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MINUTES OF PUBLIC INPUT AND SCOPING MEETING
Thursday, January 29, 2004
7:00-9:00 pm

A total of 49 people signed the attendance sheets. Several others, including Mayor Bryan Baptiste attended, but did not sign in. (Attendance sheets attached.)

Consultants: Glenn Kimura and Nancy Nishikawa (Kimura International, Inc.); Herb Lee (Lee Communications); Clyde Kodani (Kodani & Associates)
County of Kauai: Doug Haigh, Project Manager

Handouts:
- Project Fact Sheet
- Participant Survey

With the concurrence of the meeting participants, a pule was offered by Jimmy Torio.

The workshop began with a welcome by Doug Haigh. Herb Lee introduced members of the project team and explained that the purpose of the meeting was to hear from as diverse a group as possible. The public outreach process is intended to identify significant issues, insure sensitivity toward important resources, and minimize impacts. At this point, the team will not say that anything cannot be done; there is no right or wrong. All of the input will be received and evaluated further. At the next meeting, the project team will have a better idea of what can or can’t be done—and why.

Glenn Kimura presented a Powerpoint slideshow with an overview of the bike/pedestrian path project (see printout of slideshow):
- Scope of the project
- Project schedule
- Background information on paths
- Examples from across the state and elsewhere
- Opportunities and constraints in the planning areas
- Ways to participate in the planning process

After the presentation, members of the audience were given an opportunity to raise questions or offer comments. The following items were brought to the floor during this session.

Q: What happened after the series of meetings at the Kapaa Community Center?
A: Those meetings were for a different segment of the bike plan (from Kapaa to Kealia). The environmental assessment was completed, and the County is about to proceed to the design-build phase.

C: When there is a very narrow beach, you almost have to go mauka or destroy the reef.
Q: How are you planning to cross Wailua River?
A: One possibility is to construct a cantilever off the cane haul bridge. Structural engineers are studying this issue and it’s clearly one of the challenges of this project.

C: There are concerns about the control of land in Anahola with bike paths coming in.

The second half of the meeting was structured as an informal charrette, in which participants were asked to draw pathway proposals and write comments directly on maps of the project corridor. Four groups were formed. After approximately 20 minutes, spokespersons for the respective groups summarized the outcome of their efforts. (See written descriptions and verbatim comments from the mapping exercise, Appendix A.)

Another discussion session followed the group reports:

(Doug Haigh) If the project can do without difficult land acquisitions, it will move faster.

Q: Can the width of the path shrink?
A: (Doug) We would like to build a full 12 feet in urban areas where heavy use is anticipated. We’ll consider 8 feet as a minimum in tight corridors where fewer users are expected.

Q: Does the County have a recreation management plan?
A: No

(Doug Haigh) It’s best to tap federal dollars as much as possible, rather than use County funds. If we get a private land donation, it’ll increase the amount of soft match available. Doug reviewed the schedule for other segments of the coastal path network, and noted that Kauai needs to act quickly before the other counties catch on.

Herb reminded participants to complete the survey (see results, Appendix B) and thanked everyone for coming.
APPENDIX A

Mapping Exercise
Description of Drawings and Verbatim Comments written on Maps

Group 1
Facilitator: Glenn Kimura
Spokesperson: Neill Sams

- Crossing Wailua River—“cantilever bike path”
- Crossing Wailua River and pointing to cane haul bridge—“make 2 lanes, DOT”
- “Are we providing benches along path to rest?”
- “Crossing?” with circle drawn around intersection of Haleilio Road and Kuhio Highway
- “Are there any fishing heiaus that we need to respect and not trespass on?”
- Referring to sidewalk bisecting large, vacant Niu Pia Farms property with label “public access”?
- “Possible to go around Bull Shed parking lot?”
- “Save trees!” along coastline of Singleton property
- “Somewhere along here there may have been a fishing heiau” referring to coastline between Bull Shed and Kauai Coconut Beach Hotel
- “Need to save 95-100% of trees and plants or Replace!!” written in offshore area of map
- Moana Kai Road: “one way road?”
- Moana Kai Road: “can we extend seawall to accommodate bike path?”
- Moana Kai Road: “dangerous—narrow road”
- Waikae Canal: “Beautiful” (double underline)
- Dotted line behind Hundley Heights subdivision
- Solid lines along Kawaihau Road, both sides of Moikeha Canal, both sides of Waikaea Canal, behind Kapaa Shopping Center all the way south behind Kawai Village Shopping Center, along Uahalekawawa canal, and along Haleilio Road and Nonou Road in Wailua House Lots.
- Coastal path except (1) north of Wailua Beach Park—instead, go along Papaloa Road and down “second” beach access to Kukui Heiau, and (2) through parking lot of Kauai Coconut Beach Hotel and Niulani Street

