

COUNTY OF KAUAI
Minutes of Meeting
OPEN SESSION

DRAFT To Be Approved

Board/Commission:		Kaua'i Historic Preservation Review Commission	Meeting Date	March 18, 2021
Location	Teleconference by Microsoft Teams		Start of Meeting: 1:34 p.m.	End of Meeting: 3:53 p.m.
Present	<p>Chair Gerald Ida. Vice Chair Susan Remoaldo. Commissioners: James Guerber, Carolyn Larson, Stephen Long and Aubrey Summers.</p> <p>Deputy County Attorney Laura Barzilai. Planning Department Staff: Deputy Planning Director Jodi Higuchi-Sayegusa, Planner Myles Hironaka, Commission Specialist Shanlee Jimenez and Planner Marisa Valenciano. Office of Boards and Commissions: Administrator Ellen Ching and Commission Support Clerk Sandra Muragin.</p>			
Excused				
Absent				
SUBJECT	DISCUSSION			ACTION
A. Call To Order	Chair Ida called the meeting to order at 1:34 p.m.			
B. Roll Call	<p>Deputy Planning Director Higuchi-Sayegusa verified attendance by roll call and requested a verbal response;</p> <p>Commissioner Guerber replied here.</p> <p>Commissioner Larson replied present.</p> <p>Commissioner Long replied here.</p> <p>Commissioner Summers replied present.</p> <p>Vice Chair Remoaldo replied here.</p> <p>Chair Ida replied here.</p>			Quorum was established with six commissioners present.
C. Approval of the Agenda	Ms. Larson inquired why the Waimea River Ford Crossing Project was not placed on the agenda. Planner Marisa Valenciano replied that it would be placed on a future agenda.			Ms. Larson moved to approve the agenda, as circulated. Mr. Guerber seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
D. Approval of the Minutes	Chair Ida stated the minutes are not available.			
E. Communications	There were none.			

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
F. Public Comment	Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa announced that any member of the public could testify on any agenda item now. Hearing none, she moved on to the next agenda item.	
G. General Business Matters	There were none.	
H. Unfinished Business	<p>H.1. Hawai'i Department of Transportation and Federal Highways Administration Programmatic Agreement for Minor Hawai'i Historic Bridge Projects Statewide National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106: Consultation with Native Hawaiian Organizations and Potential Consulting Parties.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Draft Statement of Purpose, Approach, and Abbreviated Outline b. Director's Report pertaining to this matter <p>Ms. Valenciano shared the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This agenda item was a continuation from the last meeting. • Involved development of a Programmatic Agreement to assist Federal Highways Administration and streamline minor repairs and rehabilitation on historic bridges. • The consultant provided the Minor Historic Bridge Projects Programmatic Agreement Outline to review. • The project was on-going and in its early stages. • The department recommended to withhold comments until a future draft became available. <p>Consultant and Project Manager Mr. Ikaika Kincaid was available to answer questions and with him was Hawai'i Department of Transportation Planning Department Project Manager Ms. Pua Aiu.</p> <p>Mr. Kincaid said he brought an outline of the programmatic agreement and welcomed any questions from the commission.</p> <p>Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ms. Larson asked how many Kaua'i bridges were on the list. Mr. Kincaid replied 400 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>statewide bridges qualify but didn't have a specific number for Kaua'i. He said the inventory list was from 2013 and would be revised soon.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Vice Chair Remoaldo requested more information on emergency repairs. Mr. Kincaid replied that the outline highlighted areas that would be developed and refined once a draft was completed. He said the activity would be defined by tiers and basically bring the bridge back to how it was originally. 3. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked if the tiers would once emergency repairs theres an opportunity to review in order to comply with section 106 would the tiers address that. Mr. Kincaid said depending on how activities are defined it may be covered by multiple tiers. 4. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked where she could find the HDOT comprehensive exemption list. Mr. Kincaid it's the 343 exemption list from HDOT. 5. Mr. Long requested to add another chapter for safety and signage that would study and evaluate signage placed in the areas around historic bridges. Mr. Kincaid stated it would be added. 6. Chair Ida asked who was updating the inventory list. Mr. Kincaid replied that another consultant would update the 2013 inventory list. <p>Mr. Kincaid said the outline the commission was given did not contain a lot of information; however, a draft should become available within a couple of months to review and submit comments on. If needed, he could be present at that meeting. He then shared that Kaua'i had 38 historic bridges on the inventory list.</p> <p>With no further questions from the commission Chair Ida called for a motion.</p>	<p>Mr. Guerber moved to receive the Hawai'i Department of Transportation and Federal Highways Administration Programmatic Agreement for Minor Hawai'i Historic Bridge Projects Statewide National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106: Consultation</p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		with Native Hawaiian Organizations and Potential Consulting Parties, Draft Statement of Purpose, Approach, and Abbreviated Outline. Mr. Long seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
