

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Public Comment	Seeing no one, Deputy Planning Director Jodi Higuchi-Sayegusa moved to the next agenda item.	
Communication (For Action) (None)	There were no communications.	
New Business (For Action)	<p><u>H.1. Discussion on the permitted interaction group pursuant to Hawai'i Revised Statutes Section 92-2.5(b)(2) regarding the scope of its review of existing beach accesses and their possible improvement needs that the Commission may consider for funding from the Public Access, Open Space, and Natural Resources Preservation Fund.</u></p> <p>Ms. Sadora reminded the Commission that at a previous meeting they formed a new Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) and elected the same members from the first PIG, which were; Commissioner Taniguchi, Commissioner Kanna, Commissioner Texeria and Chair Ono. They discussed the scope of duties for the new PIG and agreed that it should continue with the same scope of duties from the first PIG but add that it also include to review all accesses around the island. She explained the desired action for this agenda was for the whole commission to make suggestions and recommend how to look at the remaining accesses and what to do for improvements. The consensus was to continue and make consistent improvements with all accesses.</p> <p><i>Commissioner Taryn Dizon arrived.</i></p> <p>Ms. Sadora stated two things for the commission to consider.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Planning Department was in the process of procuring an outside independent consultant using the grant funds that would examine the accesses separately from the new PIG. 2. The consultant would present a report that would be shared with the Planning Department, the new PIG and the commission. She suggested the new PIG consider using the work of the consultant as their findings and present it to the commission for 	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>consideration.</p> <p><i>Chair Pro Tem Kimura called for a recess at 1:13 p.m.</i> <i>Chair Pro Tem Kimura called the meeting back to order at 1:15 p.m.</i></p> <p>Deputy Planning Director Jodi Higuchi-Sayegusa explained that the contract for the inventory update was signed by Sea Engineering, Inc. and the contract scope of duties must be completed by the end of June 2020 which included inspection of the accesses, inspection of additional coastal accesses and creation of a layered GIS (geographic information system) file for the website.</p> <p><i>Commissioner Japser returned back to the meeting at 1:16 p.m.</i></p> <p>Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa said Administrator Ellen Ching raised a concern that there may be a Sunshine law violation if the Commission edits the scope of the new PIG that was agreed upon at a previous meeting. Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa suggested they form a new PIG once they receive a completed scope of work from Sea Engineering. The new PIG could present Sea Engineering's scope of work as their findings and recommendations to the commission.</p> <p>Chair Pro Tem Kimura requested they clarify previous decisions by reviewing past meeting minutes. She also reiterated and wanted verification that Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa requested the new PIG use Sea Engineering's scope of duties as their findings, which led her to believe that the new PIG would not do anything. Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa said it was brought up as an option for the new PIG, since they secured Sea Engineering through grant funds. She suggested the commission form the new PIG closer to the end of the fiscal year. Once Planning Department receives Sea Engineering's completed scope of duties it could also be forwarded to the Mayor for review and approval as well as this commission.</p> <p>Ms. Taniguchi said the first PIG presented their findings and wanted to know what would become of that. Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa said that would be shared with Sea Engineering and</p>	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>suggested it also be incorporated into their biennial report to the County Council.</p>	<p>Mr. Texeira moved to receive discussion on the permitted interaction group pursuant to Hawai'i Revised Statutes Section 92-2.5(b)(2) regarding the scope of its review of existing beach accesses and their possible improvement needs that the Commission may consider for funding from the Public Access, Open Space, and Natural Resources Preservation Fund. Ms. Kanna seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.</p>
	<p><u>H.2. Development of biennial list of priority projects to be reported to the Kaua'i County Council and the Mayor.</u></p> <p>Ms. Sadora said they completed the Kīlauea community meeting and Chair Pro Tem Kimura would forward their recommendations to Ms. Sadora. The next meeting would be Hanapēpē 'Ele'ele with Ms. Kanna.</p> <p>Ms. Sadora discussed the collaboration on the development of a biennial report that would tie and connect their work with the general plan. Chair Pro Tem Kimura was working with Ms. Sadora to achieve this and they decided to refresh the cover page and use the same format as the general plan and community plan. Historic Planner Alex Wong was assisting with updating the website page and tie the existing accesses into the website. Ms. Sadora said there was no timeline to report to council, however; the commission normally submitted their report by February or March. Once the first draft is completed the commission would review and at point they could add their comments.</p>	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>Ms. Sadora said they do not have confirmation from the Līhu‘e Business Association. Chair Pro Tem Kimura said Hanalei to Hā‘ena neighborhood association did not have set meetings in 2020, and suggested they browse the website to complete the survey and submit their ideas. Chair Pro Tem Kimura asked if they could solicit through social media and Ms. Sadora agreed to check with Planning Director Hull. They agreed that the associations could email the survey to their contact lists.</p>	
	<p><u>H.3. Update on Hanapēpē massacre documentary filming.</u></p> <p>Ms. Sadora said they met with Mike Miranda and his team confirmed the location of the mass grave site and the exact location of the staging area, which was in a different location from the two parcels the commission motioned to purchase. She said the documentary film crew operated separately and independently from Miranda’s team. Mr. Miranda’s team would forward a report of their findings to the Planning Department and that would be shared with the commission and community. The commission expressed concern that the information provided may have an impact on the purchase of the original two parcels. To ease the commissions concern, Ms. Sadora explained they were moving forward with the negotiations on the two parcels, however; the commission still had the authority to decide if they follow through with the purchase or not.</p>	
<p>Unfinished Business (None)</p>	<p>There were <u>was</u> no unfinished business.</p>	
<p>Announcements</p>	<p>There were no announcements.</p> <p>Ms. Sadora extended an invitation to attend Planning Department’s annual Christmas party tomorrow.</p> <p>Chair Pro Tem Kimura asked that a condolence card be sent to Chair Ono on behalf of the commission. Ms. Sadora volunteered to assist with that request.</p>	
<p>Next Meeting</p>	<p>Next scheduled meeting date Thursday, January 9, 2020, at 1:00 p.m.</p>	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Date and Agenda Topics for Discussion	Ms. Higuchi-Sayegusa received the following January agenda topics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Permitted Interaction Group recommendations. 2. First draft of the biennial report. 3. Update on the Hanapēpē massacre. 4. Election for Chair and Vice Chair. 	
Adjournment	With no further business to conduct, Chair Pro Tem Kimura called for a motion to adjourn.	Ms. Kanna moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Teixeira seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0. Meeting adjourned at 1:56 p.m.

Submitted by: 
 Sandra M. Muragin, Commission Support Clerk

Reviewed and Approved by: _____
 Shaylyn Kimura, Chair Pro Tem

- () Approved as circulated.
- (X) Approved with amendments. See minutes of _01/09/20___ meeting.