My concern is at what point does a request for

a grant become an Economic Development Project that is going to really system the economy or is it a special project that the Mayor wants to fund?

Chair Furfaro: No, I understand your point and I think you should submit something to me and we should have dialogue about the procedural parts. I know the canoe piece has economic benefits to it because I think it is our year to host the States and people come overnight and so on. But I think if you want to put some of those questions proposed as to what is the criteria that we are doing this, I think that is fair and reasonable.

Mr. Rapozo: I do want to have that discussion because we could stretch any project. Pop Warner could have a State championship or for that matter a Regional championship on Kaua'i that would generate some people to come over with the parents and stay in a hotel and rent some cars. Does that mean I could get twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) from the County?

Chair Furfaro: No, I do not know. What I am saying is we do not have a clear procedural project that we all understand. But that is a question that will be coming over, George, in a discussion item.

Mr. Rapozo: I am sorry.

Ms. Nakamura: I want to add to your comment.

Mr. Rapozo: Oh, okay. Go ahead.

Ms. Nakamura: I think Councilmember Rapozo brings up a really good point. One of the things that the County funded was a study on sports and recreation impact on Kaua'i's economy and that report was presented to us and some of these fall under the category. Now, what we should be doing is saying rather than this scattered approach where we do not know how these projects ended up on the final list from the Administration, what is the process that is used? What are the criteria? Is there a group that is really focused on which ones will bring the most revenue or have the most impact on our economy? I think that is a good point that Councilmember Rapozo brings up. Likewise, like this after school intercession program, the five thousand dollars (\$5,000) one in Anahola is similar. Last year, when I proposed funding for a Kapa'a Elementary School after school program every around this table voted "no" on it. So, what Councilmember Yukimura did was say we are going to set aside these pot of funds, one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000), which is then approved so that there is actually a process, a criteria, and now it looks like it is going to be matched by a private foundation. Now we have three hundred thousand dollars (\$300,000) to work with to do the same thing. I think that is the model we should be following, similar to when we get the State funds for cultural product development that Nalani gives out to different festivals and cultural programs. I think that is the process where there is clear criteria, people compete, and the best projects get funded. We need to move in that direction and I would like to ask the Administration to really take a look at how we do this next time.

Chair Furfaro: On that, I want to concur with the earlier request. This is not a Council Meeting and I guess George, you are hearing from me. I concur that we need to have a process. I concur that we need to be more coordinated with the State on what they are doing so there are no duplications. I will be very honest with you going forward, to me, the priority is the golf course in Economic Development. We

employ people, we went from losing six hundred thousand dollars (\$600,000) a year to one million one hundred thousand dollars (\$1,100,000). There is forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) that you put in your budget and just reallocated to a different group. I want you to know when we get there, I would say that to have a celebration of Jurassic Park is nice for a few selected pieces of the destination. But I would like to use the forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) to promote the golf course and you getting closer to the hotels in the Wailua corridor because later I am going to add one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) worth of revenue to the golf course which comes with no additional costs when we get to revenues because our rounds are falling, partly because of the fact that we do not have the right amenities in place. That is how Economic Development has to do it. That is jobs, that is maintenance, and that is concession revenue. We have to have that eventual discussion. I will do that at revenue time, I will share my worksheet. You have a question for George?

Mr. Rapozo: No, I do not have a question.

Chair Furfaro: George, you will not have to come up every time. we will call you up if we need you.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Costa: Okay. I figured I just would explain that we have a criteria that we look at the projects. What economic development opportunities do they bring? Canoe races – I feel I am in a predicament because we promote Visitor Industry which is our main economic engine and until we can get energy, agriculture, and diversify our economy, this is the industry that we have.

Chair Furfaro: I am going to tell you right across the table, performance on these items need to improve and let us start with the golf course because these are cost items and if you have got a marketing plan to help your people in the Department of Parks and Recreation, I would like to see it. If you have a criteria for this, we should have had it at budget preparation time. That is what would have saved what could have been some of the items that we are just talking about. Obviously, there is a group that is looking at the marathon. We are giving them one more year at twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). That money did not come out. But we need to understand that. If nobody has figured this part out, let me share with you, the bottom line is the purse strings are controlled by the Council. Nobody here made a bad decision because they have had too much information. George, I would appreciate if you have that material and you get it over to us. I am calling the meeting back to order and we are going to vote on this item.

Mr. Costa: Thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I want to point out two (2) things. We just had this dialogue about not doing what we just did the last fifteen (15) minutes.

Chair Furfaro: I just said that too, Mr. Bynum, when I talked to the Vice Chair.

Mr. Bynum: Excuse me, I think I have the floor.

Chair Furfaro: You have the floor. But I want to re...

Mr. Bynum: So, please do not interrupt me, sir.

Chair Furfaro: I want you to recognize that that is what I said.

Mr. Bynum: Please, do not interrupt me when I have the floor.

Chair Furfaro: We are in recess for ten (10) minutes please.

There being no objections, the Committee recessed at 11:25 a.m.

There being no objections, the Committee reconvened at 11:38 a.m., and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: We are in session. Sometimes the purpose of a recess is also to keep decorum at the table. But also I want to say that I want to give Mr. Bynum the floor again and he has questions or statements. He has that time now. If not, I will come back to you.

Mr. Bynum: I think, as I have said, we have a lot of really fine people working in the County of Kaua'i and one of them is George Costa. We all know here that a lot of these projects get put into his Division because of the competence that he has the people be prior to him to administer some of these. The core mission for many years has been about promoting cultural events, tourism, and I believe that office does it very, very well and has a set of criteria. Other things get put in here, as I said, and some of them are requests from Councilmembers. The Council says, "We would like you, Administration, to do this and they give it to Economic Development because they have been credible and competent for many years as far as I know. I think George is a professional, a full grown adult, and the tone that some Councilmembers are using with members of the Administration disturbs me greatly. We do not need to lecture these adults. We do not need to be condescending. We need to respect the work that they do. Our government is not a beast. It is something that serves the people. I know there is a criteria for what gets put in here and how it gets selected. If we do not know that then we should ask for a meeting and I will be happy to do that in our Committee to have a dialogue. But if Councilmembers are not educated about what is happening in Economic Development, that is not necessarily their fault.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Before we go any further. I shared that earlier with you folks. I probably know George better than most. George replaced me at several hotels, he was my replacement. I understand his qualities, but what I was trying to say, this is not our place to be discussing items. We had twelve (12) days for that. We need to get to a budget by noon (12:00 p.m.) on Tuesday. I want to remind all members of that and then, in fact, let us move on. Take a deep breath and move on. Yes, go ahead.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As I stated, and I spoke to Mr. Heu on the break, my proposals are not being presented because I believe they are

bad programs, not at all, absolutely not. In fact, when the budget hearing was here and the gentlemen from the different non-profits were up here, it was very exciting. Gary also told me as he told Mr. Bynum, a lot of the projects that get put into Economic Development over the past few years are projects that the Council had requested. The difference between then and now is that number on the top. We are chasing one million seven hundred thousand dollars (\$1,700,000). In prior years we had money. I cannot tell you how many times people from the community have come up to me, and said, "Hey, Mel, can the Council help us with this project?" Can the Council help with us this festival? Can the council help us with this parade? Can the Council help us with this?" Unfortunately, this year the answer was no because we knew. My proposals to remove these items is not because they are bad programs, it is just that there are many, many viable and deserving Agencies out there. I have to agree with Councilmember Nakamura that, in fact, there needs to be that pot. There needs to be a pot and there needs to be parameters set up. There needs to be a process so everyone can apply and not just the select few that the Mayor and the Administration feels that is warranted, exactly the same as if the Council wanted to add in. I am going to start with making a motion to remove the funding for the Kaialulu Anahola Cultural Program. It is five thousand dollars (\$5,000) again, in line of what Mr. Hooser said, it gives us an opportunity to discuss it.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove the funding for "Other Services – Kaialulu Anahola Cultural Program" for five thousand dollars (\$5,000), seconded by Ms. Nakamura.

Chair Furfaro: I would like to say for myself, all of those many small cultural pieces canoe races, swim events, and so forth, I was pretty certain I would be supporting those when those groups made their presentations. I just want you and the other members to know that I will not be supporting any additional deductions there. Anyone else want to speak before I call for the vote? JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes. I have a lot of faith in Nalani and George in terms of their ability to understand the community and where the needs are. I have been very grateful that they have been looking at visitor marketing and efforts in terms of, as Vice Chair said, of the visitor experience and the connecting of people with visitor and with our residents, over common ground of music and learning, those kinds of things. I do think that the program needs to be more formalized and George has said that they have criteria. I think they need to let us know in the process too, of application and so forth probably needs to be better publicized and even streamlined. I am not real familiar with the process. But I think we need to give them a year's time to get that together, if that is our concern. I am not ready to press judgment on which ones get cut and which ones stay in. We have not gone through. If we are going to be the judge of the cuts then we should have a proper application process ourselves. Anyway, I am not ready at this point, but I think the discussion is very well received as far as I am concerned and it signals the kinds of change we want to see in the process.

Chair Furfaro: Vice Chair. We are talking about five thousand dollars (\$5,000) here and we are having discussion that takes a lot of valuable times from other things so let us keep it brief.

Ms. Nakamura: I too supported the presentation during the budget process. But this was described as an after school and intercession program and that is the reason why I am not going to support this because I feel that we now or very shortly will have an avenue for groups like this to apply along with other groups that are doing very similar and good things for our children. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: The motion on the five thousand dollars (\$5,000) is to remove it. Roll call vote, please.

The motion to remove funding for “Other Services – Kaiaulu Anahola Cultural Program” for five thousand dollars (\$5,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Nakamura, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 2:5.

Chair Furfaro: Okay, 2:5 and the money stays. Any more items?

Ms. Nakamura: I do.

Chair Furfaro: Go ahead, Vice Chair.

Ms. Nakamura: This one came in the supplemental budget for the Young Men’s Christian Association (YMCA) to put up photovoltaic panels on their site in Puhi. I am just concerned again about how this non-profit is getting these funds when there are many other non-profits that would like to save on energy uses. Again, it is a facility that not everyone in the public has access to, you must be a member to use the facilities. Again, I would like to see a plan or an opportunity to look at who are the other non-profits interested in these types of funds and what criteria is used to select this one?

Chair Furfaro: The motion is to remove that amount?

Ms. Nakamura: The motion is to remove.

Ms. Nakamura moved to removed funding from “Other Services –YMCA” for seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000), seconded by Mr. Rapozo.

Chair Furfaro: There is a second by Mr. Rapozo. Discussion?
JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, well, I was the one who first raised the question. So, I understand what Council Vice Chair is saying and I think the signal again is that if you are going to have energy grants, there needs to be criteria for them and how to apply and how they are selected. However, I do think that the YMCA is serving a public purpose by having that pool and we described, I think Ross, describing how the Kaua’i High School pool is not available to the public. It does not have hours for the public and as one who when I was a student at Kaua’i High wrote on why we needed a pool and if somebody were to actually study the history of the pool, it is another example of horrible State management both in the design and running of that pool and that is what necessitates this other pool. But they are providing a really important public service on the pools even though membership is required. If they did not have that pool, I do not know what the kids would do and I know that they are struggling to keep that pool operating so helping them reduce expenses will be important and we are not paying for running that pool. The County is not, although we could be. It is very much a core function of us to provide pools, I think.

Again, it is huge and it shows that when we waste money and do some wrong management decision.

Chair Furfaro: Brevity, please.

Ms. Yukimura: Okay. But that is what we are denying here or making it hard to fund. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I agree with the discussion about being more systematic about how these moneys are allocated. But we are in budget decision-making right now and these are things in process. We have all done a lot to support the YMCA pool over the years. The County has from land acquisition because it is the only facility we have. It has a very high electricity cost to run it. I want to have these follow-up dialogues. I will put had in my Committee when it is appropriate. But these are plans that are ongoing and I am not going to intervene at this time during budget decision-making.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes, the pool situation for the East Side has been horrible now that Kapa'a Pool is basically shutdown as far as the shower and restroom facilities. This is the only reason why I believe supporting this grant would be a one (1) time only unique case and Kaua'i High School is really – I do not know what is the deal with that pool. Certain people can use it and I do not know. But anyway, it goes through the Principal and the Department of Education (DOE) or whatever and the public no longer uses it with open hours. It is a one (1) time deal, but I would hope there is some way that we can somehow before we release the funds to the YMCA, we can draft a new agreement with them that collects back the seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) at some point in the savings that they receive. Basically, they will have free electricity and that will be their benefit and they will have a free system. I do not know if we can work out some way of repayment of some sort, maybe not the full price, but maybe something. So, that I will promote. If that cannot be worked out, the grant is just fine. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I will not be supporting the cut. When I was in the Senate, I supported funding for the pool. I believe it is a valuable public asset. I am concerned about the process. I understand there is a process, but I believe the entire community should be able to apply, they should know when the deadline is, they should know what the criteria is so any non-profit could apply for these type of processes whether it is grant-in-aid process that the legislator has or should better than that. But clearly defined and clearly opened whether it is cultural or whether it is energy savings. So, definitely moving forward we need to do that and I would even suggest that we are a legislative body, at the State level the legislative body decides on what is to be awarded and that is something to be considered also. It does not necessarily need to be an Administrative decision necessarily. But I will not be supporting the cut. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you, Mr. Chair. March 15th we get the initial budget, May 8th we get the supplemental, and we go into decision-making May 9th.

There is no opportunity when we are in decision-making to go and explore these. We do not have the time. I cannot believe that the Administration did not have an idea about the seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) request from the YMCA before March 15th so we could have had the discussion, we could have had the public input, and we could have had other Agencies and organizations chime in. It puts us at a very, very tough position today to have to say for me, "Sorry." Of course I approve of what they do. If it was bit for the County, the YMCA would not be there. Let us all remember that. But there are many other Agencies like I talked about. But from May 8th and we go right into decisions-making, there is no opportunity. I am not going to call them up now because we are in deliberations. My position and my statement and comment to the Administration, and this is substantial, seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000), sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000), it is not small money. The five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and things, all of that was in the 15th budget. But on May 8th we do not have that opportunity. I agree with you, Mr. Hooser, there needs to be a process, there needs to be an open process for everyone to have an opportunity. It is again, it is not the Mayor's money and it is not ours. It is the taxpayer's money and in this process of deliberation they do not have an opportunity. So, for that I am going to support the removal.

Chair Furfaro: I will give you the floor first, brevity for everybody, please.

Ms. Nakamura: Thank you, Chair. This will be brief, and because it looks like there will be support for this line item, I would like to ask the Administration in your agreement with the YMCA, to look at the value of the seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) and the previous funding we gave last year for similar solar improvements. Then look at what is that value as it translates into membership and will they give free passes to our elderly or our low-income kids who cannot afford that membership because of the value that we are putting into this? So, that is just my two cents.

Chair Furfaro: Nice approach, nice approach. JoAnn, you have the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: I just want to say in response to Councilmember Rapozo's last point. This big crunch is why I proposed that Charter amendment that would have given the Administration just one (1) time to give us the budget and that charter amendment failed...

Chair Furfaro: Okay, that Charter amendment failed and that Charter amendment would have wreaked havoc on this Council because we would have had one (1) time and we would have had to handle all the adjustments.

Mr. Rapozo: Call for the question, Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Question is being call for. Can I have a roll call vote?

The motion to remove funding for “Other Services – YMCA” for seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Nakamura, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 2:5.

Chair Furfaro: I do want to say on the 2:5 vote, George, there was some excellent narrative from the Vice Chair about getting some passes.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Yes, go ahead.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove funding for “Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting” for sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000), seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: There is a motion and a second.

