Approved

COUNTY OF KAUA'I Minutes of Meeting OPEN SESSION

Board/Commission:		:	Public Access, Open Space and Natural Resources Preservation Fund Commission	Meeting Date	August 8, 2024	4
Location	Moikel	ha Meetii	ng Room 2A/2B	Start of Meeting	: 1:00 p.m.	End of Meeting: 2:50 p.m.
Present	Deputy Koga,	County Open Sp	in Pratt. Commissioners: Taryn Dizon, William Kin Attorney Laura Barzilai. Planning Department Staff ace Secretary Brent Sokei, and Planning Departme Ellen Ching and Commission Support Clerk Lisa Oy	Deputy Planning nt Secretary Shanl	Director Jodi A.	Higuchi Sayegusa, Planner Shelea
Excused	Chair J	onathan	Lucas			
Absent						
SUBJE			DISCUSSION			ACTION
A. Call to Order		Vice Ch	air Pratt called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.			
B. Roll Ca		Commis Commis Commis Commis Vice Ch Chair Lu Ms. Hig	Planning Director Jodi A. Higuchi Sayegusa verified sioner Dizon replied present. sioner Kinney was present at 1:02 p.m. sioner Ono replied present. sioner Ornellas replied present. sioner Shintani replied present. air Pratt replied present. air Pratt replied present. acas was noted as excused. uchi Sayegusa introduced Brent Sokei as the new O			Quorum was established with five Commissioners present.
C. Approv Agenda	val of	Mr. Kini	ney was noted as present.			Mr. Ono moved to approve the agenda, as circulated. Ms. Dizon seconded the motion. Motion carried 6:0.
D. Minute the Meetin of the		1. None	for this meeting.			

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Commission		
E. Receipt of Items for the Record	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that there were no items for receipt.	
F. General Business	F.1. Update on a proposal to acquire a 0.2764 acre parcel located in Hanapēpē, Kona Moku, further identified as Tax Map Key (4) 1-8-008:065 (Kaua'i Petroleum Co. Ltd) (Preliminary Report 12/29/2017, Supplemental 1-5 2/8/2018 to 10/19/2019, Final Report and Recommendation to acquire 05/16/2024). F.2. Update on a proposal to acquire a 0.2867 acre parcel located in Hanapēpē, Kona Moku, further identified as Tax Map Key (4) 1-8-008:071 (Kaua'i Petroleum Co. Ltd) (Preliminary Report 12/29/2017, Supplemental 1-5 2/8/2018 to 10/19/2019, Final Report and Recommendation to acquire 05/16/2024). Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that these items were placed on the Commission's agenda so that an update could be provided on these items which were the priority focus of the Department for the past two to three months as it relates to the Commission. There were several County Council hearings to obtain authorization to use the Fund to proceed with the negotiation to purchase the property. That authorization was received. During that process, presentations were made, members of the public appeared to provide context on the history of the area and the background of the proposal. A majority of the Councilmembers were in full support to proceed. Currently, the Department is talking with Par Hawai'i to reinstate the negotiations to fine tune the terms of the acquisitions. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa noted that one of the potential hangups could be that the makai parcel which previously housed a gas station, would need to be purchased as-is, without doing any Phase II Environmental Site Assessment work or any soil testing of any kind. The Kaua'i contact for Par Hawai'i notified the Planning Department that the final decisions are still with their CEO, who is working with their risk managers back in Texas on this sale. The County	
	would like to at least be able to do some soil and grounds testing before moving forward with the final purchase, along with ground penetrating radar work to confirm that all of the gas tanks were removed properly. Thereafter, the price would then need to be renegotiated. The amount	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	approved by the County Council was a not to exceed amount based on the original higher end of the appraisal. There may be the possibility of renegotiating the price of the possibly the makai parcel based on the results of any soil testing that could occur.	
	Ms. Koga stated that in the past couple of weeks, she, Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa, Ms. Marisa Valenciano, a couple of members of the Hanapēpē community, and some of the representatives from other County departments have been working on the Centennial event for the Hanapēpē Massacre event that is slated for September 9, 2024. The event is going to be held at the Hanapēpē parcel sites. There will be a blessing, keynote speakers who will give a brief history of the Hanapepe Massacre event, how the event affected the community, etc. The Commission will be invited to the event. The event will take place around 2:00-3:00 p.m. Invitations will be sent out in the weeks to follow.	
