

KAUA'I PLANNING COMMISSION  
SUBDIVISION COMMITTEE MEETING  
**January 28, 2020**

The regular meeting of the Planning Commission Subdivision Committee of the County of Kaua'i was called to order at 8:31 a.m., at the Līhu'e Civic Center, Mo'ikeha Building, in meeting room 2A-2B. The following Commissioners were present:

Roy Ho  
Ms. Donna Apisa

Absent:

The following staff members were present: Planning Department –Chance Bukoski, Dale Cua, and Planning Commission Secretary Leslie Takasaki; Office of the County Attorney – Deputy County Attorney Nicholas Courson; Office of Boards and Commissions –Commission Support Clerk Arleen Kuwamura.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Subdivision Committee Chair Ho: Called the meeting to order at 8:31 a.m.

**ROLL CALL**

Chair Ho: Good morning all. Welcome to to our first Subdivision Committee meeting of the New Year. Mr. Bukoski could you start us out with our last roll, please.

Staff Planner Chance Bukoski: Good morning Commissioners. Chair Ho.

Chair Ho: Here.

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioner Apisa.

Ms. Apisa: Here.

Mr. Bukoski: Chair, we have two present.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Mr. Bukoski: Moving on to Item C, Approval of the Agenda.

Ms. Apisa: I move we approve the agenda as submitted.

Chair Ho: Seconded. Motion before us is to approve the Agenda. All in favor? Aye (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0.

**MINUTES of the meeting(s) of the Subdivision Committee**

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item D, Minutes of the Meeting of the Subdivision Committee. Meeting of August 13, 2019, meeting of November 12, 2019, and meeting of January 14, 2020.

Ms. Apisa: January 8<sup>th</sup>? Or 14<sup>th</sup>?

Mr. Bukoski: 14<sup>th</sup>. Sorry.

Ms. Apisa: I move to approve the minutes of August 13, 2019, November 12, 2019, and January 14, 2020.

Chair Ho: Motion before us is to approve our minutes of August 13, November 12, and January 14, 2020. All in favor? Aye (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0.

**RECEIPT OF ITMENS FOR THE RECORD (None)**

Mr. Bukoski: I just want to apologize. We did go ahead of schedule. There's Item C, selection of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson appointed of the Subdivision Committee. Chairperson.

Chair Ho: I don't believe that is correct.

Mr. Kaaina Hull: I apologize. As I read on the itinerary that's going to be in the next Subdivision meeting.

Mr. Bukoski: I apologize for the confusion. So moving on to Item E, Receipt of Items for the Record. Seeing none.

**HEARINGS AND PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Bukoski: Moving on to Item F, Hearings and Public Comment. Seeing none.

**GENERAL BUSINESS MATTERS**

Mr. Bukoski: Moving on. Item No. G, General Business Matters. None.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS (For Action)**

Mr. Bukoski: Item H, Unfinished Business. None.

**NEW BUSINESS (For Action)**

Tentative Subdivision Map Approval.

Subdivision Application No. S-2020-8; Garden Isle OZ, LLZ;  
Proposed 2-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-6-016: 056, Koloa, Kaua'i.

Mr. Bukoski: Moving on to Item I, New Business for action. Tentative Subdivision Map Approval, Subdivision Application No. S-2020-8; *Garden Isle OZ, LLC*; Proposed 2-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-6-016: 056, Koloa, Kaua'i. The action required of the Subdivision report pertaining to this matter.

Mr. Bukoski read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioners, we have received all various government agencies comments and the Department is recommending Tentative Approval.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone here representing Garden Isle OZ, LLC, Please come forward?

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Good Morning. Dennis Esaki for the record, representing the owner. Subdivision...this lot was previously two lots, they consolidated it and now they are subdividing it back into two, so I don't see a problem. So respectfully requesting your approval.

Chair Ho: Any questions?

Ms. Apisa: No questions. Ready for a motion?

Chair Ho: Dennis, this is just a simple Subdivision?

Mr. Esaki: Yes.

Chair Ho: Okay.

Ms. Apisa: I move on the floor before us is the Subdivision application S-2020-8, Garden Isle OZ, LLC. Into two lots.

Chair Ho: The motion before us is the Subdivision Application, Tentative Subdivision Map Approval Garden Isle, LLC. All in favor? Aye (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0.