Mr. Rapozo: If I may, Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Rapozo: I do not know if any of the other Councilmembers have participated in the CAC Host Community Benefits (HCB) program. I know we approved the moneys and all of that. But I have been to numerous meetings and have not seen colleagues and I am not saying that you should be. But my position here is because I have – oh I am sorry, Mr. Kagawa did come with me to one (1) of them. I do not know what he will say. He may contradict what I say, but it is up to him. That HCB process is still messed up. We provided sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) for a facilitator which was hired and has just started. It is a new facilitator and she is trying her best to get all of the people back on the ranch. There is a project out there, and again, this goes back to my statement that when we get it on May 8th, we have no opportunity to have the discussion. Now I thank you, Mr. Chair. It is going to be on my Committee agenda next week, but that is too late, that is after the deadline. But what I am seeing and hearing out in the West Side community is that there is some concern about this mega project that has been proposed by the CAC to the Mayor. What I am also hearing is that the Mayor has somewhat approved this mega project which would in essence wipe out that entire Host Community Benefits Fund. It is about seven hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$750,000) plus project that has been proposed by some members of the community. Now this sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000), as I understand it, is to support or provide consulting for that project and I have to tell you, I believe that the community needs to be involved, that is why I am having it on the agenda next week. Again, this should have been presented to us in March so we could have had the opportunity. This project did not happen overnight. This project has been on their agenda for a very long time. Again, because we are chasing and looking for non-essential moneys to cut, I am not ready to support this. We have had absolutely no briefing and there is no time today. But when they are ready to come and present that to the Council and if it is something the Council can agree and to the community, than I am

prepared to consider supporting. Thank you. So that was my motion to remove sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) CAC Host Community Benefits consulting line.

Chair Furfaro: Before I recognize Mr. Kagawa...

Mr. Rapozo: We need a second first, Mr. Chair. You did, thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Before I recognize Mr. Kagawa, I also want to say that he was partially cultivating that last idea about the pool trade off. But since he voted with the majority, I did not recognize him. Thank you for that idea as well. Now you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I agree totally with Mr. Rapozo. We attended one (1) of the meetings there and it appears that we need to hear from them. At that time, I think Councilmembers will have a better idea of whether we should go forward with the Administration's recommendation to add sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) for a facilitator. We cannot just be throwing money out there because they think we take their word it is a good idea. We should hear from the horse's mouth and hear from Mr. Bulatao and the group member if they want to come because there does seem to be some confusion. I think we need to have them here and we can make a wise financial decision. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Just a question, I need some clarification. I did attend one (1) meeting of the group also. It is my understanding by removing the funds it does not impact the benefit fund itself and there is say facilitator already paid for and hired, so this is above and beyond both the fund and facilitator.

Mr. Rapozo: Correct.

Mr. Hooser: Okay, so I will be supporting the motion.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn, did you want the floor?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Tim, did you want the floor?

Mr. Bynum: Just for a second.

Ms. Yukimura: I attended the meetings early on when Kaua'i Planning & Action Alliance (KPAA) was organizing the group to try to make decisions about the Host Community Benefits and I have not been there over the last two (2) years maybe. But I also have a sense of this project which I understand it was putting on some kind of a solar device on homes which would be something that serves families continuously into the future. It has the potential for a really big benefit. I do not know the details. I do know it is very complex. I am willing to take it out for now, but I think the Administration was trying to make sure it would work well so it would give benefits that accrue on a fair basis to households and families. I want to support that.

Chair Furfaro:

Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I look forward to future Council meetings about this so I will not go into all of the details. But it has been a long process and I did not know that this facilitator was different than the one that we already funded. I do not understand it. But my question was going to be if the group needs facilitation, why would it not come from the Host Community Benefits? The group in the past has said we want more, we gave more. We want the interest from the funds and we gave from interest from the funds. I have not had an update about the process. I hope that it is good. At one time we had our Recycling Coordinator trying to deal with this community. Now, you give close to a million dollars and you might look at the contention that we have with dealing with money. Hopefully, it is being facilitated well, but I do not know why any facilitation would not come out of the funds and so I am going to support this proposal and look forward to getting more information.

Chair Furfaro:

Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: Just to clarify, this money is not for a facilitator, this is to hire a consultant to assist with the solar photovoltaic (PV) project. The facilitator as will Hooser alluded to, is funded. That was in March 15th that has been approved. This is an additional sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) to assist in this project. We will get into it next week in the Committee, but I do want to let Councilmember Yukimura know that there are a lot of questions pertaining to the project. I am going to be asking this of our Legal Division, but this project – there is a potential of additional funding in next year's budget. It would require this Council's approval. There is also a very, very big concern and an issue which I have spoken to Kaua'i Island Utility Cooperative (KIUC) about, that when you do a PV project of this magnitude, KIUC can only accept so much. That is the logistical issues, there is the emotional and environmental and sustainable rah, rah, rah, but there are practical limitations. That is one of them for the neighborhood. So, it is real and this project would technically, basically almost clearly wipe out that fund and that will be discussed next week. I just wanted to answer your questions that you may have that I believe this is premature until we get more information on the project itself. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: We have a motion and I would like to get to a vote, please. Would you restate the motion, Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: To remove the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) CAC Host Community Benefits Consultant line.

The motion to remove funding for "Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting" for sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Nakamura, Rapozo, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 7,
AGAINST REMOVAL: None	TOTAL – 0,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa:

Seven (7) ayes.

Chair Furfaro: We are still in Economic Development. Is there any more discussion here? JoAnn, you have an item for Economic Development?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, I would like to remove the five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for capacity building for arts & culture. In the report, I have no sense that there is a really good communication with the people who are already doing arts & culture in our community that we are really reaching identity to that group. I think it needs more thought in terms of how to structure. I believe that arts & culture are a very important part of Economic Development, but I am not clear that this project will help us get there and I do not want to do capacity building for a small group of artists. I just do not have a feeling that there are real connections to the people who are doing culture & arts and it needs to be rethought and come back.

Mr. Rapozo: Is that your motion?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Ms. Yukimura moved to remove funding in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for "Other Services – Capacity Building."

Chair Furfaro: Seconded by Councilmember Rapozo. Did I hear a second?

Mr. Rapozo: You heard a second.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. Councilwoman Nakamura and then Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Nakamura: I will not support deleting this. The reason why is that KPAA generated a report that cast a very wide net on arts & cultural organizations on the island. I do not know if you read the report, but it was to me it was a good attempt to reach out to the arts & culture community to get feedback and understand what their needs are because this is one of the industry clusters that we are trying to promote and build on this island. It may not have reached the conclusions regarding the multi-use center that was envisioned, but I do not think this is the avenue for that. This is about building the capacity of this industry that has the Garden Island Art s& Cultural Center group that does a lot of the leadership, but it also brings in other voices in the community. This is just a process to address some of those immediate needs that were identified in that report and build on what we have already invested into the process.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. When we talk about cutting we need to think big and this five thousand dollars (\$5,000) is what you would call "*manini*" and we have a match. It is a twenty percent (20%) match of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) value for culture & arts and I relied on George as the Administrator to make sure that this five thousand dollars (\$5,000) is going to be served well. Normally, when you get a twenty percent (20%) match, you get pretty good value because eighty percent (80%) comes from elsewhere. I will be supporting keeping this line item in. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: I want to share with you folks, I have let you speak once, then you want to speak a second time, and so forth. I am going to tell you again like I told you yesterday, this is the Committee of the Whole. I am the Chairman. We need to get through it. If we do not get through it and take these stops for five thousand dollars (\$5,000), I mean how much dialogue can you have about spending five thousand dollars (\$5,000) when it costs us right here six hundred ninety dollars (\$690) an hour to run the Council Meeting. Let us be sensitive. If I make the Chairman's decision that you can speak once, I let you speak once. You want to keep the dialogue on and I decide not to, then that is my decision. If you get sensitive to it and challenge me and so forth, I am going call a time out. The fact of the matter is that we need to hold the decorum and let us try to get through this thing or else you will not have a budget to vote on Tuesday.

Ms. Yukimura: Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: I will recognize you.

Ms. Yukimura: Thank you. I mean we argued for a long time on one thousand dollars (\$1,000) from the Mayor's Office and other issues.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn, I have to...

Ms. Yukimura: I want to respond to Councilmember Kagawa. I do not know if George has new information, but he told me that there was no match and so that is what I was going on.

Chair Furfaro: I want to remind you, when we made that comparison, that was the second item yesterday that we took that long to talk about. I made a statement about not getting through. Now we are here, we are starting the morning late and I have made a second statement. If I make a third statement, I am just going to march us through here and give everybody one (1) chance to speak on an item and call for the vote and that is my prerogative as the Chairman. Now, we have the Administration that would like to come up. The rules are suspended you have the floor.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

GARY K. HEU, Managing Director: Thank you very much. To the extent that is possible, we are going to stay out of your way in decision-making. But I think it is our responsibility if we see something that puts us at exposure as a County, that we should at least advise you of that so you have that information as you make the decision. My understanding, and George can correct me if I am wrong, is that what you are seeing in there is final payment for the report because my belief that the report has pretty much been wrapped up.

Mr. Costa: That is correct. When I came up here and gave the CIP report, this Council wanted a determination of what was appropriate for CIPs in this year's budget? There are two (2) items and I am sorry, Councilwoman Yukimura, when you asked me, I did not recollect at the time. This is not a twenty percent (20%) match, this is the twenty percent (20%) balance that is due after the report was submitted on June 30th. I apologize.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you for that clarification. Mr. Heu, I want to let you know, in the last two (2) days, when you put your hand up I have not recognized

you and we will continue to do so. But we need to make sure that we understand it will be only for clarity.

Mr. Heu: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: You had your twelve (12) days over the last three (3) weeks. So, raise your hand and you will not be not recognized. Call the meeting back to order.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Ms. Yukimura: I withdraw my motion if it is an obligation that we have to pay.

Mr. Rapozo: I withdraw my second.

Councilmember Yukimura moved to withdraw her motion to remove funding in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for "Other Services – Capacity Building," Councilmember Rapozo withdrew his second.

Chair Furfaro: The motion and the second have been withdrawn. Moving on. Nothing more in Economic Development? I have one (1) piece of commentary and I want to make sure, George there was a removal of some money and I am just announcing this to the Administration and so forth for an item that dealt with one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) for a promotional piece which was reduced to forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) in your second submittal. I want to make sure that you understand that it is my intention because we have never earmarked money to market our golf course, that I would hope that that money and a plan ends up being one that can use for promotional effort to get revenues back up at the golf course so we do not have to cut any more expenses. Although it is in your plan, I want to say to you, I have a different opinion of what we are going to ask you to do to help get Wailua Golf Course back on its feet when we have a pro shop, when we have a restaurant, and when we are part of the Royal Coconut Coast Resorts. We do not even have a brochure to put in any of the guest rooms. I want to make sure you understand where I am at and that will come up in the new money items. Any more last comments or reconsiderations before I close Economic Development? JoAnn?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, I have an amendment on moneys that I do not want to take out, but I want to restrict or condition. I am willing to do that at the time of additions. But I just want to make sure that I am not losing my chance by not speaking right now.

Chair Furfaro: Understood. When it comes on the additions, there may be some things for us to consider as being provisos in the budget, George. I would suggest you talk to Councilwoman Yukimura to get a better idea of that. Okay, Economic Development? George, thank you. Next Department.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Next Department is the Police Department.

Chair Furfaro: Chief, I want to ask you a question. Is it better for us to start this half an hour discussion and see if we conclude anything or would you

rather come back at 1:30 p.m.? Now? Fine, thank you. Just thought I would offer the courtesy. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes, Mr. Chair, in keeping up cutting of positions that are vacant, I am making an exception for the Police Department and the Fire Department because I believe that public safety is our number one duty. I will be withholding my cuts for the Police Department and the Fire Department. I do not think I will get the votes anyway. I just wanted to make that statement.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you. We have the chief and his key staff here. Are there any direct questions of the Chief before we move any further?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Rapozo: I have a question.

Chair Furfaro: Go right ahead.

Mr. Rapozo: It is a question that does not require a response now. But we are in the cutting phase and obviously I will not be proposing any cuts in the Police Department based on what you have told us in the budget hearing that if that budget on March 15th would pass, you would be in front of us next year for more money. I am not going to propose any cuts. However, I do want you to submit to me as soon as possible any items that you may need that is core service and necessary for your function of public safety. If I could get that. When do you anticipate us getting to the adds, Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: I would say it is probably Monday.

Mr. Rapozo: Before Monday. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Anybody else? I have some comments for the Chief that I want to propose. Anybody else? Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I have no cuts.

Chair Furfaro: I want to raise a couple of concerns about operating costs just as we are here. This is my worksheet for eight (8) months into the year. I want to say on your regular straight salaries and maybe someone should make a note of what I am going to say so you can go back and look at it. You are about two percent (2%) lower than what you should be at an eight (8) month ending, Chief. In the overtime line item, you have spent eighty-six percent (86%) of your budget so far when you should have only spent about sixty-seven percent (67%). We are out of whack by about nineteen percent (19%) right now. I do not know if that is related to some earlier training that you have had or so forth, but I would like to see if I could get a better understanding because at that rate, we are going to end the year and we are not going to be in a good place.

DARRYL D. PERRY, Chief of Police: Sure, we will get those numbers for you.

Chair Furfaro: The third line item I looked at is this temporary transfer (TT) premium pay line. I guess that is from TT, officers, and so forth. This indicates that you have spent one hundred nine percent (109%) of your budget in eight (8) months. Could that be related to the accelerated training you are doing with two (2)

classes? I do not know. But I think those three (3) items, they raise some issues for me right now. I do not have anything else for the Police Department. But I would like to know you are two percent (2%) under in regular pay, you are at eighty-six percent (86%) which you should be at sixty-seven percent (67%) of your overtime, and your premium transfer pay, you have exhausted it and then some.

Mr. Perry: I will get you those answers for you right away.

Chair Furfaro: I have no other questions for your Department.
JoAnn, you have questions?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes. Chief, I think in your budget, I am not sure if it is CIP or Operating, you have something for a training building, right?

Mr. Perry: Yes, we have it in there for the training facility.
We were going to use Asset Forfeiture Funds for that.

Ms. Yukimura: Is it in this budget?

Mr. Perry: It should be in this budget, yes.

Ms. Yukimura: There was testimony about you using the Kapa'a Armory, that we has public testimony. Was that looked at as a possibility?

Mr. Perry: For a training facility?

Ms. Yukimura: Right.

Mr. Perry: We have a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Department of Defense (DOD) and part of the MOU does not cover that particular usage of the facility. We are looking at this long-term. I believe we will be using the facility for about four (4) years and we will have to find another place. We are looking at more of a permanent structure as we move forward.

Ms. Yukimura: But for temporary purposes, if you just got the MOU changed, would it be useful to use?

Mr. Perry: We could explore that possibility. It is already been signed and completed, there may be an amendment. But we could explore that.

Ms. Yukimura: It seems like if it does not require a whole lot of alterations, then I do not know how you are doing your training now, but it might enhance it. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: Chief, you are aware that the training facility money was removed right from the budget?

Ms. Yukimura: Was it?

Mr. Rapozo: According to the May 8th submittal, fifteen thousand three dollars (\$15,003).

Mr. Perry: No.

Mr. Rapozo: Yeah. That is what I am looking. In the Forfeiture Fund, the May 8th submittal, there is a reduction of fifteen thousand three dollars (\$15,003 for a modular training facility. You reduced it by fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000)? What was the original amount? Well, it was reduced by fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000). Were you aware of that?

Mr. Perry: I knew that there was a reduction, but I did not know how much.

Chair Furfaro: I want to repeat what she said. I do not think she was picked up by the microphone. The original number was seventy-eight thousand dollars (78,000), you said, in a response to Mr. Rapozo, you are acknowledging it was reduced by fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000)? Is that what you said?

DAURICE ARRUDA, Fiscal Officer I: Well, I do not know the exact amount, but it was reduced. The original amount was seventy-six thousand dollars (\$76,000) for the modular.