	<p>H.2. Hanapēpē Readiness Center (Hawai'i Army National Guard) 1-3460 Kaumuali'i Hwy Tax Map Key: (4) 1-8-008:029 and 078 Hanapēpē, Kaua'i</p> <p>National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) Section 106 consultation for the proposed installation of two new 80 foot tall, 60 foot wide (turning radius), 25-kilowatt rotatable high frequency (HF) antennas at the Hanapēpē Readiness Center (HRC), and the finding of “no adverse effects” to historic properties.</p> <p>a. HING PowerPoint Presentation. b. Director's Report pertaining to this matter.</p> <p>Ms. Valenciano shared a brief overview and recommendation from portions of the Director's Report dated March 19, 2020, on file:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action was a section 106 requests for consultation and comments of the applicant's findings of no adverse effects on historic properties. • The departments findings was the project contradicted with the goals and objectives of the West Kaua'i Community Plan and the General Plan and would impact the visual quality of the surrounding nearby historic properties. • The department recommended to not concur with the applicants findings of no adverse effects to historic properties. 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>State of Hawai'i (SOH) Department of Defense, Director of Public Affairs Jeffrey Hickman shared a power point presentation and with him were National Guard Bureau representative Heath Conkle and Hawai'i National Guard representative Karl Brimwell.</p> <p>Mr. Jeffrey Hickman shared a power point presentation and proposed the installation of two 80 foot tall antennas at the Hanapēpē Readiness Center (HRC);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose of antennas was to provide emergency communication during disasters with interisland, American Samoa, Guam and mainland through voice or text messages. • Antennas would be used to save Kaua'i lives. • Communication for assistance after a disaster is crucial for the county to receive assistance from the state and federal. <p>Mr. Hickman shared a project overview:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hurricane Iniki initiated the Late Senator Daniel K. Inouye to request improvements in communication during and after disasters. • The Defense Information Systems Agency did a island-wide site survey with the need to meet strict guidelines to operate the system, open line of sight and minimize impact to the community. • No cost to the county or state of Hawai'i. The National Guard Bureau is funding the project. • Support the HING and there will be a full-time HING member employed to operate the system. • State Historic Preservation Division provided concurrence to the project. • The area where the antennas would be secured have been disturbed in the past and there would be a low percentage of iwi or historical remnants. • Visual impacts would be minimal and the antenna base would be hidden underground. • No guide wires and no lights. • Outside of flood zone area. Affected and in zone of 100 and 500 year floods. • No risk of radio frequency and no harmful rays. 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Located entirely on property. • Regulatory guidelines would be followed. <p>Mr. Hickman showed graphic representations of the antennas in the area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Birds eye view of HRC from Puolo Road and Kaumuali'i Highway. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Located in safe area on property from category one and two hurricane storm surges. ○ Category four hurricane would flood area. Antennas are generator operated and would recover quickly and allow emergency communication. • View #1 – from Puolo Road, the antennas are aluminum anodized which was the same color as the light poles at Vidinha Stadium, Līhu'e. • View #2 – looking mauka across two fields – antennas on left side of street light poles • View #3 – along makai Puolu Road looking mauka directly back at Kaumuali'i Highway across the HRC • View #4 & #5 – looking across the ball field and seeing back of HRC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Two antennas – one for receiving and one for transmitting, which can be done at the same time. Antennas blend in with the other light poles in the area with no extreme height difference. • View #6 – from Kaumuali'i Highway across the street • Posted notice in the Garden Island newspaper and sent out notifications to 30 Hawaiian organizations. Did not receive any responses. • No cost to the state or county. • Updated architectural drawings and information are available. <p>Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Vice Chair Remoaldo shared the Hanapēpē/‘Ele‘ele community association spent over two years to better understand and learn how to prepare themselves and community to cover all disasters and wanted to become a HARP (Hawai'i Hazard Awareness and Resilience Program) community. Discovered the importance and difficulty in communicating after a disaster to get resources and assistance. Current equipment would not meet the needs now 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>and in the future.