	Ms. Ornellas asked what the ceiling price was for the parcels. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that it was approximately \$1.4M. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa further noted that due to cost savings that were realized in relation to the Hideaways/Kaumumene coastal access improvements in the amount of \$1.2M, the Fund would only be expending an additional \$165,000 for the Hanapēpē parcels as the cost savings from the Kaumumene project would be repurposed for this parcel purchase. Ms. Ornellas asked for confirmation that the total purchase price cannot exceed the \$1.4M. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa confirmed that Ms. Ornellas was correct and that if the purchase price were to exceed that amount, additional Council approval would be needed.	
	Ms. Ornellas inquired as to why the landowner was in opposition of the County testing the soil on the parcels. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that the landowner inherited the property somewhere between 2018 to current. Par Pacific acquired Kaua'i Petroleum and ultimately inherited those properties. Par Pacific did not do any due diligence on those specific parcels when they inherited the properties, so they do not know the full extent of the clean-up that occurred or did not occur. The County did their due diligence and obtained State Department of Health records which reflected signing off on the tank removal process that occurred in the early 2000s, but ultimately the amount of risk is unsure at this moment. If testing is done, it could uncover additional risks or unknowns.	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms. Ornellas expressed her confusion about the purchase process as she expected disclosures to be provided during the previous acquisition process. The previous owner would have had to disclose material facts about the property. Secondly, Ms. Ornellas expressed her dismay that the current owners would discourage the County, the Commission, and the Planning Department from doing their own due diligence to confirm facts discovered. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that she agreed with Ms. Ornellas. Ms. Ornellas further stated that in her experience, there had to have been some kind of information exchange provided during the acceptance of the parcels and that she was not aware of any real estate transaction occurring without this traditional exchange of vital information about the property in question. Ms. Barzilai noted that a request could be made to the landowner, however, it was unsure if any of that information would be provided. Ms. Barzilai further stated that it might be perceived that a condition of this type of acquisition is the associated risk involved and that a Phase I assessment is on-going. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa clarified that the Phase I assessment is mostly a document review and that any Phase II assessment would be necessitated based upon what the Phase I review reveals. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa also noted that she has been trying to negotiate the ability for the County to do soil testing at minimum of approximately 2" to support the building of a parking location for surrounding businesses and the eventual memorial site. Given the minimal hazardous material regulations that were in-place years ago, there is a concern about possible soil contamination on the parcel given the gas station activity that occurred on the parcels. The County is still working diligently to work in good faith with Par Hawai'i to negotiate final terms of the acquisition. Ms. Ornellas stated that though she had not seen what was conveyed to the County Council, she had hoped that for full transparency, the issues of being unable t	
	Ms. Ornellas, in wanting to protect the Commission, asked the Department to clearly communicate the risks involved with the acquisition to all stakeholders. She further stated that	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	she was unaware of any real estate transaction where full disclosures are not allowed by the seller	
	or where due diligence to the fullest extent during the J-1 inspection period is not allowed to the	
	buyer of a property. During the J-1 inspection period, the potential buyer is able to measure up their discovery against the disclosures provided by the seller.	
	Ms. Ornellas asked if the County is currently in the disclosure process. Ms. Barzilai stated that it	
	is on-going unless that phase is waived by either party, which is what is occurring during this	
	specific transaction. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the County has not signed anything binding at this time. Ms. Ornellas stated that the seller is requesting no testing, but the County	
	has not formally agreed to that. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa confirmed that Ms. Ornellas was correct.	
	There is no active escrow on-going right now. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that first the	
	Department had to go to the County Council to obtain approval on the funding before any negotiations could formally occur.	
	Ms. Ornellas encouraged the Department to try to work out a lot of the details prior to the purchase contract being submitted. This would allow for a shortened process, reduces the	
	number of loopholes, less post-discovery issues, etc. Ms. Ornellas just wants the Commission to	
	understand that they are currently being discouraged from the due diligence process and therefore the Commission needs to standby that decision as it goes to higher-level decision-makers. Ms.	