Group 2
Facilitator: Nancy Nishikawa
Spokesperson: Richard Sugiyama

- Wailua River cane haul bridge: “cantilever”
- “Danger” bicycles passing the parking lot for Wailua Beach Park (cars entering and exiting)
- Elevated board walk along Wailua Beach Park, less susceptible to damage from high surf (compared to concrete slabs on sand)
- “Hazard” at “Y” intersection of Kuhio Highway and Papaloa Road (around Seashell)
• “Current ROW width?” pointing to Papaloa Road
• “Widen” “Bike” and “3’ sidewalk” with arrows pointing to line along Pouli Road (makai)?
• Solid line on makai side of Bull Shed crossed out
• “Bridge” across Uahalekawawa canal, mauka of Bull Shed
• “Require in SMA” pointing to coastal path on Singleton property
• Coastal path except (1) north of Wailua Beach Park—instead, go along Papaloa Road and down “second” beach access to Kukui Heiau and (2) at northern edge of Singleton property, go mauka all the way to Kuhio Highway provide safe crossing at signalized intersection to shopping centers, and take Niulani Street to Moana Kai Road
• Additional solid lines along Kaloloku Road (connect Moana Kai to Kuhio Highway) and swale fronting Coconut Marketplace
• Dotted line along internal street behind Coconut Marketplace

Group 3
Facilitator: Tim Bynum
Spokesperson: Dale Rosenfeld

• “Hang off existing cane bridge”
• Coastal route the entire way, except around Bull Shed complex and approx. 8 residential lots south of Baby Beach
• “Moana Kai one way?”
• “Bridle path along canals, up to Giant”
• “Cantilever off railroad bridge”
• “Connect existing paths”
• “Thru parking lot of Bull Shed or widen seawall”
• “Moana Kai—1 way vehicle traffic; other lane bikes”
• Solid line up gulch north of Mahelona Hospital
• Solid line along temporary bypass road, ag roads on Midler property, along norther edge of Wailua House Lots, along Haleiilio Road
• Waterway loop from Wailua River around Coco Palms, behind shopping centers, makai-bound along Uahalekawawa canal.

Group 4
Facilitator: Herb Lee
Spokesperson: Lelan Nishak

• Coastal path entire way (including around Bull Shed), except (1) north of Wailua Beach Park—use Papaloa Road instead and “second” beach access” and (2) around hotel and residences north of Singleton’s property—weave through parking lots and use Niulani Street to Moana Kai
• Loop around mauka side of commercial area, following drainage canals to Wailua River. Along mauka-makai section of Waikaea Canal (south side)
• Dotted line (representing very long-term/50-year plan) along temporary bypass, ag roads
- Solid line along northern edge of Wailua House Lots subdivision (original line on Haleililo Road was crossed out)
- Solid line on marina access road

**Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviations from coastal path</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Seashell and Kukui Heiau</td>
<td>Papaloa Rd</td>
<td>Papaloa Rd</td>
<td>Along coast</td>
<td>Papaloa Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Around Bull Shed</td>
<td>Mauka thru parking lot &amp; makai</td>
<td>Mauka to Kuhio Hwy</td>
<td>Mauka thru parking lot</td>
<td>Makai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block north of Singleton (hotels/residences)</td>
<td>Thru pkg/ Niulani</td>
<td>Kuhio Hwy/ Niulani</td>
<td>Kuhio Hwy/ Niulani</td>
<td>Thru pkg/ Niulani</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggestions in Other Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canals</th>
<th>Waikae to Uahalekawawa</th>
<th>Waikae to Wailua River</th>
<th>Waikae to Wailua River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kawaihau Road</td>
<td>Kawaihau &amp; north of Hundley Hts</td>
<td>North of Hundley Hts</td>
<td>North of Hundley Hts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wailua House Lots</td>
<td>Haleilio &amp; Nonou</td>
<td>North side of WHL &amp; Haleilio</td>
<td>North side of WHL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

Results of Participant Survey

Participants at the January 29, 2004 meeting in Kapaa were asked to fill out a two-page questionnaire whose objectives were to gauge public opinion related to a proposed Lydgate Park-Kapaa Bike/Pedestrian Path and assess the effectiveness of the meeting itself (copy attached). Completed questionnaires were received from 35 of the 49 participants, for a response rate of 71 percent. In addition, the survey was distributed at a meeting of the Ka Ala Hele Makalae Committee held on January 30, 2004 and two additional questionnaires were completed and returned. Unless stated otherwise, the following analysis is based on responses from 37 persons.