Subdivision Application No. S-2020-9; Baird Family Limited Partnership;  
Proposed 3-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-4-013: 002, Kapahi, Kaua'i.

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item I. b, Subdivision Application No. S-2020-9; *Baird Family Limited Partnership*; Proposed 3-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-4-013: 002, Kapahi, Kaua'i. The Subdivision Report pertaining to this matter.

Mr. Bukoski read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioners, we have received all various government agencies comments and the Department is recommending Final Approval.

Chair Ho: Is there anybody in the audience representing Baird Family limited Partnership, please?

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Dennis Esaki for the record, representing the owner. I think Chance has said it all. Applying for the three-lot Subdivision according to the rules and respectfully request your approval.

Chair Ho: Do you acknowledge all the Conditions that was stated?

Mr. Esaki: Yes.

Chair Ho: Motion, please.

Ms. Apisa: I move that we approve Subdivision application number S-2020-9, for the Baird Family Limited Partnership, into a 3- lot subdivision.

Chair Ho: Seconded. The Motion on the floor before us Baird Family Limited Partnership, Tentative Map Approval. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0.

#### Final Subdivision Map Approval

Subdivision Application No. S-2016-02; *Kukui'ula Development Co, LLC*, Proposed 29-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-6-015:014; Koloa, Kaua'i

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item I. 2a, for Final Subdivision Map Approval Subdivision Application No. S-2016-02; *Kukui'ula Development Co, LLC*, Proposed 29-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 2-6-015:014; Koloa, Kaua'i. Subdivision Report pertaining to this matter.

Mr. Bukoski read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioners, we have received all various government agencies comments and all those Conditions have been met and we are recommending Final Subdivision Map Approval.

Chair Ho: Is there anyone in the audience representing Kukui'ula Development, please?

Mr. Lindsay Crawford: Good morning, Commissioners. For the record Lindsay Crawford, Kukui'ula Development Company.

Chair Ho: Good Morning, Lindsay. Commissioners, questions? This has been on the books for a while.

Mr. Crawford: It has.

Chair Ho: It's going to close it now?

Mr. Crawford: We're ready. We are ready to put this one over the goal line.

Chair Ho: Okay. Then no further questions, I need a motion.

Ms. Apisa: I move that we approve Final Subdivision Map Approval for application No. S-2016-02. Kukui'ula Development Company LLC. Into 29-lot Subdivision.

Chair Ho: Seconded. The Motion on the floor before us is Final Map Approval. Kukui'ula Development Company, S-2016-02. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0. Thank you Lindsay.

Subdivision Application No. S-2019-12; *Coco Palms Hui, LLC*,  
Proposed 2-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-1-003:007; Wailua, Kaua'i

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item I. 2b, for Final Subdivision Map Approval. Subdivision Application No. S-2019-12; *Coco Palms Hui, LLC*, Proposed 2-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 4-1-003:007; Wailua, Kaua'i. The Subdivision Report pertaining to this matter.

Mr. Bukoski read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioners, we have received all various government agencies comments and the Department is recommending Final Subdivision Map Approval.

[Audience talking inaudible]

Chair Ho: We'll take comments from the audience. We are discussing Coco Palms Hui, LLC. Could you come up and state your name?

Ms. Apisa: Do we have anybody representing for Coco Palms, first?

Chair Ho: Let us take her testimony first.

Ms. Apisa: Okay. Thank you, I'm sorry.

Ms. Judy Dalton: Is that an invitation to come? Thank you. For the record, my name is Judy Dalton and I'm representing the Sierra Club Kauai group today. Aloha, Planning Commissioners. Recent high wave surges at Wailua beach have created steep escarpment, some of which is a few inches away from undercutting the bike path and a few feet away from Kuhio Highway fronting the Coco Palms property putting the highway at-risk of eroding to the point that the highway would become impassable. With the inevitability of increased sea-level rise, more frequent king's tides and on-going coastal erosion, it's essential that the State and County respond immediately to clear indications that the highway in this section is at-risk of severely eroding. Once a highway is affected, transportation along this section may not be possible.

To prevent that from happening a substantial amount of land mauka of the highway needs to be preserved for the construction of an inland realignment of a highway as far mauka as possible. Waiting until the Highway is compromised, would not allow enough time to develop alternatives routing. The overriding concern is that the State may need to resort to a quick so called fix of building a seawall along Wailua beach. A seawall would cause the beaches on either side of the seawall to rapidly erode north and south. That would mean a significant increase of erosion and loss of beach at Lydgate Beach Park, the beach along Wailua Golf Course, and beaches fronting several condominiums such as Lai Nani, Kapaa Sands, Lani Kai, and others that would lose their beach and threaten the destruction of their buildings.