Chair Furfaro: Seventy-six thousand dollars, okay.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Council Chair?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: If the members wanted to take a look at it, it is reflected on page 13 of the sheet that we had circulated.

Mr. Rapozo: I see page 13. What I do not have in front of me is the original amount. It just shows the reduction.

Chair Furfaro: The original was seventy-eight thousand dollars (\$78,000). It is on page 13 of the May 8th submittal. Any more questions for the Chief? Chief, I want to let you know on the evening of the public testimony, we were given some testimony on some items from an individual. I wrote a cover letter to you and the Police Commission. If you could keep me informed on that testimony. It was issues about spending and one of the reasons that I looked at this overtime line was generated by that testimony, too. If you could just keep me posted and could have that discussion with the Police Commission, I would certainly appreciate it.

Mr. Perry: Okay, will do.

Chair Furfaro: But they did mention this TT line and the overage that we had in overtime. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Personal privilege, please. Just a short one.

Chair Furfaro: Personal privilege, yes, of course.

Mr. Kagawa: I would just like to thank the Chief. I do not get to see the Chief often. We do not have much of your stuff in my Committee. But I have sent several requests, minor type, but the response from you has been outstanding. I just wanted to thank you. One of which was homeless occupying Kalena Park and the park was not being used by the kids who really used that basketball court a lot. You did it quickly, swiftly, and the kids have returned to the park and the families enjoying the park again. I just wanted to thank you and your staff for all of that. *Mahalo*.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, I was looking at this page 13. The is gym equipment for seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000). Is that your training facility?

MICHAEL M. CONTRADES, Deputy Chief of Police: No. The gym equipment, the plan is to purchase equipment for the Officers who work on the outer districts and that is through our Asset Forfeiture Funds also so it is not impacting county budget.

Ms. Yukimura: Well, actually they are County moneys, too. But have you explored the possibility of just reimbursing people for gym membership?

Mr. Contrades: I mean that is something that has been discussed, but that was not the route we wanted to go. We wanted to have in each station, have them have their own gym.

Ms. Yukimura: Why did you choose that? I mean, I am hoping there is a rationale. Did you really explore the possibility and the other one is more expensive or something?

Mr. Contrades: If you look at it in the long run, if we continue to pay, eventually the cost of the gym equipment will be outweighed by the continuous payment of the memberships.

Ms. Yukimura: It depends how – I would love to see the actual use. I mean, the one thing about payment and I have been looking at best companies to work for and there is a competition and they promote health benefits so they reimburse. But at least you know that people are using it.

Chair Furfaro: Please get back to a question, JoAnn, please.

Ms. Yukimura: I would like to see the figures as so when it would be more expensive. How many years out would that happen before it gets more expensive? Can you do that?

Mr. Contrades: Sure.

Ms. Yukimura: That would be great. You would estimate numbers and can you do that before Monday?

Chair Furfaro: I am not requesting you to do that before next Monday unless the Councilmember wants to make a motion to cut the item, then I would

want a response appropriately. But for discussions that can come up in the Risk Management Committee and be prepared for it.

Ms. Yukimura: I certainly do not want to make a motion to cut until I get all of the information that shows the justification for it.

Chair Furfaro: Then we will look for the justification at a future Committee Meeting. I am not asking for the justification on the overtime right now. I just want to bring it to your attention. I do not need that before I vote today. Additional questions? Yes?

Mr. Rapozo: I am feeling compelled to respond to Councilmember Yukimura's request. Gosh.

Chair Furfaro: Can we hold that?

Mr. Rapozo: Okay. I will not.

Chair Furfaro: Can we hold that emotion, please?

Mr. Rapozo: But I will say this. It did not help your overtime budget when two (2) Assistant Chiefs and a Chief were put on leave because that requires an escalation of every rank up there which would take that TA pay. Anyway, I just want to make sure we understand that and thank you. I echo Mr. Kagawa's comments. The sting or whatever at Kalena Park resulted in some arrests as well. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: If those are the justifications, I would like to see your narrative and we will take it from that discussion into a Committee if need be.

Mr. Perry: Yes, sir.

Chair Furfaro: Any more questions for the Police Department? If not, thank you Chief and thank you Deputy. Thank you for the conversation I had with you in the back regarding our incident here on Wednesday evening. I know you folks will follow though for us. Thank you. Police, you are dismissed. I saw the Chief of the Fire Department – wait. Let us come back to the table. JoAnn, you have the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, thank you. I guess I am confused. I realized that I do not see the seventy-six thousand dollars (\$76,000) for a training facility in this budget. Am I wrong? The seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) is for gym equipment.

Mr. Contrades: It was reduce because we have funds from this year's budget that will not be expended from our Asset Forfeiture and so those funds will roll over into the next fiscal year. At that point, we will be coming back for a Money Bill to fund the rest of it. But we wanted to get a portion of it in there for this next budget year.

Chair Furfaro: As I read it, am I correct we have about twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) of it in? Could somebody just nod their head from the Department of Finance? You have some money carrying over from this year? Is that my assumption? I am making the right assumptions?

Ms. Yukimura: I see. I am sorry. You have sixty-two thousand dollars (\$62,000) approximately for the training facility in there. It is in the budget.

Mr. Contrades: Yes.

Ms. Yukimura: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: It is about twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) short which might come up next year I think. Are you okay, JoAnn?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Chief, I am sorry to make you come over. I thought I told you yesterday, and I am talking to the Chief of Fire, we have to break at 12:30 p.m. You will be first on the agenda at 1:30 p.m. and then Civil Defense, I believe. Chief, I do not think we have anymore for you. I will call the meeting back to order members.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Rapozo: Unless someone has anything for the Fire Chief, does anyone have any proposed cuts for the Fire Chief? Then we may not need him to come back.

Chair Furfaro: That was a good point to raise. Let me call the meeting back to order.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Police is *pau*. The question surfaced about the Fire Department, if we have any questions for him in the operational costs as we go through right now? Chief, it looks like we have none. Oh, you do have one?

Mr. Kagawa: Just one question and it will be really quick.

Chair Furfaro: Chief, will you please come up, I will suspend the rules again.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Kagawa: Can I?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In keeping in line with my vacancy list, Chief, there is one (1) position. I just want to reiterate and I apologize that day I missed the budget session on the Fire Department. But there is a Water Safety Officer that is on the vacancy list, Water Safety Officer II, was opened since January of 2013. Is that position being filled?

ROBERT F. WESTERMAN, Fire Chief: Yes, we actually have four (4) right now and we are actively recruiting. We are doing the physical agility test next week for the four (4) that are open.

Mr. Kagawa: They will serve which beach?

Mr. Westerman: Well, the four (4) that are here will be roving. They are the lifeguards, not the Water Safety Officers that are assigned to a tower so they are roving.

Mr. Kagawa: It will help to fill some needed gaps?

Mr. Westerman: Several vacancies on a daily basis, yes, sir.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Chief, I am going to keep my comments on the revenue part. I think you know what it from my discussion yesterday about the recovery of fuel costs for rescues. But that will be during the revenue portion.

Mr. Westerman: Yes, sir.

Chair Furfaro: I think you and some of your staff have an appointment in Po'ipū?

Mr. Westerman: Yes, sir.

Chair Furfaro: Have a nice day. We are going to break for lunch right now. When we come back, we will be starting where, Jade?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We are done with fire?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: All Bureaus? We will be starting with Civil Defense.

Chair Furfaro: Civil Defense members, you are in the back. You will be first in the batter's box at 1:30 p.m. We are in recess for lunch.

There being no objections, the Committee recessed at 12:32 p.m.

There being no objections, the Committee reconvened at 1:43 p.m., and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: I will call us back from a lunch recess. I believe we are going to Civil Defense now?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: A general housekeeping item, even though I implied we would go to 7:00 p.m. tonight, I think we will only go to 5:00 p.m.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: But be prepared on Monday, we may have to go for a very long day at the pace we are moving. What Department do we have up?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Council Chair, we have Civil Defense up.

Chair Furfaro: Is there anyone here from Civil Defense? The whole team, it looks like. At the beginning I see if there are questions, short questions about budget and budget only. Then I will call the meeting back to order. So, please come up.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa I will recognize you with the floor first. On a housekeeping notice, Councilmember Yukimura has made contact with me. She has an issue that requires her attention right now and she does think she will be tardy. But we need to move on. We will go on. The way that I do this is I ask members if you have any final questions for the Department before we pull everything together? Any final questions? Gentlemen, you just need to have a seat and listen. I will call the meeting back to order. Mr. Rapozo, you want the floor.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Mr. Rapozo: Yes, Mr. Chair. Last year, at the same table about the same time, there was a motion made to remove position E-2503. There was discussion regarding the necessity to have two (2) executive level position in a Department of four (4) to the tune of well over two hundred thousand dollars (200,000) with benefits. This year I noticed they moved one (1) position of County Telecommunication Officer into Civil Defense from I believe Information Technology (IT). Originally that position was at the Police Department and it went to IT and now it and now it sits in Civil Defense. We found out yesterday that the existing Executive Assistant to the Mayor has announced his retirement from that position. I still believe that it is a duplication of service or I think a duplication of work. I think Councilmember Nakamura last year put the two (2) job descriptions side-by-side and it was very clear that there were numerous duplications of job duties. That is one Department that I believe that can function. It had functioned with one (1) Manager for as long as I can remember and this would be an opportunity now because of the absence of a warm body to remove that position.

Mr. Rapozo moved to remove position E-2503 Executive Assistant to the Mayor in the amount of one hundred forty-eight thousand nine hundred forty-four dollars (\$148,944), seconded by Mr. Hooser.

Chair Furfaro: That is salaries and benefits, the number that you are reading to us?

Mr. Rapozo: Correct.

Chair Furfaro: We have a motion and a second. Mr. Bynum, you have the floor.

Mr. Bynum: I remember that meeting last year and I supported the Mayor's request even though at that moment, at that meeting, I said at the meeting. I am not clear, but the Mayor is asking for this deference and I am going to supply it. I have had a year to look into if I would support this position or not and I have come to the conclusion that I do and these are the reasons. The reason is that things have changed for Civil Defense pretty dramatically since 9/11. We had a lot of Homeland Security money come to the County which has been handled very well by Elton. But we are Kapaehaona. We are a small town. But we are also a world class famous resort. We could be the target of a terrorist kind of activity and we need to be prepared. I think we are prone to hurricanes as well. When my Mayor comes and says I feel so strongly about the health and safety of our citizenry and that we are prepared for these events that we all hope do not happen, that we be fully prepared, I feel a burden to make sure that that is why I want this position. I have a really hard time not supporting it. If that is what we need to make sure that the health and safety of our citizens is prepared and the Chief Executive of the County says I need this to feel safe and secure about it and that we are doing our very best efforts, I am going to support that.

Chair Furfaro: Further discussion? Vice Chair.

Ms. Nakamura: I am going to support this. I think staff did some research that found out that all other Counties the Head person is a Civil Servant position, not an appointed position and they all seem to get by with that. I am concerned about the trust issue, communications issues, and I just hope that that can be worked out between the Administration and the current staff. But I do have confidence that the current staff can serve this County well.

Chair Furfaro: Any further dialogue? Mr. Heu, I will suspend the rules if you want to come up and speak.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Heu: Thank you, Chair. I promised first not to insert ourselves in your decision-making to the greatest extent it was possible. But I do think on this particular issue, I feel very strongly about this. When we talk about public safety and health we focus on the Police Department and the Fire Department and sometimes Civil Defense is somewhere in the background because we do not see it every day. But when we do have a major emergency, a hurricane, tsunami warnings, forty (40) days of rain, and Kaloko Dam breaking, I mean, I cannot stress how important that Agency is. I think that I am in a position to be able to speak about that. That organization and it is functioning in my management positions both at HawaiianTel and Verizon. I was in emergency operations for two (2) hurricanes being here since 2002, I have witnessed numerous activations for various things such as storm related issues and tsunamis. To me, I just do not think that if we are going to scrimp anywhere that it would be in a critical public health safety and health organization such as Civil Defense. The reason that we created this position a few years back with the support of Council is because I think we collectively saw the wisdom in providing sufficient leadership and capacity in that organization. It was like rather than having the oversight provided by the Mayor's Office, we actually put a position in Civil Defense tied to the Mayor's Office which I think is critical because when we go into an emergency situation and an emergency is declared, the Mayor becomes the Head Emergency Officer of the County. I think building the capacity in Civil Defense was the right move and I would encourage the Council to consider that, again, in activation. Councilmember Yukimura was the Mayor at one point in time. She knows how critical that

Agency is and having the right people in that Agency to perform effectively because bottom line that is the Agency that is going to be directing all the emergency operations including Fire Department, Police Department, Department of Public Works, and all of the other Agencies including State Agencies that are involved in a unified response to an emergency. I thank you for the time, Chair, and I am more than happy to answer any questions if there are any.

Chair Furfaro: If there are any questions, I would like to keep them rather brief because as I mentioned earlier, this is not a questions & answers (Q&A) session. But if you have one more Mr. Heu, I certainly felt that it was appropriate for him to be able to speak on this subject. But are there any questions of Mr. Heu? If not, Gary, thank you very much.

Mr. Heu: Thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: We are back in order. Discussion, members?
Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is a tough one more me. I struggled with this decision. I knew it was coming and I hear the arguments to keep it here, public safety going down and I just cannot believe that losing one (1) Manager is going to do all of that. I think we have a Manager there. We have capable people in Elton and David and I think it is a small office and I do not think we need two (2) Chiefs for such a small stride. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Further discussion? JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes, it is true. I have been in the Mayor's position and I know how important it is to have someone of great confidence in a position leading the Office, someone that the Mayor has confidence in. The Mayor now has a chance to put someone in there if we keep that position. I believe he will put someone there who can really handle the job and I expect that. For that reason, I will be voting to keep the position, so I guess against the proposal to remove it.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Heu, do you want to be recognized again?

Mr. Heu: Just for clarification.

Chair Furfaro: Please come up. The rules are suspended and because you are representing the Mayor, I will allow you. But please keep it brief.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Heu: Thank you, sir. I just wanted to clarify that if you folks looked at the organizational chart that was passed out during budget presentations, there are not two (2) positions that do the same thing. That may have been how it looked last year and when you looked at the position descriptions. But with the formation of the new Human Resources (HR) Department, we have been working for a number of months now to try to figure out without having major impacts on the old

manager position, how we are able to formalize the position descriptions to show very distinct functionings. But if you look at the organizational chart that we presented, it definitely shows you two (2) distinct and separate positions and that is how it should function. So, whether or not the job descriptions as they currently exist today, prior to being modified by HR reflect it, the organizational chart shows how that organization operates on a daily basis now. I just wanted to make that clarification.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you.

Mr. Heu: Thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Discussion within the group? Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: Just real briefly. I am somewhat surprised this is a contested issue because if there is of a place where you are on the side of caution, this is it. I am going to support keeping this position.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair, this is not an issue. This position is not critical for public safety. The Office has run for decades without this position. If there was such a huge concern for public safety, the Department's budget, the Police Department's budget would not have been limited. The Fire Department's budget wouldn't have been limited. If you sit here and asked the Chief of Police what he needed and if you saw the list that he submitted for his budget, there was mean hacking off of that the budget. I know that because I saw it. Realizing that we had limitations to funding, but to say that if we cut this, we have no concern for public safety is not accurate because I can tell you, one hundred forty-eight thousand nine hundred forty-four dollars (\$148,944) could be used in the Police Department's budget with much more impact to public safety than this one. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Is there any more discussion before I ask for the vote and speak myself? Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I will be supporting the cut. I think it is very clear that the organization is top heavy. If there is excess capacity at the top, then it has to be dealt with. If we are spending too much money at top management in such a small organization, it has to be dealt with. The Administration has chosen for whatever reason, whether it is hamstrung by rules not to deal with it, it just seems to me there is far too much money being spent for management. I am going to be supporting it. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: If not, before we go to vote, I just want to say a couple of items. It is really hard to find the real tangible items here in an emergency. For myself, some of you know I was within a South Pacific Archipelago, Tonga in particular, within the archipelago we had an earthquake and a tsunami that flooded the hotel within twenty (20) minutes. I was in Aitutaki with a major earthquake, yet Iwa, I was the Hotel Manager at Sheraton Kaua'i and taking care of public life and safety as well as the guests. It is really crunch time. During Iniki I set up the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) offices at the Hilton where I was General Manager, just coming on to take over that project. In fact JoAnn sent me a letter of appreciation when she was Mayor. Thank

you very much. But I just want to let you know that within an organization and preparedness, preparedness takes a coordinated effort. So, with Teddy's resignation effective June 30th, his departure, that is a question for all of you to consider. But I just want to let you know, I have been there in these tough times. I have been there worrying about employees because I am sure the County has and it is probably one that I am not going to support removing. But we will do a roll call vote now. The motion is again – what was the amount, Mel, to remove?