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Mr. Long asked for the height of the antennas. Mr. Hickman replied 80 feet tall. Mr. Long commented it would be the tallest on island. 3. Mr. Long asked for a copy of the SHPD letter. Ms. Valenciano replied it was provided in the packet and dated 4/2/2020. Mr. Long located the letter. 4. Mr. Guerber inquired the type of data the antennas communicated. Mr. Hickman replied voice and text messages could be communicated and reach the mainland, American Samoa and Guam. 5. Mr. Long commented that he was not in agreement with the applicant's assessment of no affect to surrounding properties and would deny the project. 6. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked what the rotating antenna looked like. Mr. Hickman replied it would look like the old television antennas. The antennas could operate 24/7 or just during testing periods during non-disaster times. 7. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked if the rotating antenna made noise once it was activated to turn and rotate. National Guard Bureau representative Heath Conkle replied the noise was very minimal and sounded like a ham (amateur) radio. 8. Ms. Summers asked for the height of the light stanches. Mr. Hickman replied he wasn't sure and would get an answer back to the commission. He said the lights at Vidinha Stadium were 70 feet tall and the telephone poles were 40 feet tall. 9. Ms. Larson asked if the flood data included the new projected sea level rise. Hawai'i National Guard Representative Karl Bromwell replied he wasn't sure and would get an answer back to the commission. 10. Ms. Larson asked to see the list of potential sites for the antennas and wanted to know the comparison data and why the other sites were not chosen. Mr. Hickman replied the Hanapēpē site checked off three big factors; it was not blocked by mountains, area was safe and it was on their property. 11. Mr. Long commented that with advancements in technology he found it difficult to understand why an 80 foot antenna was the only option available. 12. Mr. Long commented that he found the presentation deceptive with antennas not to scale and colored light. He stated architect drawings should be a minimum requirement and included in the commissions packets. 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa inquired on the projects time frame. Mr. Conkle replied that they are pending completion of the environmental documentation in August or September and would be able to start.</p> <p>13. Ms. Larson inquired on the visual sight line from across Kaumuali'i Highway looking at the temple and wanted a picture of how the antennas would look like. Mr. Hickman said he would work on providing a picture of that specific view.</p> <p>14. Mr. Long commented that it would affect historic properties and suggested they research additional technologies and provide pictures that represent an accurate view. Mr. Hickman replied they could research other communication equipment instead of antennas.</p> <p>15. Ms. Larson proposed they choose another site for the antenna.</p> <p>16. Chair Ida concluded that the view would be impacted and could not accept the conclusion letter.</p> <p>17. Mr. Bromwell replied that the consultant prepared the pictures and they were accurately scaled.</p> <p>DCA Barzilai advised the commission that they could motion to defer, motion to adopt recommendations or motion to defer to next meeting for additional information.</p> <p>Ms. Larson asked if they could agree with the findings of no significant visual impact and ask that they look at other potential sites and other communication equipment.</p> <p>DCA Barzilai advised that commission that if they received it may prevent the applicant from returning to another meeting with the requested additional information. Taking DCA Barzilai's advice Mr. Guerber rephrased his motion.</p>	<p>Mr. Guerber moved to receive the communication and submit with comments and questions and look forward to another presentation with the additional information. Ms. Summers seconded. <i>(motion dissolved and restated below with recommendations)</i></p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		<p>Mr. Guerber moved to defer the proposed installation of two new 80 foot tall, 60 foot wide (turning radius), 25-kilowatt rotatable high frequency (HF) antennas at the Hanapēpē Readiness Center (Hawai'i Army National Guard) 1-3460 Kaumuali'i Hwy, Tax Map Key: (4) 1-8-008:029 and 078, Hanapēpē, Kaua'i , and the finding of "no adverse effects" to historic properties and request findings of another site for the antennas and other communication equipment that would not have a visual impact on the surrounding historical sites. Ms. Summers seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.</p>