Seawalls are responsible for the irreversible loss of 25% of the beaches on Oahu and 20% of the beaches on Maui. Here on Kauai a seawall constructed along 'Aliomanu Road caused beach in front of two homes to completely erode causing houses to collapse. The erosion has been causing 'Aliomanu Road to erode to the point in some places all that remains of that road is just one lane. A couple of photos, are a couple of photos, assuming I'm going to be sending this to you later. Assuming I'm going to be sending this to you later. Attached are couple of photos of the erosion occurring at Wailua beach, taken on January 18<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> and the most recent episodes are alarming and compelling, because of their close proximity to the highway. The erosion is well beyond seasonal narrowing that occurs. The pictures of steep escarpment are few inches away from the bike path and also shows a concrete base outdoor shower that was knocked over, undercut by the ocean surges on January 11<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Cua: Ten minutes, Mr. Chair.

Chair Ho: Could you please wrap it up.

Ms. Judy Dalton: Finish up?

Chair Ho: Yes.

Ms. Judy Dalton: Okay. Other beaches in this State also faced with the same dilemma, and I mentioned Kam Highway at in Oahu. They are in the process of planning an inland realignment. Thank you.

Chair Ho: We will return to our meeting. Is there anyone here representing Coco Palms Hui, LLC, please?

Mr. Dennis Esaki: Good morning again. Dennis Esaki for the record, representing the owner/applicant, specifically, for the Subdivision portion of the project. This application only cuts out portion of the lot adjacent to Haleilio Road for a right turn lane, and in the back widens Apana Road. I believe we've met all the Condition and respectfully request approval.

Chair Ho: Any questions Donna?

Ms. Apisa: Not really. I was a little unclear what Judy Dalton was asking, but no questions.

Chair Ho: I think the Commissioners have been watching this for a while regarding Coco Palms permits and the Conditions for the permits and we're glad to see this is getting done. With that I will ask for—

Ms. Apisa: Just to clarify, this is along Haleilio? It's not along Kuhio Highway? Just to clarify.

Mr. Esaki: Yes, Ma'am.

Ms. Apisa: Thank you. I move that we approve Subdivision application S- 2019-12, for Coco Palms Hui, LLC, and final subdivision of the proposed tow-lot subdivision to allow for road widening.

Chair Ho: Seconded. The Motion before us is to approve Subdivision application S-2019-12, Coco Palms Hui, LLC. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0.

### Subdivision Extension Request

Subdivision Application No. S-2018-15; Kilauea Plateau Subdivision, Proposed 10-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 5-2-005:023; Kilauea, Kaua'i

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item I. 3a, Subdivision Extension Subdivision Application No. S-2018-15; *Kilauea Plateau Subdivision*, Proposed 10-lot Subdivision; TMK: (4) 5-2-005:023; Kilauea, Kaua'i. The Subdivision Report pertaining to this matter.

Mr. Bukoski read the Subdivision Report for the record (on file with the Planning Department).

Mr. Bukoski: Commissioners, I will hold off on my recommendation.

Chair Ho: This is for an extension request, right?

Mr. Bukoski: Correct Chair.

Chair Ho: I believe there is public testimony. If people wish to testify on this matter? If so, you have this opportunity to come forward, please? You have three minutes.

Mr. Avery Youn: Good morning Commissioners. Before I start, can I pass out some information?

Chair Ho: Avery, one moment please, give us a moment to read what you just handed out. Are you ready Donna? Okay. I will call this session back to order. Please continue, Avery.

Mr. Youn: Good Morning Commissioners. My name is Avery Youn and I believe I'm an affected party to this subdivision, since I live right next door to it. Since you've read my testimony I will not read it again. I will just try to summarize it and hopefully stay within my three minutes. I might have to go a little over if I tried to explain the maps. The Map you see up there came directly from the Land Use Commission and one of my intentions here today is to show where the Planning Department is saying is in the Urban District. It's not in the Urban District and that map came directly from the Land Use Commission to determine where the Ag. Boundaries are.