Mr. Rapozo: The amount is to remove position E-2503 Executive Assistant to the Mayor and the associated benefits. The number is one hundred forty-eight thousand nine hundred forty-four dollars (\$148,944).

Chair Furfaro: Roll call vote, please.
The motion to remove position E-2503 Executive Assistant to the Mayor in the amount of one hundred forty-eight thousand nine hundred forty-four dollars (\$148,944) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Hooser, Kagawa, Nakamura, Rapozo	TOTAL – 4,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Bynum, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 3,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 4:3.

Chair Furfaro: 4:3, for removal of that amount, it takes precedence. Next item, we are in Civil Defense. Does anybody have anything else on Civil Defense? If not, Civil Defense, does anybody who wants reconsideration? No, it is closed. Civil Defense people, thank you for being here.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Council Chair, we now move into the Department of Public Works, Public Works Administration.

Chair Furfaro: Yes, Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I would like to comment on the budget in general.

Chair Furfaro: Sure.

Mr. Hooser: I just want to make a comment and you can call it a personal privilege or whatever. I just think that it is important that Councilmembers know that when we started the session I introduced a measure to do an across the board two percent (2%) cut on all of the salaries.

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Hooser: There was discussion about whether or not it was legal and what options were available? At the end of that discussion I was going to be checking with the County Attorney's Office, they would get back to me on Monday. Since then, I have spoken briefly to the County Attorney and looking at the process, I have decided to withdraw my intent to do that on Monday for a variety of reasons. I thought it was a good, more elegant if you would, solution because it was across the board and would

have affected everyone. But now that we have started into the process and we have already cut some positions or have funded some position and now it is a lot less elegant and I would be supporting the direction put forth by Councilmember Kagawa and others to look at individual positions and either eliminating them or short-fund them individually. I do not want people thinking that we are going to come back on Monday with an answer to that. If people want to feel they want to pursue that on their own, they are welcome to do. If they feel they want to pursue that objective. But just so no one is thinking about it and expecting me to come back. I have already told Ms. Esaki not to spend her Mother's Day working on a legal opinion for me that would not be used. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Well, we will consider that a personal privilege and since the request to do it on Monday was directed by the Chair, but you have so eloquently rationalized why we are not doing it, I just want to say Amy, the memo went over yesterday from me so just ignore it. Have a good Mother's Day as Mr. Hooser said.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you, Chair. I did not want to supersede your authority. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: So, we are at that part and it is good to be as efficient as possible. I do want to say though Mr. Hooser, there are a couple of items that I have on my list that we will talk in general because they affect all Departments. Obviously, all Departments consume energy/electricity and there needs to be a goal. Obviously, all Departments have issues with Workers' Compensation premiums. We will talk about that on Monday. I have recommendations. No other reconsiderations? We are finished with Civil Defense. Again, thank you, gentlemen. Next is the Department of Public Works, you say?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes, the next Department is the Department of Public Works.

Chair Furfaro: Jade, how would we break that broken down now?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: If you would like, we could do it by Division.

Chair Furfaro: I would like to do it by Division.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Did you want to include all of the Department of Public Works, including the different funds?

Chair Furfaro: We might as well.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Okay.

Chair Furfaro: Is the County Engineer in the building? Larry, did you understand how we are going to proceed with that? We are going to go down by Division. But if we have questions I will call you up by Division. Let us have a start, other than CIP, okay? Other than CIP.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: This would include Highway Funds, Solid Waste, and Wastewater.

Chair Furfaro: Yes. We will go to the Highway Fund first.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Or we could do Public Works Administration and continue with the General Fund.

Chair Furfaro: Okay, let us do Public Works Administration. Mayor, thank you for being with us in the afternoon. I know you had very special guests with our Sister-City Program most of the morning. Engineering Administration? Do I have anything? I am sorry, Public Works Administration. Nothing from the floor, we can move on.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Public Works – Fiscal.

Chair Furfaro: Public Works – Fiscal? Nothing.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Public Works – Engineering.

Chair Furfaro: Public Works – Engineering? Nothing.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Public Works – Building.

Chair Furfaro: Public Works – Building? Members, Public Works – Building? Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: I am not sure if this is a correct one and I kind of told, as Chair of Public Works / Parks & Recreation, I know that the primary function that is very visible to the community. But in telling them that I would not cut a position, I am not going to cut a live body, but I am going to propose cutting a vacancy where we do not intend to hire soon and not cut, but dollar-fund. If I can get Larry up. This is position number, and you may need help of Personnel, Position No. 1021 Maintenance Worker I. Where are we on...?

Chair Furfaro: Lyle, why do not you come up as well.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

LARRY DILL, P.E., County Engineer: Position 1021 has been filled.

Mr. Kagawa: Has been filled?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Kagawa: I am done. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: We are in Buildings. Before I close on Buildings and so forth, Larry, I delivered a short message to the Police Chief about some concerns that we have with trends dealing with overtime and so forth. I just want to ask you real quick, is there anything there the Building Division that you believe we cannot, and I am asking you this question because it is over in the Department of Parks and Recreation but you guys have the expertise, that would prevent us from doing the repair & maintenance (R&M) on the Wailua Golf Course restaurant and plumbing that would prevent us to be done by August 1st, simple “yes” or “no”.

Mr. Dill: Mr. Chair, I am afraid I cannot give you a simple “yes” or “no”. I believe that the Department of Parks and Recreation is planning on outsourcing that work so we have not been involved in that discussion. So, we have not considered it.

Chair Furfaro: Please get yourselves involved in that discussion. There is a lot of potential revenue is tied to that date. If you can extend – and I think the value we are talking about, if you can extend them some *kōkua*, all I am asking you is do you see any red flags that we cannot make? They gave us a July 1 date. I gave them an extra thirty (30) days and we can kill that from the Building Division. Any other questions for building? We are going to move on, Larry, from Building. You and Lyle may as well stay there.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Next section of the Department of Public Works.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Council Chair it is Public Works – Building Repair & Maintenance.

Chair Furfaro: Questions from anywhere? Larry I am going to ask you for something for the future that describes the rationale why some of these things are in the Department of Parks and Recreation and some of them are in the Department of Public Works, so we understand what you folks rationale is. I am sure having Ian as the Deputy Parks Director and having an architectural background is part of that. But I just want to make sure that there is some definition that we know. What are the criteria of how a project goes where? No other questions, next area.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Next area would be Public Works – Janitorial.

Chair Furfaro: Public Works – Janitorial. Any questions? My compliments to Millicent Akena, you found a real champion there. She is on it with our carpets, our furniture polishing here, and so forth. I just want to pass that onto. She was a great find for your Janitorial Division.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: Thank you. We will pass that on. We hear that from a lot of Departments, so thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Anything else in Janitorial? If not, Janitorial is closed. Next item.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The next item is Highway Fund.

Chair Furfaro: Highway Fund. Mr. Kagawa.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Highway Fund would also include on page 12, the portion of Transportation.

Mr. Kagawa: Highway Fund, includes the Transportation?

Chair Furfaro: A portion of it.

Mr. Kagawa: Alright, position number 899. It is TS and Marking Crew Leader.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: We had identified someone who initially indicated a desire to go into that position and that process began and then that individual changed their mind and pulled back. Now we are going through recruit/examination and anticipated to be completed by the end of this fiscal year.

Mr. Kagawa: It has been open since October 11th and I got this report March 30th that is why I am asking you that now. This is the late that latest that we got.

Mr. Dill: Well, part of this also has to do with as we presented to Council some time ago on reorganization of the Roads Division and so as we mentioned in that presentation, we did purposefully hold off on filling some vacancies until after consultation with the Union because we did not want to hire them and then have to try to move the positions. Now that the consultation has been complete, we are moving forward with all the vacancies there were in the Roads Division.

Mr. Kagawa: It says, Marking Crew Leader.

Mr. Dill: Right.

Mr. Kagawa: They do not have a leader right now, the marking crew?

Mr. Dill: Well, somebody is TAing the position.

Mr. Kagawa: TA?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Kagawa: Okay.

Chair Furfaro: I am going to second that request, position 899 for a Lead, it says Lead Traffic Sign Marking Person.

Mr. Dill: That was the position we just addressed.

Chair Furfaro: I thought you said you dealt with the gentleman and then he did not accept the job and you are back to recruiting?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: For that position?

Mr. Dill: Correct.

Chair Furfaro: You have not recruited him yet?

Mr. Dill: We had somebody who was a candidate for that position.

Chair Furfaro: Right, he dropped out.

Mr. Dill: Right.

Chair Furfaro: So, you are back to recruiting.

Mr. Dill: Right.

Chair Furfaro: Right. I am saying, I am seconding that discussion because it is not like there is nobody there to paint lines.

Mr. Dill: Oh, correct.

Chair Furfaro: Right? This is the Leader, right?

Mr. Dill: Correct.

Chair Furfaro: I had mentioned earlier and I think following up on Mr. Hooser's comment, I had about one hundred sixty-four thousand dollars (\$164,000) of positions that I wanted to visit and this is one of them, comes to forty-two thousand eight hundred sixteen dollars (\$42,816). So, for discussion, I would second Mr. Kagawa.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you. I am going to propose the cut then. We will just call for the question, dollar-funded.

Mr. Kagawa moved to dollar fund "Position No. 899 – T.S. & Marking Crew Leader" in the amount of seventy-one thousand six hundred eighty-seven dollars (\$71,687), seconded by Mr. Furfaro.

Chair Furfaro: Dollar fund that position. Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I was Chair of the Public Works Committee for four (4) years and this sign shop supports a lot of other Departments and in my view had been underfunded and undermanned and it caused us difficulties in the past and I think we are back on-track. I am not going to support removing this position. I did not get to follow-up about thermal marking, but I will talk to you later. This is a safety issue, too. When our roads are not marked well, especially at night, especially people who are old like me, it gets me really nervous and this is a really important Division that kind of in past has been neglected and I do not believe it is neglected now. But taking the position out could cause difficulties.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn?

Ms. Yukimura: I think this is an essential function for the County. I also do not want to penalize Departments that have been reorganizing to better meet current conditions and to see how to do the work better with less. I think this has been somewhat caught up in that process. I do not want to penalize the Department of Public Works by removing an essential position.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I am supporting the cut. As we go through the budget, virtually everything, I think, adds some value and we just cannot afford it. I think at the end of the day we have announced that we have to get one million five hundred dollars (\$1,500,000) more. It had to come from somewhere whether it comes from paperclips and pencils or positions or insurance or electricity or taxes out of the public's pocket. It has to come from somewhere. If we have a position that is vacant, the work is still getting done now where it is not a warm body, we are not impacting anybody's family, I think when we have those opportunities, and we should take them. I will be supporting it.

Chair Furfaro: Lyle, I want to make sure that I understood.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Chair Furfaro: You have somebody right now that is TA to supervise the crew?

LYLE TABATA, Deputy County Engineer: Right and they are short a man.

Chair Furfaro: This is budget time. Those decisions are ours, not to carry discussion. You have someone there that is TA to supervise the crew? That was the answer, yes?

Mr. Tabata: He is a working foreman.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you. Any further discussion? If not, roll call vote, please.

The motion to dollar fund "Position No. 899 – T.S. & Marking Crew Leader" in the amount of seventy-one thousand six hundred eighty-seven dollars (\$71,687) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR DOLLAR FUNDING: Hooser, Kagawa, Rapozo, Furfaro	TOTAL – 4,
AGAINST DOLLAR FUNDING: Bynum, Nakamura, Yukimura	TOTAL – 3,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 4:3.

Chair Furfaro: 4:3.

Mr. Kagawa: It was dollar funded?

Chair Furfaro: Yes, it was dollar funded. Gentlemen, I want to make sure that you understand, it is dollar funded. The position line item did not go away. But if you get to a critical point, you need to come and see us because the position will be there. But for now, we are accepting the temporary transfer of supervising this paint crew. Any more positions/items?

Mr. Kagawa: Yes, Mr. Chair. Hanapēpē Base Yard, Position No. 941, a Laborer. Where are we on position 941? It was not filled since January 2012, a year and four months.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Tabata: We are calling Crystal to explain.

CRYSTAL FUJIKAWA, Human Resources Manager II: 941 was part of the reorganization so that was held up until we got the reorganization implemented. Since then, we are holding it up for a Workers' Compensation case.

Chair Furfaro: I am sorry I did not hear you.

Ms. Fujikawa: We are holding it up for a Workers' Comp case, to offer an individual a position.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I do not understand. What do you mean we are holding it up for a Workers' Compensation case?

Ms. Fujikawa: I am not sure I can discuss this in open session. But we are holding a position available for an employee who is not able to return to his job.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Propose dollar-funded and let us call for the question.

Mr. Rapozo: Did you make a motion? Is that your motion?

Mr. Kagawa: Yes, that is my motion.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Mr. Kagawa moved to dollar fund "Position 941 –Laborer I" in the amount of fifty-seven thousand two hundred forty-six dollars (\$57,246), seconded by Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: I have a question as well?

Chair Furfaro: So do a few other members. Tim, you have the floor, then Nadine.

Mr. Bynum: I think we have these situations in the County where positions by law have to be held open and so I do not know if we can cut a position or not fund a position in this circumstance. Let me just say two (2) things and I am going to ask Larry a question. I think hearing that from Crystal needs to give us caution. It is not the only circumstance in the County where that is happening as we speak. I want to remind Councilmembers that the Mayor did send us a budget with a number of positions dollar funded and they went through this process of saying what are the positions that they felt were necessary? Any position that we cut, is after that review and maybe we need Amy to tell us. But I do not know that we can cut a position that is being held open pending an outcome of a Workers' Compensation case. I do not know.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Dill, I will suspend the rules. You need to give us a short answer because we are in deliberations. But you want the floor, you have it.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: Thank you, sir. I do not know the technicalities or the legal response. But from our perspective, this is a Workers' Compensation/personnel issue and this individual remains out until it is resolved and when it is resolved, we will need a position for that person to come back to.

Mr. Rapozo: I have a question.

Chair Furfaro: You have a question for him? Go right ahead.

Mr. Rapozo: What is the anticipating return date?

Mr. Dill: End of the fiscal year.

Mr. Rapozo: This fiscal year?

Chair Furfaro: So, your anticipated return is June 30th?

Mr. Dill: Correct.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Discussion? I do also want to caution everybody if this is something that is dealing with a Workers' Compensation piece. I think we have we have had enough advice from our insurance people that the fact of the matter is that is a territory we should not venture into. I will not be supporting the removal. Call for the vote.

The motion to dollar fund "Position No. 941 – Laborer I" in the amount of fifty-seven thousand two hundred forty-six dollars (\$57,246) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR DOLLAR FUNDING: Kagawa, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
AGAINST DOLLAR FUNDING: Bynum, Hooser, Nakamura, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,

SILENT: None

TOTAL – 0.