	Higuchi Sayegusa stated that she does bring up these facts periodically with community members	
	and stakeholders throughout the discussions. Ms. Ornellas stated that she felt these important facts were glossed over during the presentation to the County Council.	
	Ms. Ornellas stated that the property is currently being used to fix vehicles, so the amount of	
	chemical exposure or other hazardous environmental risks are concerning to her. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa agreed that the landowner should be managing their property better. Ms. Ornellas	
	requested that the Department make it clear that the Commission is requesting that monies be	
	spent on parcels that come with risk and that full discovery is not being allowed prior to acquisition.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa agreed that there are risks involved and the County would need to make	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	the decision on acquisition knowing the risks involved.	
	Mr. Ono asked who makes the final decision. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that at this point in the process, the final decision falls in the hands of the Administration and the Mayor.	
	Ms. Ornellas stated that when a seller withholds information, that is a tool used in negotiations in regard to price. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa agreed that this transaction has not been an ideal one, and that this lack of ability to do soil testing and additional discovery has always been an issue as it relates to this specific land acquisition. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa further noted that at minimum, she hopes that the Centennial celebration can be held at the parcel.	
	Mr. Ono asked if the landowner gave permission to use the property for the Centennial celebration. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that she received verbal approval, but that she will be meeting with the landowner on August 9, 2024, to formalize the approvals needed through a Right-of-Entry Agreement.	
	Vice Chair Pratt asked what the timeline moving forward looks like for the final acquisition. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa said that a concrete timeline is difficult to provide as it is unknown how long final negotiations will take and what issues might arise between now and then. If soil testing and ground penetration are allowed to occur, those items would need to be completed with results to follow, and possible action may be needed thereafter. Procurement on a contractor to handle the soil testing and ground penetration is being finalized concurrently with negotiations in the event that those activities are allowed during discovery.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that these items will appear back on the Commission's agenda in the future for additional updates, as necessary.	No action was taken as it relates to these agenda items.
	F.3. Update on a proposal to acquire access to State of Hawai'i property through 4901 Waiakalua Street, Kīlauea, Hawai'i 96754, Ko'olau Moku, further identified as Tax Map Key 5-1-005:036 (Richard S. Tallman and Lisa Flores) (Preliminary Report 6/1/2022).	J
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the Department has been working closely with the Office of the	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	County Attorney on this access. The Office of the County Attorney has also been working closely with the property owners' attorney Mauna Kea Trask on this access. The Department's position has been that the unfulfilled conditions of subdivision approval are still on the books and requires pedestrian or equestrian access to the State property. There are some unclear areas of that condition which include what is meant by access to State property and whether that includes public access or access just for the State. Secondly, the property owners have adamantly wanted to settle issues related to who would maintain the access, demarking the access, whose responsibility it is to install a fence at the request of the landowner, concerns with hunters and gatherers traversing their property with dogs and guns, etc. Right now, the Department is researching through historical records the intent at the time the conditions were put into place to strengthen the County's position to have the owners satisfy all levels of the condition including maintenance, demarcation, etc. or to accept responsibilities. In summary, the current status of this update is that this acquisition is currently at the attorneys' level.	
	Ms. Dizon asked if the County had yet come up with an initial position as to whether the access was for the public or just for the State. Ms. Barzilai stated that she is of the position that the access was meant for the public and not limited only for State workers or ranchers. Ms. Barzilai further noted that she felt it was intended for public access for all of the purposes outlined in State Law. Ms. Dizon expressed her hope that this could possibly turn into a positive outcome as this issue arose from the County Council.	No action was taken as it relates to this agenda item.
	F.4. Update on 'Aliomanu Beach Access, located on a lot further identified as Tax Map Key (4) 4-9-004:013 (Preliminary Report 3/9/2017, Supplemental Report 05/31/2022). Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that there was a period of time where the Department lost contact with Nalani Kaneakua as she has been busy with her many educational programs. However, the Department will be meeting with her in a couple of weeks to further discuss progress on this agenda item. The Department is still trying to set up the Stewardship Agreement to satisfy the concerns of the landowner. The landowner would be amenable to conveying easement since access has already been occurring over portions of the property, but the landowner was concerned	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	about formalizing easement until the County had a plan for who would take care of the easement.	