1.1a. Have you used the bike/pedestrian path in Lydgate Park?

About three-fourths (28 out of 37) of the respondents stated that they have used the bike/pedestrian path at Lydgate Park. Among the users, 43% use the path at least once a week.

How often have you used the bike/pedestrian path in Lydgate Park?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than once a week</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several times (since it opened)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-ended question; post-coded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. If a multi-use path is constructed between Lydgate Park and Waikae Canal, how would you and the members of your household use it? (check all that apply).

Seven respondents who had not used the path in Lydgate Park checked items in Question 2, indicating that they planned to use the proposed path between Lydgate Park and Waikae Canal. Only three respondents stated that they were unlikely to use the path.

Almost 4 out of 5 users (79%) stated that they would use the path for bicycling, followed closely by those who would use it for walking—with or without a pet (74%). More than a third (35%) stated that the path would provide access to beach and fishing sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percent of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking with pet</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting to beach/fishing site</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveling in a wheelchair</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jogging</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller skating/blading</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycling</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature/bird watching</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: horseback riding</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: commuting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: doing errands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=34

3. Briefly describe the characteristics of your ideal path.

The survey included an open-ended question designed to elicit comments and suggestions about what type of path was desired. A surprising 32 people (86%) shared their ideas (surprising because open-ended questions require more effort on the part of the respondent). Ideas about the ideal path were varied and sometime contradictory (for example, people who wanted natural, dirt trails versus those who wanted smooth paved surfaces). However, there were also definite patterns in the responses (based on post-coding). Among the ideas that appear to resonate within community are the following:

- Along the coast; shoreline
- Away from traffic
- Scenic
- Safe
- Accommodates many different uses
- Wide, open (avoid conflicts among users)

Verbatim responses can be found in Appendix C.

4. What concerns would you have about a bike/pedestrian path in the project area?

The survey asked respondents to check off their concerns. As seen in the chart below, *maintenance* drew the most concern, with 24 people (65%) checking this issue. Half of the respondents indicated that *security* is a concern; while 16 people (43%) indicated that *impacts on historic and cultural sites and artifacts* requires attention.

Respondents could also write-in other concerns, and these are reproduced verbatim in Appendix C.
Concerns about Bike/Ped Path

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts on undeveloped land</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic/cultural sites</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts on private property</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No. of Respondents

Meeting Evaluation

Participants were asked how they felt about the content and format of the meeting. The feedback was generally favorable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information presented in clear manner</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps and visual aids were helpful</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate opportunity to express opinions</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N (Excludes non-respondents)

Publicity and Outreach

The survey asked respondents how they learned about the public meeting. The newspaper was cited by the largest number of respondents, followed by word of mouth (11) and invitation letter (10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newspaper (The Garden Island)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitation letter/mail</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyer</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV or radio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word of mouth (friend, co-worker, etc.)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Briefly describe characteristics of your ideal pedestrian/bike path**

R1. Along coast, mauka with views, bridle path
R2. Handicapped accessible, cultural/heritage/resource for entire family, community
R3. Long lasting; well constructed; wide enough for everyone & follows contour of the land
R5. Long, graceful curves; open look; scenic; safe
R6. Diversity, curves are ok! Straight isn’t better; retain natural feel
R7. Smooth; safe; attractive views; safe for women all by themselves
R8. Coastal; safe
R10. A path crossing as few roadways as possible; elevated on boardwalks where close to beach front to reduce risk of ocean damage
R11. It is there – any path would constitute a major upgrade for the East side – traffic reduction/tourist attraction/recreation
R15. Away from traffic; respectful to heiau and burial grounds by staying 50 feet from
R16. Safe; clear (minimal stops) bike lanes that enable bicycles to be used for transportation
R17. Ideally these funds would be used to pave cane field roads to alleviate our traffic
R18. Wide, open path. Covered shelters for rest breaks and cover from passing rain. No major inclines—path to follow flatter terrain
R19. Safe surface and safe to travel on with scenic views
R20. Allow boating; equestrian trails
R21. One that takes great care from Kukui Heiau to Waipouli flats – there were old fishing heiau along there. Please check with kupuna and kumu hula, e.g., Deena (Peena?) Dawson
R22. Convenient, useful (expedient for commuters); away from highway (and car fumes); scenic
R23. Along the coast—multi-use—connection to residential neighborhoods—off highway
R24. Safe, safe, safe! Affordable maintenance
R25. Multiple access/departure sites; comfort stations
R26. Safe, shoreline path removed from vehicular traffic
R27. Wide and paved, paralleling the shoreline
R28. It would also encompass bridle paths
R30. Wide enough for walking and bicycles
R31. One that will insure safety with or without vehicular traffic
R32. Easily accessible; wide enough for 2 joggers/bikers side by side; culturally sensitive/respectful to any site near path
R33. The way it is, is just fine
R34. Wide enough to have yellow line
R35. Dirt trail; well maintained; concrete is too hard
R36. Making elderly, fisherman, wheelchair accessible also
4. **Other concerns**