Chair Furfaro: The motion fails 2:5.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 2:5.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Rapozo: With that vote, I will expect a briefing in Executive Session at the next available spot regarding that specific case. The reason is I just do not see how we can keep paid or funded positions, the position can remain. But that position was vacant for over a year. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Your query is well understood. Members, if I can ask you, we will stay on the items here. But please do not depend on me to remember every request. Let us make sure that we remind the staff, too. Mr. Bynum said something the other day that earlier today that he is getting up there in age, that maybe fifty (50) something. Hey, I am sixty-four (64) folks. I cannot keep all of the notes. Give it to the staff and we will follow through, Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: In my continued effort to reach my goal of cutting two million dollars (\$2,000,000), I need to continue on this task of cutting vacant positions. So, Position No. 956, a Bridge Maintenance Worker.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: We have interviewed, selected, and made an offer for that position. This is another one of the positions that affected by the Roads reorganization. As we presented to the council some time ago and negotiated with you and it took a while, once that was done, we are moving quickly to fill all of these positions. This position, we have interviewed, we have selected, and we have made an offer to this individual.

Mr. Kagawa: Okay, moving on. Can I continue?

Chair Furfaro: I want to send a housekeeping item in. Larry, there is a little different from a Council Meeting. When we are in deliberation, if you are going to respond, let me see your hand, so I can suspend the rules and you can speak to that specific point.

Mr. Dill: My apologies.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceed as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: I am sorry, that was my last one for Highways.

Chair Furfaro: Very good. What is this Division called?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We went through Hanapēpē Base Yard and the last one was with Signs & Roads Marking.

Chair Furfaro: I got a position question for you gentlemen, so I am going suspend the rules.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Chair Furfaro: I had two (2) positions on my list, one of them earlier was similar to Mr. Kagawa. But where is this Power Generating Repair Position No. 2469? Where is that?

Mr. Rapozo: Are you in Highways, Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: I thought I was in the Department of Public Works.

Mr. Rapozo: Is that Highway?

Mr. Kagawa: Highway Fund. Where are we?

Chair Furfaro: I am sorry. I had my old vacancy sheet with the number. Position No. 2469 is described as a Power Generator Repair Person. Could you help me with this?

Mr. Dill: My Personnel Director tells me that is not in the Department of Public Works.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. That is fine.

Ms. Yukimura: Where is it?

Chair Furfaro: I will go dig it up somewhere else.

Mr. Dill: It is in the Water Department.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. What Department?

Mr. Dill: Water.

Chair Furfaro: Oh, the Water Department.

Mr. Bynum: Got it.

Chair Furfaro: Now it makes sense to me. These are, Larry, for all the pump stations, wells, and so forth, I guess? That is probably where it is at? Got it. Thank you very much.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: I am sorry, Mr. Chair, I missed one. I assumed that Auto Maintenance was in their own Department, but it comes out the Highway Fund. I have Position No. 1336, a Construction Equipment Mechanic I. It is been open for about two (2) years, a year and a half. Where are we?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: This position has been open for a while. With recent improvements or upgrades, more technologically things and equipment, we had started to recruit for this and then recognizing that we needed to change the position description because a lot more electronics are required a higher level of certification. We are looking at a higher position description and we are have not resolved the problems with figuring out the position description though recently been attacking this one again to formalize exactly what we want. Also, because the Water Department and I think it is Transportation Agency of the Fire Department looking for a similar position has found it difficult to find an appropriate candidate out there. We have been working with their recruitments as well to keep tabs on those and so we have not found a candidate out there prior to going because we do not want to go out there and recruit and end up with someone that we are not necessarily looking for.

Mr. Kagawa: Well, just talking to regular mechanics, I can see that might be a problem because they say a lot of cars now, the new ones, are all computerized and much different than the past.

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Kagawa: Chair, I would recommend that we dollar fund it and when they are ready, they can come back and fund probably a higher amount, as soon as possible (ASAP). So, proposed dollar-funding.

Chair Furfaro: Let me see if we have other Councilmembers with questions.

Mr. Kagawa moved to dollar fund "Position No. 1336 – Construction Equipment Mechanic I" in the amount of seventy-nine thousand three hundred eighty-six dollars (\$79,386), seconded by Mr. Hooser.

Chair Furfaro: I have a second from Mr. Hooser. Is that correct, Mr. Hooser?

Mr. Hooser: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Let me recognize Mr. Bynum for a question while the rules are suspended and then Vice Chair Nakamura.

Mr. Bynum: Well, we have had a lot of discussion about the Automotive Shop over the last couple of years and I believe it is tight there but we are

putting in some storage facilities to try to make better use of the space. When do you anticipate these issues will be resolved and you will need this funding? We are still in question and answer.

Mr. Dill: We have recently completed – there are actually two (2) projects that Dwayne is taking care of with the Auto Shop to make the space more efficient. There was moving of the column so we turning two (2) bays into three (3), that has been accomplished. Also the next project, which is in the works, is creating a mezzanine to enhance storage capabilities to get the things off of the floor and make more work space downstairs. He could use this position now.

Mr. Bynum: Now?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Bynum: But you have some issues to resolve, right?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Bynum: I am only thinking about whether we could partially fund it for a portion of the year to give you time to resolve those issues and recruit.

Mr. Dill: We had discussed that on our side and our proposal would be requesting it would be funded for half a year.

Mr. Bynum: Half a year?

Mr. Dill: For half a year because we feel we would able to find the right person by that time.

Mr. Bynum: This serves so many Departments and we are on a roll here get something issues resolved to the best our abilities without building a whole new shop which some day we will have to do.

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Bynum: I would not want you to lose momentum and I suggest that we consider half year funding as opposed to taking it out.

Mr. Dill: Okay, thank you.

Chair Furfaro: You have the floor.

Ms. Nakamura: My question was asked and answered.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: I just want to really confirm that that will work for you and it would not interfere with your timetable in any way, the half year?

Mr. Dill: That is our best projection right now. The possibility exists that somebody might walk in the door tomorrow, in which case we could

come back to Council and ask your support for full year funding. But our projection now is that half a year is a reasonable estimate.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Just to clarify, if we dollar funded and somebody walked in tomorrow, you could use the unspent funding from the other position that is vacant to shift those funds to this position to hire, is that correct?

Mr. Dill: If we had unspent funds, yes.

Mr. Hooser: We have one (1) position that we did not dollar fund. You have other vacancies, so you could shift those monies around, if you needed it, if you had it?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Staff, let me ask, what is the half year position dollar amount? Thirty-nine thousand six hundred ninety-three dollars (\$39,693) without benefits, right? With benefits, that is including PT&E. I have a tendency myself to speak to that. I would prefer the six (6) months rather than the dollar funded. I will tell what is really critical about the dollar funded and I said this from the beginning, for any reason that we have to go back and revisit the budget, we want to leave ourselves an audit trail that says we did this position halftime. We did not go somewhere else to find the money in there. That is a hard audit trail to follow. But you are asking for the position to be dollar funded is that what is on the table right now?

Mr. Kagawa: No, Mr. Chair I want to withdraw my motion and let us amend my motion to include halftime because I can count.

Mr. Kagawa withdrew his motion to dollar fund "Position No. 1336 – Construction Equipment Mechanic I" in the amount of seventy-nine thousand three hundred eighty-six dollars (\$79,386). Mr. Hooser withdrew his second.

Mr. Kagawa moved for six (6) month funding of "Position No. 1336 – Construction Equipment Mechanic I" with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-nine thousand six hundred ninety-three dollars (\$39,693), seconded by Mr. Hooser.

Chari Furfaro: Okay. Joann you have the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: If I could say that if it is all right just to speak. I do not have a question anymore. But I see this Division or shop as very important place. If there are delays in getting the equipment fixed then our people out in the field cannot work. I am comfortable voting for the half year position because Mr. Dill has indicated that they can manage with that.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: I was just reminded by staff that we short funded some positions and we never indicated that it was to be for the second half of the fiscal year. I just want to make that blanket statement that all of these short funded positions are for the end of the year working backwards.

Chair Furfaro: Let me do this. I am going to call the meeting back order.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: I would like to have a motion that says on all the positions that we have done half year funding, it is the intention of the Council that funding is for the third and fourth quarter of next year.

Mr. Rapozo moved that all positions reduced for half time is for the second half of the year to start January 2014, seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: May I say an acknowledgement on that.

The motion that all positions reduced for half time is for the second half of the year to start January 2014 was then put, and unanimously carried.

Chair Furfaro: It is understood. Thank you. JoAnn just had the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: I am done.

Chair Furfaro: Did somebody want to talk again before I call for the vote? Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Just real quick, part is a process question. But I will be supporting, obviously, this motion. Looking at the one million three hundred ninety-seven thousand dollars (\$1,397,000), I just want to be clear for the body that I am not able to support any additional tax increases to get to that number and so for myself personally, it will have to come through cuts. I am not sure how many more Departments and how many more positions and I just want to encourage everyone to focus on, as painful as it is, to get to that number. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: But I want to make sure what I heard. You would not be supporting any more taxes, but you might be supporting some additional fees or none at all because I have a one hundred thousand dollar (\$100,000) proposal that we are going to see that is about the golf course?

Mr. Hooser: I will rephrase. It is going to be very difficult for me to support any new fees or increases on anything.

Chair Furfaro: Very good.

Mr. Hooser: But I will keep my options open to hearing people's presentations. But I think cuts are the way ultimately, the majority of this is going

to happen. I do not want to get squeezed at the end. You talk about a squeeze play when we only have one (1) Department left and we are still quite a ways. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Understood, your position is understood as being it will be difficult for you.

Mr. Rapozo: I would echo that as well. Just for my colleagues, I noticed a lot of these cuts are not passing. Just so that they understand as well, that I am in the same line with Mr. Hooser, that there is going to have to be some action to make up that money and if it is not coming from taxes or fees, I am very much open to your golf course proposal after seeing spreadsheet. So, my options are open. But as far as Property Tax or anything else, we have to seriously consider the reduction of expenditures. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you and thank you both for at least understanding. I have a couple of revenue proposals that will not go out until Monday. Did we take a vote? No? We are going to do a roll call now for that position. But I want to say something, Larry, to you. Regardless of how the vote falls over here, Dwayne Adachi, this person should be the Manager of the Year. I was very impressed with his presentation. He showed the strengths and weaknesses on the options. He came very well prepared. Whatever that is worth from the Council Chair, I would certainly say that this gentleman is deserving of getting nominated for some recognition.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: Thank you, we will pass that on.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Roll call.

The motion for six (6) month funding of "Position No. 1336 – Construction Equipment Mechanic I" with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-nine thousand six hundred ninety-three dollars (\$39,693) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR FUNDING: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Nakamura, Rapozo, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 7,
AGAINST FUNDING: None	TOTAL – 0,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Seven (7) ayes.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you very much. Are we still within
Mr. Dill's and Lyle's Divisions?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes we are, if everyone is done with Auto
Maintenance.

Chair Furfaro: We are done. No reconsiderations for Automotive? No? We are done.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We can go back up to Roads Administration or Highways Administration.

Chair Furfaro: Questions on Roads Administration. Larry, I am going to make a general statement. I do think we, we as the body, would like more detail about the two (2) year incremental on roads because you have said to us that we will get a few additional miles based on the fact that there will be a larger project release. But nobody is telling us is it ten percent (10%) more? Is it fifteen percent (15%) more? Is it seven percent (7%) more? I would really like to you give that some thought in the event of a future query in the Roads Department. Doing this two (2) year rotation will give us what kind of leverage for additional and just some narrative to explain it. Any further questions for Roads? If not, we can go to the next area.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Next item is Kapa'a Base Yard.

Chair Furfaro: Kapa'a Base Yard. You have your hand up JoAnn?

Ms. Yukimura: No.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. No questions for the Kapa'a Base Yard. Moving on.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Next item is the Hanalei Base Yard.

Chair Furfaro: Hanalei Base Yard. No questions? Moving on.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: I just want to verify that we have completed Signs & Road Marking and the Transportation portion of the Highway Fund. The next item would be Solid Waste on page 13 of our worksheet. The Solid Waste Fund, first item being Solid Waste Disposal.

Chair Furfaro: We are going to Solid Waste.

Ms. Yukimura: I am sorry. When you say the Transportation part of our Highways Fund, you mean the bus budget, right?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Councilmember Yukimura, the portion on page 12 that that you have identified. Scott is there.

Ms. Yukimura: Okay. I am fine. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: We are going to do that later.

Ms. Yukimura: I want to do additions.

Chair Furfaro: Today is not addition day.

Ms. Yukimura: I know.

Chair Furfaro: Today is subtraction day.

Ms. Yukimura: I know, that is why I said I am ready to go on,
Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Mr. Chair, it will be difficult for me to search
which Division of Solid Waste, so I am just going to bring up the two (2) that I have here.
Position No. 1923. It is a Landfill Operation Assistant, Kekaha.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Dill.

Mr. Kagawa: Where are we on that?

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Dill, would you like to respond?

Mr. Dill: Yes. We went through the recruitment, we made
a selection, we made an offer, and our offer was declined. Now we have to re-announce that
recruitment, work through that process again.

Mr. Kagawa: Realistically, how long will it take to have a live
body in that position?

Mr. Dill: We anticipate two (2) months.

Mr. Kagawa: Okay, I will be counting on that. Next position.

Chair Furfaro: Excuse me, I want to get some clarification.
Mr. Dill, we are talking two (2) months from May 10th. You are basically saying by July 1st,
that position would be filled.

Mr. Dill: July 10th, yes.

Chair Furfaro: We tried to push, just a little push. Very good.
Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor again.

Mr. Kagawa: Mr. Chair, if I was really a hard budgeter, I
would prorate that one (1) month. But I will not put staff through that that would not be
worth it. Let us go to position...

Chair Furfaro: I wanted you to know being a baseball proficient,
that was a big concession on his part because is he not going to throw it high and inside.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Position No. 1932.
Landfill. Is that the same one that I read? Instead of 1923, 1932.

Mr. Dill: That position has been filled.

Mr. Kagawa: Has been filled?

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I have no more questions for Solid Waste.

Chair Furfaro: Solid Waste questions? Solid Waste questions as they relate to the budget? Moving on.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: So, that would be all Solid Waste areas disposal, collections, and recycling crossed off?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The next item would be Wastewater.

Chair Furfaro: Wastewater. Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I want to thank the staff and personnel for coming up with this list as of March 30th. Thank you very much and if you folks do not like answering the questions, you can blame them. Let us go to Wastewater, right? Position No. 1003, Maintenance Mechanic I.

Mr. Dill: Position No. 1003, we have gone through recruitment and we are right now we are scheduling interviews for those positions. We have received applications and scheduling the interviews.

Mr. Kagawa: How long do we think it is going to take, approximately?

Mr. Tabata: The interview is on the 14th for the personnel and if the position gets accepted within two (2) weeks, the notification goes out and we schedule physical, drug test, etcetera and paperwork. I would say within a month and a half the position should be filled.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you. Next one. Can I continue, Mr. Chair?

Chair Furfaro: Yes, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Position No. 1989, Wastewater Operator Assistant.

Mr. Dill: This one has a little background to it. As Council is well aware, the Waimea Wastewater Treatment Plant going through update and expansion, in all likelihood for certain, it is eventually going to be upgrade and classified by Department of Health from Class III to Class IV plant. Our current DRC Operator in direct response is in charge of that plant, holds a Grade III license and to-date has not passed the Grade IV exam. Ultimately, we will need a Grade IV Operator there. In the event that that current individual in the position is not able to get the Grade IV license, we are holding this position for him to return to or to move into.

Mr. Kagawa: I am confused. If we dollar fund it, would that work?

Mr. Dill: If we dollar fund it, we will need the position. I would request that we fund it for six (6) months because by that time, because we will need that position one way or the other, because also we understand that we will need to increase our staffing with the upgrade of the plant. My request would be to consider funding it for six (6) months.

Mr. Kagawa: Are you sure? Crystal? I just want to make sure it is good. I do not want to do it and find out we should not have done it. But six (6) months sounds good? She is nodding her head. Chair, I want to make the motion that we take half of sixty-two thousand eight hundred sixty-six dollars (\$62,866) and divide it by two (2). We will cut that half a year.