	The Department wanted to ensure that Ms. Kaneakua was involved in the discussion to get a	
	steward who would be willing and able to properly manage the easement for that area. Ms. Kaneakua did provide a list of names in the past, but those names did not lead to any firm	
	commitments for stewardship.	
	Ms. Ornellas asked if the access is near the stream. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that the	
	access is on the north-side of the stream. Ms. Ornellas stated that the reason why that access was	
	decided upon was two landowners ago, they were the only people who let the children gain	
	access. The road is a County road and there used to be a bridge there. The actual beach access is on the straightaway. Ms. Sayegusa stated that the Department did go on a site visit to determine	
	the legal beach access. Ms. Ornellas further explained her recollection of what had occurred in	
	the area regarding property ownership, a landowner erecting a rock wall to adjoin parcels,	
	washing out of the bridge, and landowners trying to restrict further beach access in the area. Ms.	
	Ornellas noted that the beach access from the Anahola-side was the beach access that most of the	
	public used when she was a child growing up. Ms. Ornellas asked what it would take to get that	
	beach access back instead of this current proposal of the stream access. Ms. Ornellas suggested gaining back the access over the rock wall. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that there were	
	numerous complaints about the rock wall and blocked access, but Planning's Enforcement	
	Division confirmed that there was no County access in that area. Ms. Ornellas explained that her	
	recollection was that there was a County public access in that location.	
	Ms. Ornellas stated that she and Ms. Dizon were both on the Commission when \$300,000 was	
	spent on Phase 1 of the public access project. After that phase concluded, the next phase was to	
	include which accesses were going to actually demarcate but reclaim.	
	Ms. Ornellas further stated that she believes the focus on this current access in 'Aliomanu was	
	only supposed to be pursued if the County was unsuccessful in enforcing the removal of the rock	
	wall that was put up to block the original access that was in-place.	
	Ms. Koga offered to do a little more research on this item and to meet with Ms. Ornellas so that	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	exact locations could be identified. Ms. Koga further noted that this item could appear on the Commission's next agenda once additional research could be done to clear up any discrepancies.	
	Ms. Ornellas stated that Nalani would also have that information.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa also suggested that a map be created of the area to show which areas the County does have accesses to for additional discussion.	
	Ms. Ornellas thanked the Department for their worked. She asked if at some point the County may have sold the access rights. Ms. Barzilai stated that the County would not have sold it as that transaction is not permitted under law.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa reiterated that the current update for this item is that the Department will continue to circle back with Ms. Kaneakua and the landowner to further discussions. The landowners were not opposed to public access, they were just concerned about stewardship and maintenance.	
	Ms. Ornellas provided her recollection of the Commission's huaka'i to the area. The Commission traversed on the County's roadway to the washed-out bridge that was decided by the County would not be repaired. Neither side of the roadway had bamboo planted, but now both sides have bamboo planted which created a smaller roadway. Ms. Ornellas expressed her frustration with inaction at addressing those takings by property owners of public roadways and	
	accesses. Ms. Ornellas asked if a requirement could be included to have the landowners remove the bamboo plants that were planted that encroach upon the County's roadways. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the bamboo plants have already been identified as one of the issues there. If the bamboo is in the County's right-of-way, the Department of Public Works would need to have the landowners clear the bamboo plants from the County's right-of-way. Ms. Ornellas asked if	
	the Commission would have to pay for the surveying of the area to get that work done quicker. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the Commission could consider funding the work to expedite the completion of the work if they felt warranted to do so. However, the Department of Public Works would need to enforce the right-of-way concerns with the landowner.	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms. Ornellas asked if there had been any discussion with the landowner about beach access along	
	Kukuna Road. She felt it might be prudent to bring that topic up as a part of this package as it	
	was previously brought up during Ms. Kaneakua's presentation to the Commission. Ms. Higuchi	
	Sayegusa stated that access in that area falls under a different landowner, but there was no	
	discussion with this current landowner about the Kukuna Road access.	
	Ms. Ornellas felt as if the parcels surrounding the public access areas may have changed hands	
	numerous times throughout the years. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa confirmed that those discussions	
	with this current landowner did not take place as it relates to the Kukuna Road access.	