<p>I. New Business</p>	<p>I.1. Gay & Robinson – Kaumakani Village Design Review of the Rebuild of 8 Plantation Camp Housing Units Tax Map Key: (4) 1-7-006:001 Kaumakani, Hawaii</p> <p>Consideration of the design review standards for the rebuild of eight (8) plantation camp housing units in Kaumakani Village.</p> <p>a. Applicant's second letter providing information about the plantation history and project details</p>	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>b. Plantation Camp (PC) Zoning Ordinance c. Director's Report pertaining to this matter</p> <p>Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa shared the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plantation Camp Ordinance 1086 was enacted as part of the West Kaua'i Plan and included in CZO as article 8A 2. Kaua'i County Code prohibits non-conforming structures from being significantly reconstructed or substantially repaired and all repairs must be completed within a year. 3. The plantation camp ordinance 1086; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Created to provide flexibility to non-conforming existing structures in an agricultural district within several plantation camps including Kaumakani village. b. Allows structures to be rebuilt to what existed previously c. Structures cannot be enlarged and must comply with building codes and environmental hazards d. Allows structures to be moved around the property e. Does not require the one-year repair deadline allows non-conforming homes to be rebuilt to previous character and size. 4. Project allows families or descendants of plantation workers to continue to live in the homes and preserves a historic district. <p>Ms. Valenciano shared a brief overview and recommendation from portions of the Director's Report dated March 18, 2021, on file.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The commission was to consider the design review standards of eight structures. 2. Applicant provided a packet with plans and improvement details and would also be used for future repairs and projects within the district. 3. Applicant intends to rebuild eight structures to what previously existed with the same size and scale. 4. The difference was to improve the interior and changes to the foundation structure. 5. The action before the commission; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Support for project b. Recommendation to approve with conditions 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>c. Recommendation to deny permits d. Recommendation to defer action on the permits</p> <p>Gay & Robinson, Inc. Project Manager Howard Greene gave the following presentation and was available to answer questions;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homes were built in 1946 as a planned community. • Homes have the same layout and floor plans. • Homes built on concrete foundations with all pipes and plumbing imbedded in concrete. Soil was highly expansive and caused major damage to the foundation. • Homes concrete foundation would be replaced with post-pier. • Repairs needed to provide affordable homes to west Kaua'i families. • 350 homes and a waiting list with 1000 families. • Future repairs would include homes in Pākālā, Kaumakani Avenue and Kaawanui camp. <p>Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ms. Larson asked how the post-pier would change the look of the homes. Mr. Greene said the homes would be elevated 18 inches higher than the rest of the homes. 2. Vice Chair Remoaldo commented that vinyl double hung windows were drastically different from the original wooden double hung windows and asked if other homes were replaced with vinyl. Mr. Greene replied that a few homes wer installed vinyl slider windows by the maintenance crew or tenants. To meet code the windows would be four inches higher. <p><i>Chair Ida experienced internet problems and disconnected from the meeting around 3:07 p.m.</i></p> <p>With Chair Ida absent Chair Pro Tem Remoaldo led the meeting and asked for a motion.</p> <p>Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa advised that the Director's Report listed recommendations and asked if</p>	<p>Ms. Larson moved to accept the proposal as presented. Mr. Guerber seconded the motion. <i>(motion dissolved and restated below with recommendations)</i></p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>that would be included in the motion. Ms. Larson agreed and repeated her motion.</p>	<p>Ms. Larson moved to accept the Gay & Robinson – Kaumakani Village, Design Review of the Rebuild of 8 Plantation Camp Housing Units, Tax Map Key: (4) 1-7-006:001, Kaumakani, Hawai'i, Consideration of the design review standards for the rebuild of eight (8) plantation camp housing units in Kaumakani Village as presented with the proposed recommendations from the Planning Department;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="1583 867 1982 1159">1. Any repairs, rehabilitation, and/or reconstruction shall preserve and utilize the design elements of the original historical structure including but not limited to the roof, fenestration, trim and exterior siding.<li data-bbox="1583 1166 1982 1458">2. The Applicant shall be cognizant that KHPRC review and approval shall not obviate the Applicant or permit application submittal from the standard regulatory permitting review process and the