Mr. Kagawa moved for six (6) month funding for "Position No. 1989 – Wastewater Treatment Plant Operator Assistant" with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-one thousand four hundred thirty-three dollars (\$31,433), seconded by Mr. Rapozo.

Chair Furfaro: We have a motion and a second. Larry, before we vote, I have a question for you. The plant upgrade is from R2 to R1 water?

Mr. Dill: That is correct.

Chair Furfaro: That will happen on the recyclable water by midyear next year?

Mr. Dill: The actual completion of the work is – I believe anticipated by this summer.

Chair Furfaro: Oh, okay.

Mr. Dill: But then the Department of Health has somewhat of a lengthy process to go through to reevaluate the plant.

Chair Furfaro: I am fine. I understand. Congratulations to you on the R1 upgrade. Any further discussions?

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: If not, the motion is to fund for six (6) months. Do we know what that savings would be?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Thirty-one thousand four hundred thirty-three dollars (\$31,433).

Chair Furfaro: That is plus PT&E or that is inclusive?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Inclusive of.

Chair Furfaro: Roll call, please.

The motion Mr. Kagawa moved for six (6) month funding of "Position No. 1989 – Wastewater Treatment Plant Operator Assistant" with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-one thousand four hundred thirty-three dollars (\$31,433), was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR FUNDING: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Nakamura, Rapozo, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 7,
AGAINST FUNDING: None	TOTAL – 0,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Seven (7) ayes.

Chair Furfaro: Seven (7) ayes. Thank you. Moving right along.
Any more in Wastewater? Wastewater is *pau*. Next.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The next item would be the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Chair Furfaro: Larry and Lyle thank you very much and thank you for understanding some of the requirements that we have. Department of Parks and Recreation. Where do we go after the Department of Parks and Recreation?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: After the Department of Parks and Recreation is Elderly Affairs and then the Housing Agency.

Chair Furfaro: I see all of them here, good.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The first item, Chair, would be the Department of Parks and Recreation Administration.

Chair Furfaro: Parks and Recreation Administration, no questions? Next.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Parks and Recreation – Fiscal.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa, Parks and Recreation – Fiscal.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Kagawa: Lenny, and thank you, Mr. Chair. I announced this earlier and I was very hesitant to even talk about cutting the Departments that I so much rely on to try and accomplish things for the community. I think my first five (5) months yourself, your Department, and Larry's folks have been tremendous.

LEONARD A. RAPOZO, JR., Director of Parks and Recreation: Thank you.

Mr. Kagawa: But we made some decisions not to follow with the Fuel Tax increase and so on and we have to be accountable. So, that is why I am just going through the vacancy list. I have two (2) in your Department. I feel like I am biting

the hand that feeds me, but I am just going to go through it anyway. I have more than two (2). The first one is Park Security Officer I, position 1215. Where are we on that?

Chair Furfaro: You have the floor, Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: We went out for testing earlier because we have two (2) vacant Security Officer positions, of the candidates one (1) was made an offer for a position. Unfortunately, the eligibility list is good until May 20th. All the other candidates on that list we felt were not appropriate for this position. We are waiting for the expiration of the May 20th list and then we are going to go out again for a new list.

Mr. Kagawa: I guess, realistically when is that earliest we think we might be able to get that person in the Office and working?

Mr. Rapozo: Personnel has been really good now that we have established how we have been doing this. It takes about three (3) months to four (4) months for them to qualify a list, for testing, for us to interview, and then to make the offer and hire.

Mr. Kagawa: I will not propose anything for that one. Can I move on?

Chair Furfaro: Yes, you still have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Position No. 1345, it is an Irrigation Repair Technician. Chair, let me know if I am drifting off subject because there are various Divisions. I am confused which one goes under which. The Irrigation Repair Technician, that one has been vacant for a long time, 1345. It is been vacant for four (4) years.

Mr. Rapozo: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Go ahead.

Mr. Rapozo: Actually, that is not real true. That position is a converted position that was a Truck Driver position that was dollar funded. When the Mayor made the conversion from going dollar funded to fully funded, we looked at the operations and the needs of that particular Division which falls under Beautification West and we could get away with a Truck Driver as operations are now so we converted that Truck Driver position into an Irrigation Repair Technician which is done within this past year. In order to establish this position, we have been working with Human Resources and they needed to come up with a position description and qualifications. What they have done is they have gone Statewide to see all of the specifications from every County into what we are looking for in this particular position in order to come up with the position description. We received the position description on April 12th and we are addressing the questions that were posed from Human Resources to address whatever the concerns are with the position and we were sending back our comments.

Mr. Kagawa: It seems like we are a little while away. Yu think we can maybe fund six (6) months? Do you think that will work or is your desire to start the position a lot sooner?

Mr. Rapozo: Well, if I could, I would like to start it sooner. But we have a ways to go because what is also going to be involved will also be a test because we want the candidate to be able to do certain functions. So, there will be tests involved.

Mr. Kagawa: That is awesome, Lenny, that you folks are looking at making sure that that person can do the irrigation work or whatever that you want them to do because there are a lot of problems that happens with our irrigation.

Mr. Rapozo: Well, actually we do not have an Irrigation Repair in our Department which causes a lot of problems, a lot of problems, a lot of problems.

Mr. Kagawa: Chair, if I can make a motion to take half of Position No. 1345.

Ms. Yukimura: What page are we on?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Councilmember Yukimura, this is page 193, Parks and Recreation Beautification.

Mr. Kagawa: If we can take half of that number and put it up and I will make a motion to delete six months funding. Thank you.

Mr. Kagawa moved for six month funding for "Position No. 1345 – Irrigation Repair Technician" with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-two thousand five hundred forty-five dollars (\$32,545), seconded by Mr. Hooser.

Chair Furfaro: Lenny, I have questions for you from Mr. Bynum on the motion that is on the floor as well. Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: Lenny, I think you know that I am very pleased that you are creating this position. You and I have talked a lot about irrigation issues, both current and future. We are more irrigation than we did in the past, right?

Mr. Rapozo: Yes.

Mr. Bynum: In fact, I was really concerned to see cars parked at King Kaumuali'i for May Day on top of our new lawn and irrigation today. So, we may need this person tomorrow. I just want to make sure you are not delayed on this. Is half year okay or would three quarters of a year be better? I think the need is pretty great.

Mr. Rapozo: If I could start him tomorrow, I would because there is a real need within the Department. But realistically, we need to respond to HR's questions and then qualifying the list and doing the test. I think six (6) months might be appropriate.

Mr. Bynum: I very much appreciate the initiative on getting this expertise.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Let us call for the roll call vote on the six (6) months. Would you restate the savings, Mr. Kagawa? I cannot see it.

Mr. Kagawa: Yes. It is thirty-two thousand five hundred forty-five (\$32,545), Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you.

The motion Mr. Kagawa moved for six month funding of “Position No. 1345 – Irrigation Repair Technician” with funding to become available January 2014 in the amount of thirty-two thousand five hundred forty-five dollars (\$32,545) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR FUNDING: Bynum, Hooser, Kagawa, Nakamura, Rapozo, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 7,
AGAINST FUNDING: None	TOTAL – 0,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Seven (7) ayes.

Chair Furfaro: Seven (7) ayes. Thank you. Did you want the floor again?

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My last one.

Chair Furfaro: Let me make sure, we are called back to order so you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Position No. 1880, Park Caretaker I, Hanalei. Where are we on hiring that person?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Rapozo: We made an internal transfer. We made a hire, someone is in that position.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you. No more questions, Mr. Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Any other questions or any other items at this point? JoAnn, did you want the floor? No? Are we wrapping up here in the Department of Parks and Recreation?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We completed Parks Administration, Fiscal, Parks and Recreation Planning and Development.

Chair Furfaro: That is where we are at now?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes, we have taken care of Beautification, also.

Chair Furfaro: Lenny, I am going to ask this of you and I am going to suspend the rules for a response. I just need either a "yes" or "no". There are some critical thoughts when we come into the revenue cycle. I just want to ask again, that you are feeling comfortable that the planning and improvements for Wailua Golf Course, concessions, and pro shop, we will be operational by the first of August?

Mr. Rapozo: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Yes. That is all I need. Other items? Members? Park planning? No? Moving right along.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Parks and Recreation, the Recreation component.

Chair Furfaro: The good fund component of Parks and Recreation. Questions? If not, we are fine. Moving on.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Parks and Recreation – Maintenance.

Chair Furfaro: Parks and Recreation Repair & Maintenance. I will just make had a general comment, Lenny. The rules are suspended if you want to respond to me. But we need to get a handle on the general accepted accounting principles of what is a repair & maintenance item for small tools and so forth versus a definition of a capital purchase for a piece of equipment. We need to have a common understanding and that will be for later on. But I want to make sure that the red flag is up on that.

Mr. Rapozo: So acknowledged.

Chair Furfaro: Any other questions? Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I assuming it would be under maintenance, it may not. But I would be remiss if I did not ask when I would get the information on the pesticide use by the Department of Parks and Recreation and the golf course?

Mr. Rapozo: I have been working on it the last week. If you do not believe me, come to my Office and see it on my computer.

Mr. Hooser: When would you be able to get it to me?

Mr. Rapozo: As soon as we are done and done with this process. I always continue to work on it.

Mr. Hooser: Next week maybe?

Mr. Rapozo: Hopefully, yes.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you very much. You are the last person in the County that has not gotten me the information yet.

Mr. Rapozo: Sorry about that.

Mr. Hooser: Was there any staffing requirements that support this?

Mr. Rapozo: I just need to take the information that was given to me by my golf course and my Parks Maintenance Chief and put it into a response. That is what I have been working on. A lot of it chemical. You asked for chemicals breakdowns and percentages that come with the labels. It is not just like writing Dear Mr. Hooser, we are getting to your things tomorrow or whatever.

Mr. Hooser: As soon as you can, I would appreciate it.

Mr. Rapozo: Yes. It is in the hopper.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser, I will follow-up with that. Can we agree by May 17th, next Friday?

Mr. Rapozo: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you. I think it is good that we have an actual committed date. Thank you, Lenny. Any more questions on this portion here?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We just have Parks and Recreation – Stadiums, Parks and Recreation – Convention Hall.

Chair Furfaro: We are now on Parks and Recreation –Stadium and Parks and recreation Convention Hall. Mr. Kagawa, you have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you. I just have one (1), Lenny. I do not need to read the position, I think you all know about it because Eddie Sarita has only two (2) people besides himself. Where are we on hiring the replacement I guess?

Mr. Rapozo: The Electrician Helper, this is another position that needed to be revisited. In order to have an Electrician Helper you need to be working under electrician. The electrician that was at the Convention Hall moved back some years back. Actually, this position really was not – I do not want to say the term legal, but he had no guidance because he was supposed to be working underneath an electrician. This person retired and so we looked at the position. We are hiring for another Electrician Helper but we are not hiring a Facility Maintenance Worker and that would encompass whether we need somebody to help maybe some of the landscaping, a little bit of janitorial, be able to come in at night, and operate the lights and sounds of the facility. It is more of a Utility Worker. But in order to encompass all of this, one of the blessings of having an HR Department is that they do all the research and they had to take what we expected and went out Statewide to see if there was something out there. Unfortunately, there was not, but they came up with something and it is been called a Facility Maintenance Worker. It took a little while to come up with the EMQs as well as the DPs for this position. We finally had it. We went out to recruit. We have three (3) names. We are in the interview process and we need to schedule them, one is an out-of-State person so we need to schedule an interview for them as well as the two (2) other people that live on-island for the interview.

Mr. Kagawa: What is our projected date that we can have that person start?

Mr. Rapozo: By July 1st. That is the target date within our own Department that we want to have it.

Mr. Kagawa: That is great. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Stadium and Convention Hall questions?
Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: I am confused by this page. There is no funding for Adopt-A-Park this year?

Mr. Rapozo: No, I thought there was.

Ms. Yukimura: What page?

Mr. Bynum: I am looking at page 200. It says year-to-date encumbrance is eight thousand dollars (\$8,000). The Mayor's supplemental is blank and original budget is blank. Maybe it is a typo? Are there funds for Adopt-A-Park?

Mr. Rapozo: I thought there was, the last I looked.

Mr. Bynum: There is a line item under Convention Hall, page 200 in the budget at the top.

Mr. Rapozo: Eight thousand dollars (\$8,000).

Mr. Bynum: In mine, it is under the column year-to-date expenditures. Special Projects? Thank you. Sorry. I just want to make sure we did not make a mistake and not fund it. It was not a target for cuts. Thank you for clarifying that, Steve.

Mr. Rapozo: Special projects.

Mr. Bynum: Convention as well as Stadium, any further questions? If not I am going to close this discussion. Are there any reconsiderations for the Department of Parks and Recreation?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Chair, the last item in the...

Chair Furfaro: I am sorry, we have one (1) more. My apologies.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The last item for the Department of Parks and Recreation is the Wailua Golf Course.

Chair Furfaro: I have gotten my questions answered and my revenue projections are scheduled to come in the revenue cycle Monday, I guess. I think people are waiting to see the proposal on that. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: I do not know if this is more of a question, but I understand that there are some current issues that I hope that you folks know about. I think one (1) of the cashiers got injured or something and he has been out for a week or two. When Susan retired we did not hire. Susan Honjiyo, you folks all know Susan. She was

working there for years and when she retired, I guess we did not hire a replacement for her. I think the cashiers and the starters or whatever you call them, they are shorthanded now. I think one person has a family member who is going through some tough times and has been calling in with leave often. They told me there are days that they are kind of worried that if someone is sick, that the course would have to close without a cashier. I am wondering if maybe we should make sure that we put some priority into hiring Susan's position. I just wanted to make sure that Susan's position is still in there?

Chair Furfaro: You can respond.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Kagawa, Tuesday night someone from the public made that claim so that was news to me. But as far as Susan's position, that is not accurate. One of the things when I came aboard as the Director was to look across the board and look at all operations, positions, in terms of and you would know, Mr. Chair in the hotel business. You have the General Manager and everybody else that are appropriately placed in levels. At the golf course, you had Susan's position as well as the cashier and the starter position at the same level so there was no incentive for people to TA because you would not get a premium adjustment. Susan's position is the top there in terms of the Office. We worked with the Department of Personnel at that time and it took quite a few years in order for it to change to where now we have this level, this level, and a hierarchy chain that was established. Susan did retire and we went internally to hire and somebody is in the current position right now and we are moving up now, so that everybody is appropriately placed. In dealing with the personnel issues that is something that I just found out, like probably you did. I am looking into it right now. But in terms of Susan's position, there has been a hiring there.

Mr. Kagawa: I thought so, it was June, right?

Mr. Rapozo: Baron is the new Susan.

Mr. Kagawa: No, but I mean the new person that came in after Susan – oh June came in when Susan was there.

Mr. Rapozo: They were still there.

Mr. Kagawa: I do not want to carry on the conversation. I am sorry, Mr. Chair. But we are done.

Chair Furfaro: Your point and query is well surfaced. It could be something else, but we do not have a money issue right now on the agenda table. I just want to say – go ahead, Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Since we are on the golf course, are there funds in the budget to buy a cash register?

Mr. Rapozo: Yes, as well as a credit card, whatever direction we are going to do.

Mr. Hooser: It will be electronic, modern cash register?

Mr. Rapozo: Yes. They have having active discussions as we speak.