	Ms. Dizon stated that during the Commission's last site visit to the area, the encroachment to the	
	County's right-of-way was very evident and could not understand how there were no violations	
	related to the bamboo patches or the rock wall that were enforced. Ms. Dizon found it very	
	concerning that no action or movement was taken by the County as it relates to those obvious	
	encroachment issues. She sees a habitual pattern of lack of enforcement and hopes that things	
	improve in regard to protecting access for the public before it is lost. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa	
	committed to looking at what the Planning Department can do as it relates to enforcement, and in	
	working with the Department of Public Works to enforce areas under their jurisdiction as well.	
	Ms. Dizon encouraged the Department to check-in with the Department of Public Works to	
	ensure that enforcement is being handled properly and expediently. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa	
	responded that she is aware of the Planning Department's Enforcement Officer attempting the get	
	the Department of Public Works to respond accordingly, but that has not been successful. Ms.	
	Dizon stated that she finds that unacceptable and that if additional budget is needed to push along	
	enforcement efforts to protect these areas, then that is what should be proposed. Furthermore,	
	Ms. Dizon stated that the Department of Public Works should be doing more to ensure their	
	responsibilities related to ensuring the stream is cleared properly, etc. are done as well, and that	
	duty is also lacking. Ms. Dizon expressed that she does not think the Department of Public	
	Works realizes how much they are a part of the problem instead of being a part of the possible	
	solution as far enforcing public accessing and keeping the stream clearing of invasive plants to	
	allow the necessary fresh water to flow for the growth of the limu.	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms. Ornellas stated that the funds spent for this project can give the County the transaction that it needs to get more things accomplished related to public access. Ms. Ornellas expressed her dismay at knowing that the Commission spent \$300,000 on a report that may just be sitting on someone's desk and not being used it its fullest potential. There are more than 700 deeded access on the island. Most people on the island do not know that. Ms. Ornellas would like to report back to the constituency how the monies were used and to provide the actual report to the public. Perhaps the report could be used to help the Department of Public Works enforce public access around the island. Ms. Ornellas encouraged the Commission and the Department to move the report to the next phase and make things happen. Mr. Ornellas requested that whoever is responsible for the report and the next steps provide an update to the Commission on what is being done and when. She recalls that the next steps should have included identified which accesses would be moved towards demarcation and enforcement.	
	Ms. Barzilai and Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa noted that the Commission's agenda item F.6. was added to have a discussion on how to move forward with the recommendation that Ms. Ornellas noted. There being no objections, Item F.6. was taken out of order and proceeded as follows:	No action was taken as it relates to this agenda item.
	F.6. Discussion and recommendations for prioritizing improvements for coastal accesses. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the Department wanted to get direction from the Commission on how to take the inventory that was completed to move forward. She wanted to get feedback on how to prioritize which coastal accesses would be pursued or maintained as the Fund itself was approved to be used to maintain coastal accesses in addition to improving acquisitions at the time of acquisitions. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa reminded the Commission that there are only limited funds that the Open Space Fund receives each year so a prioritization would need to occur to best utilize the limited amounts of funds that may be available at any given time. The Commission could decide to prioritize a certain percentage of the funding for specific purposes or not. Similarly, the Commission could also decide to go out and conduct additional outreach to identify additional land acquisitions for public access purposes.	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Mr. Ono asked for clarification if by "access" the Commission was deciding on coastal access or access in general. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that the language in the Kaua'i County Code and Kaua'i County Charter indicate coastal accesses. The Commission can also fund improvements for land acquisitions. Mr. Ono indicated his interest in trying to change the Charter to include the ability for the Commission to seek out mauka accesses as well as coastal/makai accesses. Ms. Ornellas expressed her support as well. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that for coastal accesses, the intent was to allow funds to be spent to improve coastal accesses, when necessary, but for other accesses, to improve them upon acquisition. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa also noted that the Department has a Charter Amendment going through the Charter Review Commission regarding using Open Space Fund monies for maintenance purposes subject to a threshold so that the entire Fund is not used solely for maintenance purposes only. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the Commission could decide to pursue the action of proposing changes to the Charter via a Charter Amendment proposal in a future election cycle as it would not make the General Election timeline for a proposal to appear in this year's election cycle. This Charter Amendment proposal would allow for use of the Fund to be used for any improvements on any accesses that the Commission deems warranted and that the County owns.	