As I mentioned in my testimony, I was initially going to appeal this, but I decided not to, because time extensions I needed in order to resolve some of the issues I'm going to raise here. The intent I wanted to make sure that this Subdivision conforms to the State Land Use Law, the Zoning Ordinance, and the Subdivision Ordinance. There's some discrepancies that I see that need to be addressed. I know the intent here is to create a bypass road for Kilauea, which would benefit both the community and the County. And reason for this subdivision is to grant the applicant some additional value, I would say, for the land in order to do a proper exchange to create a public roadway to connect the bypass road from the Kilauea Post Office to the Kilauea Highway that comes across the Mini Golf in Kilauea now. I know the procedure to accomplish this subdivision, since this was already subdivided agricultural piece you can't re-subdivided it. What the Planning Department used the subdivision request for public utility purposes, which is very appropriate bypass road is for a public utility purpose. So we know this is the right procedure.

However, the first issue on Public Utility purpose to accommodate a bypass right-of-way for Public Utility purpose is usually requires only two-lots not and not seven. There is four additional agricultural lots that was added to this Subdivision, in order to try to make it more justifiable. The density was limited to zero. So there's four-lots at zero density, which doesn't make sense, because it doesn't help the applicant at all. It doesn't add much value to the property and the value is needed to make a proper exchange for the land value of the road right-of-way versus the land value increase that the property owner can realize in the exchange. So the exemption for Public utility purpose in my opinion does not allow for the creation of four additional Ag. Lots. Three additional lots and even the two so-called urban lots is not for a public purpose. The public purpose is the exchange for the bypass road and these other lots have nothing to do with the bypass road.

The other issue is that the Urban District boundary, like I said that map there came directly from the Land Use Commission file plan and it shows and reflects what was submitted back in 1988,

when the land for the Kilauea State Subdivision was changed from Agriculture to Urban. And the Namahana Kilauea boundary is where I show those two orange points is usually where the zoning line and Urban Ag. District boundary was supposed to have taken place. However, it somehow got moved between 1988 and today without going through the proper procedure, which is through the Land use Commission.

Chair Ho: Avery, you got three minutes.

Mr. Youn: Can I summarize?

Chair Ho: Yes, you can.

Mr. Youn: Questioning why the roadway lot-b was included? Because it does not serve the Public Utilities Purpose. The fifth issue is there is no such thing as R-6 zoning in the Agricultural District and it doesn't exist, and the sixth issue is the multi-use path created can be done through an easement. It doesn't have to be a subdivision creating an additional lot. But if that's the case, then at least you should give the owner density, so they can have more value in exchange for the right-of-way. And my last issue is as of this date, the little road in front of the post office is owned by a third-party and the County until today does not have easement rights or access to use that road to get to that bypass road and so that issue needs to be resolved first before this Subdivision can be approved. And because of the lack of time I have suggestions to make as to how this subdivision can be improved and meet all the requirements to the benefit of the landowner and the County and the people that live in that vicinity. Thank you. Are there any questions?

Chair Ho: Is there anyone else in the audience wishing to testify on this matter?

Ms. Joanne Thompson: Good morning Commissioners. My name is Joanne Thompson and I am an original resident of the Kilauea Estate Subdivision and a professional educator in Kilauea, Hawaii over 30 years. During the lottery for home choices in 1998, I intentionally choose my home because of the Namahana District boundary which is deemed Ag. Land. On Friday, August 9<sup>th</sup> of 2019, I received a notice in just four days' time there was going to be a Planning Commission Meeting. This letter was very ambiguous and difficult for me to figure out exactly what was going on. But after many hours' of research, I found that the Ag. District that my home was next to was now designated urban. After more digging, I learned that the Land Use Commission office in Honolulu to this day still has that parcel in question in the Agricultural District. I'm totally confused how this can change without the Land Use Commission's knowledge, and that now the parcel is going to house an office building. And just a couple of months ago, a sign indicating there is going to be a credit union built there, and a roadway along with it to be created by a proposed subdivision. The Kilauea Plateau Ohana to service the Credit Union. I don't know how many of you have been out to the Kilauea shopping center lately? But it's virtually empty with the exception of specialty Foodland market that I personally can't afford to shop at and the soap and candle factory. There are plenty of empty places where a Credit Union in that existing shopping village and the residents of the Kilauea State Subdivision that I live in have endured nearly three years of dust, beeping, the shaking of our homes, yelling and swearing all day long and sometimes these disturbances start as early as six-thirty in the morning

on weekends and holidays. It would be nice to have a little break because most of the people in our community are working people. I agree with the Concept of a bypass road. However, the creation of more commercial space on land that the Land use Commission still has designated as agricultural land while having lots of empty spaces right next door doesn't make any sense to me. Thank you.