Mr. Hooser: Great. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mine are similar to Mr. Hooser's. I did visit with the people at the bank and got an update on how those things are progressing. But I want you to know that there are a couple of things that are moving around you for Wailua and I want to make sure that we understand that is there going to be some talk about increasing revenue opportunities, not big ones. There is going to be some talk about promotional ideas tied to the Royal Coconut Coast Beach Resort Operators Association that is not for today. There is going to be some money available in Economic Development so that we can get some kind of informational piece in the one thousand five (1,305) guest rooms along the Wailua Course. We need to realize, if we can increase three (3) rounds a day of rack rated visitor play, that is one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) a day of additional revenue, forty-eight thousand dollars (\$48,000) more for the year and the costs are already there. We are not adding any more costs. There are no more costs for fertilizer, there is no more cost for water, and there is no more cost for electricity. The costs are there. When we talk about revenues on Monday, it is things that will pretty much flow through the operation. I will reserve the rest of that. I want to thank the Administration for biting down hard on the credit card issues and some of the other things with the financial institutions. Thank you very much. We have no more for the Department of Parks and Recreation at this time. Please make yourself available when we talk about additional revenues in the revenue cycle.

Mr. Rapozo: Monday?

Chair Furfaro: Monday. Thank you, Lenny.

Mr. Rapozo: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Is it fair to say we can go to Kealoha now?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes. The next item is Elderly Affairs followed by Housing.

Chair Furfaro: First of all, welcome. I am going to suspend the rules Kealoha and first of all say thank you very much for a wonderful event for the recognition of the seniors. I am sorry Vice Chair Nakamura and I was doing double duty, but towards the end we got to sit down with you and have some luncheon. Splendid event, splendid event and I would like you to acknowledge the senior winners were. You have the open microphone right now.

LUDVINA KEALOHA TAKAHASHI, Executive on Aging: Thank you, Council Chair. The Outstanding Female is Dr. Lucy Miller and the Outstanding Male is William Neil Rapozo, Sr. We are really happy.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you for that again.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: Now we are back in session. Members, we have Elderly Affairs here and I will not suspend the rules again, unless you have specific questions for Kealoha. Any specific questions for Kealoha? Elderly Affairs. Thank you

very much for yesterday and have a great Mother's Day weekend. I believe now we are going to Housing.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Housing.

Chair Furfaro: Housing Department, if you want to come up to the microphone. We will not ask you to address us until such time that we have questions to pose to you. Members, the Housing Department will touch on all areas that are under their jurisdiction from voucher servicing to rent collection to development. Questions on the budget pieces for Housing? Did you want the floor, JoAnn?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: You have the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: It has come to my understanding that Housing has not answered any of the budget questions that were sent to them.

Chair Furfaro: Excuse me, Ernie, why do you not come up and help us solve the mystery of the unanswered questions.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

ERNEST W. BARREIRA, Budget and Purchasing Director: Good afternoon Council. I have just electronically transmitted that. It was sent to me April 22nd. I simply missed the transmission. My apologies to members of the Council. Scott has been sent those responses to questions.

Ms. Yukimura: So, we do have some responses?

Mr. Barreira: I did, five (5) minutes after I was asked the question as to where the responses were. The Housing people did respond. I simply did not transmit them.

Ms. Yukimura: Thank you very much.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: I apologize for that miscommunication. Why do I not see if we can get some time for those answers to come back to us. If you gentlemen do not mind. It is 3:20 p.m. Are we going to Transportation?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We have Transportation scheduled after Housing.

Chair Furfaro: While they print out the responses to us and so forth, may I ask you to please to take a seat in the reserve section? We will ask Transportation to come up then. We are still in session here. I would like to first see if you have any specific questions for the Director? I am all questioned out myself for Transportation. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Hi Celia. I have just one position that I want to ask you about. Position No. 9395. Heavy Vehicle Mechanic I. Where are we on finding that replacement?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

CELIA M. MAHIKOA, Executive on Transportation: Position 9395 we had a gentleman start April 1st.

Mr. Kagawa: It is filled already?

Ms. Mahikoa: Yes, it was fortunately taken care of promptly.

Mr. Kagawa: Very good. Thank you.

Ms. Mahikoa: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Questions for Transportation? Celia, we have no questions for you. That does not reflect the challenges that we gave you earlier and we recognize that you had even stayed with your family late one evening to respond to our questions, which I may say on behalf of the body was much appreciated. We have no more questions for you at this time. Thank you very much.

Ms. Mahikoa: Thank you.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: After Transportation, where are we going?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: After Transportation, we have Liquor.

Chair Furfaro: Is there anyone here from Liquor? Do we have any questions for Liquor? Seeing that there are no questions for Liquor, we will go ahead and accept the budget status for liquor at this time. Next.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: The next and final review would be for Housing, Chair.

Chair Furfaro: Housing left the building. I thought they had reserved seats. B.C., how are we going on a caption break? Can we take one now? Let us take a caption break, ten (10) minutes, and come back to Housing.

There being no objections, the Committee recessed at 3:22 p.m.

There being no objections, the Committee reconvened at 3:46 p.m.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you very much for being patient with us and we are back from our recess. On that note, I hope everyone has had time to visit the commentary from the Housing Department. Kamuela and Gary, may I ask you folks to come up, please? I have not suspended the rules yet. In the event that there are questions

for you, I will. But we are in deliberation at this point and we will see what questions pop up, starting with Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I have a question. It is kind of broad in nature, but when you come before us before you talked about the need to stack up projects. You have various projects in various stages and so in terms of capacity, if there was any significant funding available, let us say new funding in CIP, bond funding, would you have the capacity to go out and let us say, look for new land acquisitions if the money was there to purchase? I know it is a long process, but would you have the capacity to start a new process?

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Chair Furfaro: You can respond to Mr. Hooser. Thank you.

Mr. Cobb-Adams: The reason I pause is because I try not to turn down any opportunity and try to look into everything. I guess the shorter answer is we will try our best to figure out a way to make it happen. That is my philosophy, make it happen. I guess the short answer is that we will look into it. I guess it depends if there is an opportunity, we are going to try to make that opportunity work as much as possible. Our philosophy has been to do more with less and be more efficient. I guess one of the things that I have told the staff if we are on a ten (10) speed bike and only in second gear, let us take it to tenth gear and still use the same amount of energy to do more work. If there are opportunities, we always try to figure out how to make it happen.

Mr. Hooser: I think what I am hearing is that if you were given more money to spend, you would do your best to spend it wisely and with prudence?

Mr. Cobb-Adams: Yes and just to point out, three percent (3%) of our budget takes care of all of our salaries and benefits. We are running pretty efficient as it is and that is not three percent (3%) of general. It is three percent (3%) of our entire salary and benefits is what it takes out of our entire budget this year or right around three percent (3%). I think we are running pretty efficient when it comes to the amount of money we pay for staff and the amount of money that we administer. If we can make it two percent (2%) or one percent (1%), we will.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Questions for the folks from Housing? Pretty impressive, no questions. Thank you for your patience. You may step away from the piece.

Mr. Cobb-Adams: Thank you.

There being no objections, there meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: We may talk about CIP later. That CIP might touch on some of things in Housing so you may want to stay close for the rest of the day. I see Lyle and Mr. Dill came back. I just thought if we touch on CIP, Keith was here for that. Where are we at with the Departmental Reviews now?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: We have completed Decision-Making.

Chair Furfaro: Through decision-making, we are finished with Housing. Yes, sir?

Mr. Kagawa: Mr. Chair, I know it is kind of like bending the rules. But I got a call from the four (4) horsemen of the Kekaha Host Community Benefits and they have some new information and I would like to ask if members would like to reconsider our vote on the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) that we took out. But I understand, if you guys want to hold firm, and wait for the discussion. But basically it is needed to move that project and I am willing to change my vote and support that project. As you all know, the reason for that Kekaha Host Community Benefits is to really provide a benefit to the Kekaha community and the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) is actually intended to work with Ben Sullivan who should have been here when we had the discussion and do it all legally. They cannot do it pro bono with their friends and everything. This thing has to be under a certain Manager, I guess and had Ben been here, I do not think we would have even had a vote. I would like to ask members if you guys want to reconsider.

Chair Furfaro: Let me close out. We are finished with Housing. Let me close out with the following, there is a request to consider reconsideration. Could you re-present the vote for me that took the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) out and I would like to know by member because I need the person from the presiding side to make the motion. The item was suggested to remove sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) from the amount put in for the Host Community Benefits Facilitator, I believe.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Host Community Benefits Consulting, sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000), all members voted to remove it.

Chair Furfaro: Anybody can ask for the reconsideration?

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Kagawa has asked for reconsideration and based on that, any of you can in fact, second his motion for reconsideration.

Mr. Kagawa moved to reconsider on the motion to remove the funding for "Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting," seconded by Ms. Yukimura.

Chair Furfaro: We have a second from Councilmember Yukimura and we can have some discussion now, but it is on the reconsideration. We have to have the discussion on the reconsideration first. Mr. Hooser?

Mr. Hooser: I understand the request. I believe the maker of the motion was Councilmember Rapozo who is not available to advocate one way or the other for this. I do not know if we are able to defer the decision until he is able to be present. I am not sure why he is not here, but I am sure it is a legitimate reason. So, that would be my concern is that the person who initiated the concept is not here to talk about it.

Chair Furfaro: Well, I would say this procedurally. I think the first question is seeing if we have enough votes to even consider the reconsideration and then I would agree with you that he should be present when we have that discussion, so there are two (2) parts to this. First is do we have enough votes to reconsider? Secondly, the maker of the motion would be present if this reconsideration passes.

Mr. Hooser: One more question.

Chair Furfaro: Go ahead.

Mr. Hooser: Does this mean that all motions are open to reconsideration from prior decision?

Chair Furfaro: No, I am going to give you the rules again. It was my recommendation that if there is a reconsideration, it occurs at the time that we close out that Division. That was my rule, but since this business has occurred today, I will make that exception.

Mr. Hooser: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: That is why I asked that there are periods at the end of the Division that I have asked for reconsiderations. We have a motion and we have a second for the reconsideration and that is what we will vote on now.

Mr. Hooser: Since Councilmember Rapozo just came in, if you would restate what we are doing?

Chair Furfaro: Sure. Mr. Kagawa came in after the break and asked that we could reconsider the vote in putting back the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) in the Host Community Benefits for the purpose of the consultant and the fact that Ben Sullivan was going to be involved with it, that is what is on the table. Since the vote was 7:0, any member could make that determination for the reconsideration. If the reconsideration passes, then we will address the specific item. But we first have to see if we have four (4) votes to reconsider. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: I apologize for throwing this wrench in everything. My only reason for voting no was that I did not know the feelings of the four (4) members who are spearheading this solar project. It is very competent individuals who are well respected in the community, Garrett Agena, Patrick Pereira, Dennis Eguchi and Buddie Ayudan. They have full confidence in this project that it will really help the community. As Councilmember Yukimura said, this is getting the commitments and things, yes, it is important. But I believe they will not move forward with the project if a red flag like that comes up during the project. Who would proceed with the project without the permission? I trust that they know better and they will not just waste our County's money. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: I am getting ready to call for the vote on the reconsideration at this time. Councilwoman Yukimura, you have the floor.

Ms. Yukimura: The reason why I believe in extensive debate on these issues is because it is important to know what the facts are and this is new information. It is about assumptions that we were making when we first took the vote that need to be re-looked at and that are why I am supporting this motion to reconsider because I think there is new information that we need to consider.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Bynum, you wanted the floor?

Mr. Bynum: I am going to support reconsidering. I may not support putting the money back, however.

Chair Furfaro: Anyone else before I ask for the vote? I want a roll call vote on the motion for reconsideration made by Councilmember Kagawa.

The motion to reconsider the motion to remove the funding for “Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR RECONSIDERATION: Bynum, Kagawa, Nakamura, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 5,
AGAINST RECONSIDERATION: Rapozo	TOTAL – 1,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: Hooser	TOTAL – 1.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 6:1.

Chair Furfaro: Reconsideration passes, 6:1. Now Mr. Kagawa, I will give you the floor to present your narrative on the rationale behind and it would be sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000). Am I right, Jade, to add sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) back to the project? You have the floor.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just had a conversation with Mr. Iguchi and this is saving them sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) from the money that they have currently which is seven hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$780,000) or whatever. They need that seven hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$780,000) to do their project as intended and they were planning to pro bono their services and connections with other people to do that work. However, they were told by Mr. Sullivan and the Mayor’s Office that this type of project needs to be done a certain way, I guess with a professional overseeing it. So, this will not take out from the money that they have. This is to allow them to still keep their same plan and not hamper it by taking out sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) from their construction money or whatever. Thank you.

Mr. Kagawa moved to restore funding for “Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting” in the amount of sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000), seconded by Ms. Yukimura.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you. Further discussion? Mr. Yukimura, I will recognize you, followed by Mr. Bynum. Did I say Mr.?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: I am sorry.

Ms. Yukimura: That is okay. I am sure people knew what you meant. First let me say, it has incredible potential because if you can harness the sun to lower people’s electric bills, this is a benefit that keeps going for the life whether it is PV or solar water heating, it goes for the life of the installation. It is not just a one (1) shot thing so to me it is a great potential and it helps us get off oil. It meets a lot of our goals. I think as it is coming forward, to have a citizens’ group deal with so much public money, seven

hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$780,000), is really tricky because you cannot just use it like it is your private business, you have to follow the rules of procurement and accountability. There are some technical issues, I believe, like the fact of the interface with KIUC and so to me, it has to be very carefully planned and worked out. If the intention is to do a good project, it needs really good planning and design work.

Chair Furfaro:

Mr. Rapozo.

Mr. Rapozo: I guess my question is for my colleagues has anyone seen the proposal? Has anyone even looked at the proposal? I am not faulting you folk because this just came out. Is anyone of aware the part of these proposals and part of these options if to have loan programs where as the residents have to borrow the money and repay the money? There are a lot of things going on in this project and I want that to be vetted out. I agree with Mr. Kagawa. Those entire four (4) individual, stellar. But it is bigger than the four (4) gentlemen. This is a project that again, we are in a bind and I do not even have a problem if you put a dollar (\$1) in there. Put a dollar (\$1) in that line and keep the line item going. We can fund it, I mean, we will definitely have sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000). But I do not think we should vote on this unless we know what we are voting on. Did anybody get a narrative besides the line item? Did anyone, really? That is my point. Please, it should have come with some kind of presentation and some kind of explanation and again, if you have not Mr. Chair, we can take this up later. I do not know what your plan is, but I suggest you go back to the HCB website and read the minutes and learn a little bit about the project before we vote on sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000). No reflection on those four (4) gentlemen. But I think we should do our due diligence before we make that decision. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro:
Mr. Bynum.

Anybody else that would like to speak?

Mr. Bynum: This is a tough one for me. I just say that the history, I know when we are doing Host Community Benefits, we are creating the wheel here on Kaua'i and it is been a while. When the community asked for the funds, I supported that. When the community asked for the funds to be increased, I supported that. When the community asked for the County to fund the facilitators, even though I believe those funds could have come from the Host Community Benefits, I supported that. If the process is done properly, it is the community's decision about how the money is spent. But this line item is saying no, "We want to use all of these funds and County, we want you to help us with the coordination or the position." They could do that with their own funds and I still might be open to that. But once you ask us to fund again, then our due diligence responsibility kicks in and I think that is what Councilmember Rapozo is saying. I much prefer the idea of leaving the line item with a dollar and then revisiting that when we have time to do our due diligence. But I would also say an option is for them to hire the consultant they need within their own funds and then it is not our *kuleana* to make that decision. It is theirs, right? I would support a dollar funding and keep the line item so we can move things into it after we do our due diligence.

Chair Furfaro:

JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Really good points on both sides. The thing is that we said we are not going to have any say about the Host Community Benefits and that it would be a community process. It is true this is extra money besides the host community. I am wondering if there is a way we can keep it in the budget, subject to approval of the

Council in a one (1) shot vote. But I am seeing Vice Chair shake her head. It may not be possible. But I think we should get a technical read from our staff.

Chair Furfaro: Any further dialogue? I just want to say that I see this request that Mr. Kagawa brought to the table as one that is really about the Community Host Benefits and we have had a lot of discussion about the amount. I would say that from the arguments that I have heard from both sides of the table, that I could support keeping this sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) in the budget as long as I know that between the Administration and Ben Sullivan, we are getting regularly quarterly updates that is how I am feeling about it at this point. On that note I am going to call for the vote.