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		<p>permitting requirements set forth in the applicable State and County laws, including but not limited to the County of Kaua'i Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.</p> <p>Ms. Summers seconded the motion. Motion carried 5:0.</p>
	<p>I.2. 3 Palms, LLC Douglas Baldwin Beach House Improvements 5242 Weke Road Tax Map Key: (4) 5-5-002:107 Hanalei, Hawai'i</p> <p>Consideration of a Class I Zoning Permit to renovate the existing single-family dwelling unit and to construct a covered lanai extension.</p> <p>1. Director's Report pertaining to this matter.</p> <p>Ms. Valenciano shared a brief overview and recommendation from portions of the Director's Report dated March 18, 2021, on file.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant came before the commission in November 2018 to convert a dwelling into an accessory structure to build a new house. The commission approved the project and permits were pulled. Since COVID-19 the applicant changed their minds and was now requesting to restore the original home with a covered lanai and replace windows and doors with like items. • The action before the commission <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Support the project b. Recommendation to approve with conditions c. Recommendation to deny permits d. Recommendation to defer action on the permits 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>The deck was not part of the original request back in November 2018. The department recommends supporting the covered lanai with conditions.</p> <p>Ian Jung shared a power point presentation and showed plans of the covered deck with sliding glass doors and replacement of windows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current Trek decking would be removed and replaced with Ipe wood. • Extend the Ipe wood deck walkway to one side of the home. • The covered lanai roof would batch the existing roof. • The door to the lanai would be replaced with three sliding doors. • Jalousie windows would be replaced with wooden double hung windows <p><i>Chair Ida logged back into the meeting at 3:23 p.m.</i></p> <p>Ms. Larson commented concerns regarding the new sliding doors and divided doors might be more consistent with the style and era of the home. Mr. Jung replied that he would consult with the architect.</p> <p>Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa advised that the Director's Report listed recommendations and asked if that would be included in the motion. Mr. Long agreed and repeated his motion.</p>	<p>Mr. Long moved to approve the proposal and application. Ms. Summers seconded the motion. <i>(motion dissolved and restated below with recommendations)</i></p> <p>Mr. Long moved to approve the 3 Palms, LLC Douglas Baldwin Beach House Improvements at 5242 Weke Road, Tax Map Key: (4) 5-5-002:107, Hanalei, Hawai'i a Class I Zoning Permit to renovate the existing single-</p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		<p>family dwelling unit and to construct a covered lanai extension and include the following recommendations from the Planning Department;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant shall ensure the architectural form, style, and material used for the proposed improvements is consistent with the U.S. Secretary of Standards & Guideline, and does not detract from or significantly alter the historic integrity of the existing property and the historic beach house.2. The Applicant shall be cognizant that KHPRC review and approval shall not obviate the Applicant or permit application submittal from the standard regulatory permitting review process and the permitting requirements set forth in the applicable State and County laws, including but not limited to the County of Kaua'i Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.3. Applicant shall be cognizant of the HRS 6E-10 review

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		<p>process as it pertains to privately owned properties listed on the Hawai'i or National Registers of Historic Places. The Applicant shall formally contact SHPD and comply with any conditions or agency comments.</p> <p>4. Prior to issuance of the new permit, the applicant shall submit a letter to the Department to confirm compliance with any EIS/EA requirement pursuant to HRS 343.</p> <p>5. Prior to issuance of the new permit, the applicant shall do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Formally withdraw all zoning and building permits that are no longer relevant to the revised scope of work.b. Formally amend or withdraw the existing Z-74-2019 zoning permit.c. Apply for all necessary shoreline or SMA permits based on the revised scope of work. Ms. Summers seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>I.3. 'Ele'ele Baptist Church Expansion and Renovation of the Church Building 339 Mehana Rd Tax Map Key: (4) 2-1-001:041 'Ele'ele, Hawai'i Consideration of a Class IV Zoning Permit and use Permit to expand and renovate a portion of the existing church building.</p> <p>a. Director's Report pertaining to this matter.