Chair Ho: This will be our last call for anyone wishing to testify. Call the meeting back to order. I will say that the matter before us now Donna is still an extension to the project. So we are considering only extension, and I will move on. You have a motion? Did I miss you Mr. Jung?

Mr. Ian Jung: Ian Jung on behalf of the applicant, Kilauea Ohana Plateau, LLC. And I don't know if the County wants because they are co-applicant? If not, I can certainly give historical perspective on it. Mr. Bukoski, if I could pass out the maps? So Subdivision Committee members I know this is a Subdivision extension request but just to give background on the history of this particular Subdivision action. The concept came up in 2006, during the Kilauea town plan, when they were trying to identify a conceptual road location for what they were calling at the time a new town entry road. And so following 2006, the current landowner...started discussions with then Mayor Bernard Carvalho to try to identify where we could possibly put that new roadway through his property. During the course of negotiations and dialogue, there was a proposal for them to dedicate the land, which means give the land over free and clear to the County, but we wanted to look at how to maximize future potential of this land. So we took a close look at what the Kilauea town plan called for. If you look at the Kilauea town plan and I'm sorry I didn't blow it up, I didn't realize we have the level of opposition for this project that we have now.

But if you look at the Kilauea town plan, it actually calls for some residential future uses in the area where the new lots were going to be subdivided. So in discussing the matter with then Planning Director Mike Dahilig, and Mr. Graham at the time who was handling this matter. They came up with a concept, let's create some additional lots that could be carved out for that future growth that was contemplated in the plan. So at the time of creating those future lots we tried to carve it out in one section, where there is some urban land and other section, where it's currently State Ag land. During the course of the dialogue, it was...turned out to be between discussion with Mr. Esaki and Mr. Dahilig, the Planning Director, that there's this strip in the Titcomb Subdivision that Mr. Youn identified that is the affordable housing project through the Princeville Corporation. They didn't maximize the R-6 that was there. So at the time they said what can we do to join this into the future development that was proposed into the future Kilauea Town Plan? So the highlighted strip that I noticed on the map for you is actually a strip of R-6 and Urban that butts up to the Titcomb Subdivision.

So at the time the Titcomb Subdivision didn't actually materialize all the R-6 available at the time. As a part of it, what we wanted to do with the subdivision is maximize potential additional residential use, because of the need for residential housing. To create the road and create that new bypass in addition to a multi-path road lot, which could be used for kids to get from Kilauea on their bicycles to get to Secret Beach Road. And so the plan was to try and figure out at one subdivision approach how to materialize all these issues? That is why we came up with a

creative approach to allow for the one time subdivision restriction for the Ag portion to allow the creation of those new lots that could be used for future density if it's re-zoned as called for by the Kilauea Town Plan. So there was some forethought and long-term planning issues that were brought into play. And just to give Mr. Hain and his entity a little security to his investment and not only into the property, but also giving the land to the community for the road, we wanted to put this into a memorandum of understanding with the County.

So we drafted up a Memorandum of Understanding in 2018, in the summer. Had it executed by the County Attorney's Office and the mayor, and once that was executed we proposed the Map. The map came through, and was submitted for preliminary subdivision approval, which was granted in September of 2018. And we went through a few iterations of trying to re-scope what the road was going to look like? At the time, when Mr. Wellborn and Mr. Graham were working on it the idea was just to create the road with Mr. Moule's expertise and coming in as the new Engineering Division Chief, he wanted to widen that road to create a little more multimodal scape to have it more of a complete street component. So we went through a few design iterations on that road to make sure we could dedicate a wide enough swath of land to accommodate not just the road, but a new bike path and streetscape. So that took a bit of time on that back and forth and that's why we have a bit of delay and we had to go through and because road lot-b, which spins off to that R-6 section, that section actually we had to do per the requirements in the Planning Department do a design of that road and have it bonded.