Mr. Rapozo: Mr. Chair, really quick.

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Mr. Rapozo: You mentioned quarterly updates, but this project is not new. This project has been talked about and approved or recommended by the CAC for quite a while. We have not received any updates on such a major project on Kekaha, on the West Side, at all.

Chair Furfaro: I understand you well.

Mr. Rapozo: I agree with you the quarterly updates. But this was sprung on us with no time to really investigate and I am shocked and before that, I simply cannot support it.

Chair Furfaro: I understand your point. But I am look at this from the standpoint of having a better understanding of the piece, that there is involvement from our energy group, Ben Sullivan, and his influence and request for regular reporting. That would gain my support. I am going to call for the vote now, please. Let us call for the vote, please.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: This is to restore the sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000).

Chair Furfaro: Excuse me, three (3) Councilmembers hands went up after I said call for the vote. I am going to go in order that I saw them. JoAnn, Nadine, Hooser. If you have some more to say before I call for the vote.

Ms. Yukimura: Yes. I have checked with staff. It is true we cannot approve something subject to a subsequent approval. We can approve something and require a report.

Chair Furfaro: That is what I am suggesting.

Ms. Yukimura: But it does not change the approval. But it does at least allow for a report before the expenditure of the moneys.

Chair Furfaro: Yes, you could not tie this to a proviso that controls the money. But you could tie this to a request for quarterly reports/updates. You have the floor.

Ms. Nakamura: Yes, I think the four (4) gentlemen described are long time residents of Kekaha and are probably very invested in this process. I just feel at a disadvantage because we have not been briefed on how these funds will be used and just the larger context of the photovoltaic project. So, that is why I am a little reluctant to move forward, but I am very open to considering funding once we get a better understanding and briefing and unfortunately, that is going to be after this budget process. I am very open to look at a Money Bill when the presentation has been made and questions have been asked. I think otherwise, I feel like I am operating in a vacuum here.

Chair Furfaro: I do want to qualify something, if I could say. I have a little bit of an advantage based on the fact in the 1980s as Manager of the old Sheraton Coconut Beach, I was involved in the first co-generation plant to save energy. When I was Manager last, we started the project that you see now over at the old Hilton. It was always on the books, but for the solar power over the driveways and so forth. I am saying that I look at that measurement and believe it or not, and George can confirm this, the electric bill was two hundred forty-eight thousand dollars (\$248,000) a month. It had great benefits and those systems today, from co-generation back in the 1970s to now, have come a long way. So, that is all I want to say. Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: I just want to echo what the Vice Chair and I will keep my comments short because she said what I wanted to say. Before we vote, I would just like to restate what we are voting on because of the conversation, it is a little fuzzy sometimes.

Chair Furfaro: I am going to ask if there are any corrections from Mr. Kagawa, please correct me as I restate the motion. It is to put back into the Operating Budget as proposed a sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) number to be used in particular for the Kekaha Host Community Facilities Benefits for them to pursue using those funds versus the funds that are in there account for the pursuit of this energy plan.

Ms. Yukimura: Mr. Chair, I move to amend by conditioning the line item with a request for a report prior to expenditure.

Chair Furfaro: Would you consider expanding that to say a report prior to the expenditure as well as quarterly updates?

Ms. Yukimura: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Okay.

Ms. Yukimura moved to add a condition for "Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting" to State funds contingent on report back to Council prior to expenditure, seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: We have a second from Mr. Kagawa.

The motion to add a condition for "Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting" to state funds contingent on report back to Council prior to expenditure was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR ADDITION: Kagawa, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 3,
AGAINST ADDITION: Bynum, Hooser, Nakamura, Rapozo	TOTAL – 4,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: Hooser	TOTAL – 0.

Chair Furfaro: That is 3 ayes. I believe Furfaro, Kagawa, and Yukimura. The amendment fails. Now, can I have a roll call vote on the item as it is being reconsidered at this time, sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) with the narrative that I gave? Roll call vote, please.

The motion to restore funding for “Other Services – CAC Host Community Benefit Consulting” in the amount of sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR RESTORATION: Kagawa, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 3,
AGAINST RESTORATION: Bynum, Hooser, Nakamura, Rapozo	TOTAL – 4,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: None	TOTAL – 0.

Chair Furfaro: The vote is 3:4 and therefore, fails. Very good. Now for the rest of your day, I promised we would get out of here at 7:00 p.m. and then as we moved along I said 5:00 p.m. and now I am feeling that we are at a point where we could probably leave today at 4:30 p.m. with the following exceptions. I want to make sure that we understand. When we come to session on Monday, Monday is going to be about adding revenue. Monday is also then going to be about reviewing CIP. I want to get a glimpse of it today to see if we have to go into it for the next fifteen (15) minutes, then we will actually go into talking about the main revenues related to tax proposal, and that is where we will be on Monday and have to finish Tuesday by 12:30 p.m.

Ms. Yukimura: Question?

Chair Furfaro: Yes.

Ms. Yukimura: On the CIP, are we going through a cutting procedure first, like we have been doing with the Operating Budget?

Chair Furfaro: Absolutely, that is where we are start. But I want to any have a glimpse of it right now for about fifteen (15) minutes, to adjourn today, and then that is where we are pick up on Monday. On that note, Mr. Dill, you may come back up and join us, if you would like. We have finished our business with Housing. I think they are in the audience to hear what might come up. Keith, please come up as well. Lyle, if you want to come up, please come up. We are now on the CIP. I am going to ask that the body focus on items that, for lack of any other judgment and terminology, seem to be the easier ones because I want to get out of here at 4:30 p.m. If we have questions that are about the bigger projects, we will save those for the 9:00 a.m. session starting on Monday morning. Members, we are in session to talk on these items and I will start with Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: Thank you. I have one (1) CIP cut. I am suggesting account W13006 one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) for Lihū'e Bypass Feasibility Study. I think this is premature and if we want to do a Feasibility Study, we

should look at connecting Eggerking Cane to the Foodland which somehow fell off radar and at one time had funding.

Chair Furfaro: Haoli Road.

Mr. Bynum: Pouli Road, exactly. It is like, where did that go?
But I think that this one is premature.

Mr. Bynum moved to remove funding for Līhu'e Bypass Feasibility Study (County Match) in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), seconded by Mr. Kagawa.

Chair Furfaro: Just for you folks that are not that familiar, what Mr. Bynum is referring to is that extra cutoff by Foodland in Kapa'a that would allow traffic coming out of the Foodland process to actually go to the bypass.

Ms. Yukimura: Point of inquiry.

Chair Furfaro: I just wanted to make sure that everyone knew the name of the road and what was referred to. The point right now is about the Līhu'e bypass, right? JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: We are just doing a proposed cut, not an add, right?

Chair Furfaro: No, right. But I just wanted to give clarification to Mr. Bynum's comments.

Ms. Yukimura: Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Any further discussion? We have a motion and second to remove that one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000). Vice Chair, you have the floor.

Ms. Nakamura: I have a question for the Department Public Works about this project and the genesis of this idea.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Dill the rules are suspended, please respond.

There being no objections, the rules were suspended.

Mr. Dill: Good afternoon. This project, I guess the original genesis was the 1997 State Kaua'i Long-Range Transportation Plan and that plan is admittedly somewhat dated and in the process now of being updated by the State. But the history of the project came up again in light of the proposed landfill at Ma'alo. It was under consideration as a possible way to address traffic mitigation and so that brought it back to the table again. Since then, as the landfill projected has moved along, it is determined that that project is not warranted by the landfill itself. We are looking at other opportunities to gain access to the landfill site. However, we feel and we proposed to the Council and Council approved in Fiscal Year 2013 budget, that it was still a warranted project though the landfill in and of itself does not require construction of the bypass road, it would certainly be a significant benefit because then we could divert landfill traffic out of Līhu'e from going through the town, going through Hanamā'ulu, and coming from Puhi from that

side as well. So, it would be a significant benefit and we have gone to Federal Highways and got approval of funding for this project. We have gone through the consulting selection process, we have negotiated a contract, and we are I think about to sign a contract with the consultant.

Chair Furfaro: I want to make sure that we understood that response which was a very good one, Mr. Dill. The moneys that you have in the CIP and you have concurrence with Federal Highways, that this would be used as matching funds for the study?

Mr. Dill: Yes. The one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) with County matching funds. So, we have four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) of Federal Highway funds to go along with that.

Chair Furfaro: Thank you for that clarification. Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Just to clarify. The Approval of the project is approval of the Feasibility Study, not approval of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) or the approval of the actual road construction and all that comes in the next twenty (20) years?

Ms. Dill: Correct, that is exactly right. It would be the preliminary investigation, preliminary engineering, preliminary environmental costs, routes, that type of thing. So, it would lay the basis for any potential future. I would also point out in the 1997 plan, it is identified as a State project. Our intention in initiating this project now is do this preliminary work to set the stage for the State to take it over and complete.

Chair Furfaro: Unbelievable. It is a State project, but we are going to fund the starting line.

Mr. Dill: Yes.

Chair Furfaro: Unbelievable. Mr. Bynum.

Mr. Bynum: It is really hard for me to do that when you say that. But I still think it is warranted and here is the reason. The State is addressing the issue of traffic going westward. We have no serious State project for the traffic going east. But we have the coning that is ongoing and it is been adjusted. But if you were in Kapa'a last Saturday, both ways, it was gridlock. You could not get out of Safeway parking lot because there would only be two (2) spaces each light every five (5) minutes. It was crazy. I do not know what happened to Pouli Road, I want to find out. It was in the County budget at one time and that is where a critical need. I do not believe that the State will ever fund a Līhu'e bypass in your lifetime. I think it is highly unlikely. I have lived on Kaua'i twenty-three (23) years, for twenty-three (23) years we have been told that the EIS for the Kapa'a relief route would be done next summer. For twenty-three (23) years, every time I asked the question, every year next summer. We did the cone. We did the Wailua Bridge because we know the State is never going to fund a four hundred million dollars (\$400,000) bridge over the Wailua River. So, that is why we are addressing our Transportation Plan and focusing on transit. But it is that East Side that has still a critical problem and many of us believe that the Poli Road extension, would allow people to come from the Homesteads go to the market and go home and never get on the highway. Right now Houselots is a

lollipop neighborhood. There is only one way in and one way out. Those things we know cause serious congestion problems. If we have to prioritize this, and I do not believe the State – so it is really hard to pass up four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) of State money even for planning. But does that turn into something on the ground in our lifetime?

Mr. Dill: It is interesting comparison to the Wailua/Kapa‘a bypass. The Wailua/Kapa‘a Bypass project you referred to is against – through some unchartered territory, there a lot of unknowns and archaeological issues and also the expense of river crossing. The strategy that we are using for the Lihu‘e *mauka* bypass is that we are going to follow existing plantation roads. So, there will be no areas that have not been used by agriculture or for roads. Actually, since we are trying to limit to existing roadways, we are hoping that we will be able to go environmental assessment instead of having to do a full blown EIS because it is not new lands, all have been worked before. We do not have the same types of unknowns we would encounter with the Wailua/Kapa‘a bypass. But I do not argue with you, it is a big project and it will be an expensive project. But in comparison of the Wailua/Kapa‘a, there are some significant differences there.

Mr. Bynum: Well, I was actually surprised to see it in there when it was made clear we were moving ahead with the landfill plans without this requirement, right? So, this is tough, this is really tough. But I just do not see it happening so I just do not see throwing good money after bad. The State, we know what they are going to do over next few years, right? They are going to build this bridge sooner than we thought. They say they want to go beyond the college with the four (4) lanes this way. We know that this Kapa‘a relief route is fantasy.

Chair Furfaro: We need to tighten it up this fantasy a little bit.

Mr. Bynum: This is really hard. But I will put the proposal and see if it goes and I apologize.

Chair Furfaro: JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: This dilemma that we are facing right here at this table is because the State does not have any sensible plan for the highways and they are spending money on all kinds of projects that are never go to be built on this island. I want a good plan first before we start building or even designing. It does not make sense.

Chair Furfaro: It is now 4:27 p.m. and it looks like we got to one item.

Mr. Rapozo: Not even.

Chair Furfaro: We are going to vote on this motion. But I am back to, and I know it is the third time I am saying this today, we used to have dialogue with the State about CIPs intended for our island. We have to get that cultivated again so we have a clearer idea. Now, the motion on table is to remove one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), I believe, on the Lihu‘e Bypass Road. Mr. Kagawa.

Mr. Kagawa: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I will be voting no. I think a cut in the Bond Fund really accomplishes nothing anyway. But I, unlike other Councilmembers, I like the idea of looking for alternatives. The Wailua Bypass, Kapa‘a Bypass, I use it every day. I love it. I do not think everybody in the community had

support prior to it being done. But obviously, anything that alleviates traffic in congested areas can possibly lead to a good thing. Thank you.

Chair Furfaro: Mr. Hooser.

Mr. Hooser: Just really quickly for the record, we have invited the Department of Transportation twice formally in writing to present their plans to us and both times they said we are too busy.

Chair Furfaro: I realize that because they respond to my Office.

Mr. Hooser: They have said they are too busy. I am definitely supporting this. I think it is a waste of money. The Wailua/Kapa'a, I agree it has challenges, the bypass. But one option was to follow the existing route and they still have not gotten that right. I think there are much better uses of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) and how we can alleviate traffic today. I am definitely supporting it.

Chair Furfaro: I am going to call for the vote. Mr. Dill, I do not want to turn this into a Council meeting. The question is about the one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), the use of it. I want to point out again, it is bond money. We have a lot of other bond projects that we can refer this money to because it is borrowed money and it is money that we are paying interest on, but I will give you the floor for a minute.

Mr. Dill: Thank you and I will not take a minute. It concerns me significantly when Council approves funding for a project, we proceed on that basis and make presentations to the Department of Transportation and Federal Highways, they make limits to us, and then we reverse course. It really endangers our future credibility with them.

Chair Furfaro: May I just say right now, their credibility with me is shot. Let me just say. We have invited them here. As I said to Mr. Hooser, to get the responses at the request for us to get an update and we are the legislative body of this County. We are Officers of this County. But your point is taken well. What I am trying to do is activate things that we used to talk to them about. We are five (5) from we must do a caption change for the tape and it is 4:29 p.m. and thirty (30) second. JoAnn.

Ms. Yukimura: Well, I just said, this is part of an updated plan that was well done, I would vote for it.

Chair Furfaro: Okay. Quickly.

Mr. Bynum: Two (2) words.

Chair Furfaro: Two (2) words.

Mr. Bynum: Hardy Street. What you just said, I totally agree with, Larry. Look at the history of Hardy Street. That was not the Council.

There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order and proceeded as follows:

Chair Furfaro: We have some housekeeping notes and need to vote on this item and we start on Monday at 9:00 with CIP. Can I have a roll call vote please on the removal of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) from the Bond Fund earmarked for a Līhu‘e contribution?

The motion to remove funding for Līhu‘e Bypass Feasibility Study (County Match) in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) was then put, and carried by the following vote:

FOR REMOVAL: Bynum, Hooser, Yukimura, Furfaro	TOTAL – 4,
AGAINST REMOVAL: Kagawa, Rapozo	TOTAL – 2,
EXCUSED & NOT VOTING: None	TOTAL – 0,
SILENT: Nakamura	TOTAL – 1.

Ms. Fountain-Tanigawa: 5:2.

Chair Furfaro: 5:2. The money has been removed. To you gentlemen, thank you very much. To the people in the audience, to the Council, I want to remind everybody that this is Mother’s Day weekend. Please, please take time to reflect on that. I gave you an idea of what we are going to run through on Monday and Tuesday. For right now, this Council is in recess.

There being no objections, the decision making process was recessed at 4:32 p.m.