R2. Security-Friday and Saturday nights; beef up patrols; health & safety of children vs ATVs and SUV traffic/joy riders

R10. Safety of people enjoying various activities around/on path; concerned paths are too close to ocean!

R11. That it is built

R17. Accidents & waste of tax money

R18. Intrusion of commercial activities. Response during emergencies (injuries); emergency vehicle access; emergency phones

R24. Safety, safety, safety. Condemnation costs?

5. **Do you have any other comments regarding this project?**

R1. Great idea – keep it alive

R2. Vandalism? Parks require trail rangers, wardens, beach access; DLNR should have more rangers & County ranger on east side

R3. Don’t just talk; do it!! In the long run it’s a benefit to all, if it is constructed well and maintained well

R5. Meeting format unique—interactive approach was good way to elicit questions and solutions

R7. Very interesting; can’t wait to use it!

R8. Full speed ahead please!

R10. Eventually would like to see trail go to airport/Lihue. This would provide alternate transport between Kapaa and Lihue. The current highway does not seem safe for all but the most expert cyclists. Looking forward to discussing in greater depth how to work difficult passages!

R11. Phenomenal opportunity. Great for kids, elderly, disabled people. If we don’t make a ped/bike path, we may wind up trapped by roads that offer not alternative but driving

R17. Two Federal guidelines aren’t being met in building this path! 23 USC 116 regarding maintenance and 23 USC 217 page (2)i regarding the path use for bicycles for transportation and not recreation

R18. Let’s get it going!

R19. Must work to make this plan work.

R20. Keep area for boating and kayaking and equestrian trails

R21. Please be respectful of the heiau and historical sites. I’m concerned you’re on too fast a track.

R24. Signage should be clear and simple.

R25. Develop master bike/pedestrian plan for County

R26. Let’s get it done soon.

R27. Do it now. We’ve been “talking” about this for three administrations.

R28. No. I must say as a bike path and walk path County committee member, all is moving along very well!

R30. The path presently being used has inherent dangers crossing Wailua River
R32. Appreciate the public input meetings
R33. Why do you want to change Kapaa-Wailua, leave it
R34. I would love to see it completed!!
R35. If you don’t put money aside for maintenance – don’t build it!
R36. General maintenance; after the project, presume? culturally sensitive grounds
R37. If used for pet walking – owners need to be advised to pick up after their animals. Receptacles could be provided for disposing of waste bags.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pohaku Nishimoto</td>
<td>Kahului Bike/Pedestrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Measel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Hirata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Carpenter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Kurosawa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Fojardo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Ornellas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Garland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Perez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darc Auye</td>
<td>Hālau Kanikapulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Valenti</td>
<td>Hālau Kanikapulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapio'olani Ching</td>
<td>Hālau Kanikapulo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayne Regush</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Blake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Maughel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attendance Sheet

**Public Input and Scoping Meeting**
Lydgate Park-Kapa'a Bike/Pedestrian Path  
Thursday, January 29, 2004  
Kapa'a Middle School  
7:00 – 9:00 pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Ann Laung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. K. Courtland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jimmy Fujii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Higashi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Aoki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Higashi</td>
<td>F. of K. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Yama</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Iwagami</td>
<td>Self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Aketa</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Kingsley</td>
<td>Koloa Poi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Kyono</td>
<td>DOT - HWY-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan &amp; Carol Teter</td>
<td>SELF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Bynum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Fujita</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maiile Bahado</td>
<td>Hanau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Anderson</td>
<td>Kauai Sands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Honiyo</td>
<td>SELF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Honiyo</td>
<td>SELF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadine Nakamura</td>
<td>NKN Project Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurel Price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicky &amp; Wayne Thrift</td>
<td>Hale Awapuni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David W Pratt</td>
<td>Nin Big Farms Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attendance Sheet