</p> <p>Ms. Valenciano shared a brief overview and recommendation from portions of the Director's Report dated March 18, 2020, on file.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The action before the commission <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. Support the project f. Recommendation to approve with conditions g. Recommendation to deny permits h. Recommendation to defer action on the permits • The applicant provided construction plans to expand both sides of the building for storage, meeting rooms and pantry and roof improvements. • The department recommends supporting the project <p>Architect, Edwin Santa Maria shared the following information;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adding a lanai with columns and roof covering to support overflow of church functions • Addition on the Port Allen side would expand the church ministry pantry, meeting space, storage and support community needs • A new housing was being developed in 'Ele'ele and the church realizes it would need to expand the space to support the community. <p><i>Chair Ida experienced internet problems and disconnected from the meeting around 3:34 p.m.</i></p>	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>With Chair Ida absent Chair Pro Tem Remoaldo led the meeting.</p> <p>Ms. Larson requested for a short history of the building and congregation. Mr. Santa Maria shared the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Built in 1968 • Building was designed similar to a Baptist Church <p>Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ms. Larson asked if the congregation was expanding. Mr. Santa Maria replied that the 'Ele'ele population was increasing. 2. Mr. Long asked about the materials for the new siding and roof, what was the existing material and what material were they planning to use. Mr. Santa Maria replied the roof was asphalt shingles and they plan to replace the entire existing roof and addition with the same asphalt shingle. The exterior siding would be T1-11. 3. Mr. Long commented that modern buildings use T1-11 and a more historic typical westside plantation siding would use board and batten. He said it was not a requirement but for their consideration. 4. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked if the original existing siding was cmu (concrete masonry unit) blocks. Mr. Santa Maria replied that the walls are cmu blocks and the Kaumuali'i side of the church was chicken wire with plaster. 5. Vice Chair Remoaldo asked if cost was the reason they were using siding and not cmu blocks. Mr. Santa Maria replied yes. 6. Mr. Long commented that in keeping with the historic part they should use board and batten. Ms. Summers replied that the commission approved T1-11 for the Gay & Robinson rebuilt of eight units. <p>Ms. Valenciano clarified by age the building was considered historic but the departments analysis didn't determine it significant under the criteria of historic register or based on integrity points.</p>	<p>Ms. Larson moved to accept the 'Ele'ele Baptist Church Expansion and Renovation of the Church Building, 339</p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p><i>Chair Ida logged back into the meeting at 3:44 p.m.</i></p>	<p>Mehana Rd, Tax Map Key: (4) 2-1-001:041, 'Ele'ele, Hawai'i Class IV Zoning Permit and use Permit to expand and renovate a portion of the existing church building and include Planning Department's recommendations to support the proposed project involving the expansion and renovation to the church sanctuary building. Ms. Summers seconded the motion. Motion carried 5:0</p>
<p>J. Announcements</p>	<p>J.1. Historic Hawai'i Foundation Virtual Seminar – March 2021 Topic: Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties Date: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 Time: 10:00am – 11:30am</p>	
<p>K. Selection of Next Meeting Date and Agenda Topics (DATE)</p>	<p>Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa announced the next meeting would be April 22, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.</p> <p>Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa said there would be an update on the CLG (Certified Local Government) at the next meeting but asked if the commission could hold off on updates on continuing the PIG'S (Permitted Action Group) work on the historic resource inventory until pandemic duties wind down.</p> <p>Mr. Long stated that his request from October 2019 to have a standardized minimum requirement met before KHPRC reviews an application be placed back on the agenda. He said all applications should include existing and proposed site plans, floor plans, exterior elevations, color, finishes and material schedule.</p>	
<p>L. Adjournment</p>	<p>With no further business to conduct, Chair Ida called for a motion to adjourn.</p>	<p>Vice Chair Remoaldo moved to adjourn the meeting. Ms.</p>

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
		Larson seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0. Chair Ida adjourned the meeting at 3:53pm

Submitted by: _____ Reviewed and Approved by: _____
Sandra M. Muragin, Commission Support Clerk Gerald Ida, Chair

- () Approved as circulated.
- () Approved with amendments. See minutes of _____ meeting.