So it's a unique subdivision where there is no density proposed at this point other than the R-6 and the...well the Planning Commission just approved the Gather FCU project which is on that R-6 lot. So that project, the commercial project and the R-6 in the back. Other than that, the Ag lots that are spoken of, there is no density slated on any of those lots. So that's why we created this deed restriction to incorporate into the program that until the time of any future re-zoning of the property, there would be no density on those ag lots. And that's how we get through the one time subdivision restriction, where if the County is a co-sponsor with the applicant, then you can get around the one-time subdivision restriction, where you are not materializing any new density. So that was the creative approach the than Planning Director found for us to be able create those new lots for future development and we're looking at a 10-20-year horizon for that. But also materialize and use the R-6, which the Planning Commission issued the use permit for the gather FCU lot and the other acre-and-a-half for future residential, which butts up to Mr. Youn and Ms. Thompsons home.

So I understand that you know, when you deal with development you have to look at how you mitigate impacts and what it's going to be, but I think from a long-term standpoint, think about what the kids in that subdivision would be able to utilize to have access with a multimodal path that will go up through Mr. Hain's property out to Aina Ho Community Park and out to potentially to Secret Beach to have direct access there, versus going all the way around on the Highway. So sometimes we have to balance out the near-time impact to a residential owner, for the long-term benefit of what the overall project would be. And with that said, we can answer any question or comments about time extensions. But I fell that this matter may go into other litigation or Contested-Case, which is fine. It's just the nature of the beast these days. We can get there and we'll sit down with Mr. Youn and see if we can find a solution. But at this point Gather FCU was approved its Use Permit on the R-6 and the Planning Commission already made

a determination that it is R-6 We have an MOU executed by the then Planning Director and amendment to the MOU signed by the current Planning Director. All of which were signed off by the County Attorney's office. So if there is a challenge, we are happy to take the matter to the Court and deal with it. But at this point, we would like to proceed with the subdivision so we can get this done and wrapped up and get the land over to the County and complete what was on-going since the vision in 2006.

Chair Ho: Mr. Bukoski, your recommendations, please?

Mr. Bukoski: It is recommended that an extension until September 11, 2019 be granted to obtain Final Subdivision Map approval. However, the applicant was made aware that an updated Status Report on the subdivision with a detailed time chronology on the progress of the tentative approval requirements shall be submitted to the Planning Department for review, for further extension request. The Status Report shall be submitted to the Planning Department no later than 60 days prior to the expiration date.

Ms. Apisa: Just a question, was that that 2019? Did I hear?

Mr. Bukoski: I'm sorry, 2021. 2020, I'm sorry.

Chair Ho: Mr. Jung you have an extension request, can that extension request be open-ended?

Mr. Bukoski: No, according to the Subdivision Ordinance it's granted only for a year.

Mr. Jung: Yes, a year is fine. We're actually on the last leg of designing the road for the road lot-b and then we get a bond that is the remaining item. We've fulfilled all the lasting remaining item conditions of tentative to polish this thing up and finish it up.

Chair Ho: So January 2021 would be the--?

Mr. Bukoski: I'm sorry, September 11, 2020.

Ms. Apisa: It's an ominous day.

Mr. Bukoski: Yes, I know.

Chair Ho: Could you tell me when the extension date would be than to?

Mr. Bukoski: So the extension was requested before September. And we are only getting to it now. So therefore, they are already in the extension currently. So therefore, falling upon September 11, 2020.

Chair Ho: The extension date will be September 11, 2020.

Mr. Bukoski: Correct, Chair.

Chair Ho: Is that it, Ian?

Mr. Jung: That's it.

Chair Ho: I need a motion.

Ms. Apisa: I'm exhausted from all the work they put into it. It sounds like...I mean a lot of effort has gone into it and hopefully you can reach a resolution. So I do move that we approve the extension to September 11, 2020. For Subdivision application S-2018-15, Kilauea Plateau Subdivision.

Chair Ho: Motion...seconded. The Motion before us now is extension request Kilauea Plantation Plateau, Kilauea Plateau Subdivision. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) approved. Motion carries 2:0. Thank you Ian.

Mr. Jung: Thank you Commissioners.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. Bukoski: Thank you Chair. Moving on to Item J, Adjournment.

Ms. Apisa: I move we adjourn.

Chair Ho: Seconded. All in favor? Aye. (Unanimous voice vote) Motion carries 2:0. We are adjourned.

Subdivision Committee Chair Ho: adjourned the meeting at 9:14 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

---

Arleen Kuwamura  
Commission Support Clerk

( ) Approved as circulated (add date of meeting approval).

( ) Approved as amended. See minutes of \_\_\_\_\_ meeting