**Public Input and Scoping Meeting**  
Lydgate Park-Kapa‘a Bike/Pedestrian Path  
Thursday, January 29, 2004  
Kapa‘a Middle School  
7:00 – 9:00 pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Atkinson</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myra Torn</td>
<td>AHEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Mckers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. MacDougall</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Rosenthal</td>
<td>Horses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Bokarat</td>
<td>Bike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula Gay</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie George</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Murphy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Lydgate Park-Kapa‘a Bike/Pedestrian Path
FACT SHEET

Sponsors
County of Kaua‘i, Department of Public Works
Federal Highway Administration

Project Areas
- From the northern end of the existing bike/pedestrian path at Lydgate Park (adjacent to Aloha Beach Resort) to the southern end of the Kapa‘a-Kealia bike/pedestrian path (Waika‘ea Canal)
- From the Lydgate Park-Kapa‘a bike/pedestrian path to Wailua House Lots Park and the Sleeping Giant hiking trail head
- From the Kawaihau Road bike/pedestrian path to the Kapa‘a-Kealia bike/pedestrian path

Project Objectives
- Extend and connect paths that currently exist or are proposed for near-term construction
- Improve the safety and accessibility of “social paths”
- Provide alternative transportation routes separated from motor vehicles
- Provide low-impact opportunities for residents and visitors to experience the natural environment
- Increase opportunities for the community to engage in recreational and fitness activities

Potential Issues
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Conservation district/construction within shoreline areas
- Historic/cultural sites and artifacts
- Compatibility with future development
- Maintenance and security

Public Participation Schedule
| January 29, 2004 | 1<sup>st</sup> public meeting (input and scoping) |
| Late February | 2<sup>nd</sup> public meeting (review preferred alignment and gather additional feedback) |
| June | 3<sup>rd</sup> public meeting (comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment) |

Stakeholders and interested parties are invited to come forward with ideas for alternatives, alternative evaluation criteria, environmental concerns, suggestions for outreach, and other information relevant to the planning process.

For more information, please contact:
Glenn Kimura
Kimura International
1600 Kapi‘olani Blvd., Suite 1610, Honolulu, HI 96814
Tel: (808) 944-8848 (on Oahu) (888) 898-8886 (toll free) Fax (808) 941-8999
E-mail: projects@kimurainternational.com
Lydgate Park-Kapa‘a Bike/Pedestrian Path
MEETING 1 PARTICIPANT SURVEY

1. Have you used the bike/pedestrian path in Lydgate Park?
   □ Yes   □ No

1a. If Yes, how often? ____________________________

2. If a multi-use path is constructed between Lydgate Park and Waika‘ea Canal, how would you and the members of your household like to use it? (check all that apply)
   □ Walking
   □ Walking with pet
   □ Getting to beach/fishing site
   □ Traveling in a wheelchair (with or without motor)
   □ Jogging
   □ Roller skating/blading
   □ Bicycling
   □ Nature/bird watching
   □ Other: ____________________________
   □ Unlikely to use the path

3. Briefly describe the characteristics of your ideal pedestrian/bike path

____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

4. What concerns would you have about a bike/pedestrian path in the project area?
   □ Security
   □ Noise
   □ Impacts on undeveloped land
   □ Impacts on historic/cultural sites and artifacts
   □ Maintenance
   □ Impacts on surrounding private property
   □ Other: ____________________________

5. Do you have any other comments regarding this project?

____________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________
Evaluation of Tonight’s Meeting

6. Was the information presented in a clear manner?
   □ Yes  □ No
   If No, which aspects were unclear?

7. Were the maps and visual aids helpful?
   □ Yes  □ No
   If No, please explain

8. Were you given adequate opportunity to express your opinions?
   □ Yes  □ No

9. How did you hear about tonight’s meeting?

10. Suggestions for other ways to publicize future meetings or increase participation:

* * * * *

Mahalo for completing this questionnaire. Please leave it at the reception area or mail to the address below (stamped, self-addressed envelopes are available)

Kimura International, Inc.
1600 Kapi’olani Boulevard, Suite 1610
Honolulu, HI 96814
(808) 944-8848 or (888) 898-8886 (toll free)
Lydgate Park – Kapa‘a
Bike/Pedestrian Path

Public Input
and Scoping Meeting

January 29, 2004
Kapa‘a Middle School

County of Kaua‘i, Department of Public Works
Federal Highway Administration

Project Team

- Project Manager: Douglas Haigh, County of Kaua‘i, Department of Public Works
- Prime Consultant: Kimura International Inc.
- Civil Engineer: Kodani & Associates
- Public Involvement: Lee Communications
- Various technical subconsultants