	Ms. Dizon asked that for accesses provided through the subdivision approval process, whether the maintenance responsibility falls on the landowner of the subdivision or on the County. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that for any new subdivisions that would come into play, the County is careful to structure the responsibility aspect into any agreement. The more recent access discussions under the Kīlauea Mauka Access (Agenda Item F.3.) center around agreements and conditions from a time period where those responsibilities and issues were not as clearly laid out. Mr. Ono asked whose responsibility it is to ensure that all of the public accesses around the island are being maintained or not. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa does have the public access inventory which includes the access condition, area, tax map key identification, legal access, conveyance information, etc. The Department asked the Commission for its assistance and guidance in prioritizing the access list and how to go about addressing the list it has. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	explained that the County of Maui has a similar list posted on the County's website. This brings attention to the general public of the various accesses around the island and brings more attention to these areas.	
	Mr. Ono asked whether publicly displaying their list of public access points had been a problem for the County of Maui. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that she believes it has been to some extent. Some residents would rather keep certain access points and culturally sensitive areas away from the larger general population or tourists. The question before the Commission is how they want to handle this inventory list and how would they like to see the Department move forward if at all. The Department would like to proceed cautiously to ensure that they have vet the issues related to all accesses and to make sure they remove accesses that perhaps the general population should not necessarily have access to so easily. Does the Commission want to improve all the accesses to a point where everyone will go and use it, attracting a larger crowd and use of the area is a question that was put before the Commission. Mr. Ono stated that he understood where the concern came from, but it was a strange way to think of public access in that manner.	
	Ms. Ornellas requested a copy of the report. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that she could provide that. Ms. Ornellas stated that it is very difficult to provide recommendations or direction without having seen the final report. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that if the report is provided in the Commission's packet, the report becomes a public record. Ms. Ornellas stated that the Commission can be provided a link if the information needs to be held confidential for now. Ms. Ornellas further stated that seeing the report is the only way she feels she could make concrete recommendations on a path forward.	
	Ms. Dizon asked if the accesses were prioritized based on the condition level. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that there was no prioritization included at all. Sea Engineering went out, took an inventory of the locations, and created GIS points that could be incorporated in a GIS map.	
	Mr. Kinney asked if the GIS points included information about the condition of each location.	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa responded that the condition of each location is detailed in the large	
	spreadsheet that was provided. Mr. Kinney also requested access to the report and information	
	that was produced by Sea Engineering. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa recommended that the Commission meet with the Ms. Barzilai in Executive Session to possibly discuss privileges and	
	liabilities as it relates to the report and data being requested. Mr. Kinney stated that if the	
	Commission is going to help with improving accesses each Commissioner could probably help	
	with those accesses in their specific areas of the island that they reside or have history in.	
	There being no objections, Item J.6. was taken out of order and proceeded as follows:	
	<u>J.6.</u> <u>Discussion and recommendations for prioritizing improvements for coastal accesses.</u>	
	There being no objections, the meeting was recessed at 2:02 p.m. for the Commission to enter into Executive Session.	Mr. Ono moved to go into Executive Session on Agenda Item J.6. Ms. Dizon seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken and unanimously carried.
	There being no objections, the meeting was called back to order at 2:35 p.m. following the Commission's Executive Session, and proceeded as follows:	
	F.6. (cont.) Discussion and recommendations for prioritizing improvements for coastal accesses.	
	Ms. Barzilai summarized that the Commission convened in Executive Session.	
	Ms. Dizon asked that the Department prioritize legal signage for coastal accesses. Currently, the	
	demarcated coastal accesses that the County does has should have proper legal signage to protect	
	the County from any liability challenges. Ms. Dizon further stated that the last acquisition project at Kaumumene was a perfect example of excellent signage, but that other projects acquired prior	
	to that need to have better signage. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that signage has to be paired	
	with demarcating the accesses and indicating clearly where the accesses start and ends and	
	facilitate the public to traverse it in a safe manner. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa further noted that	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	opening up certain accesses to the public could bring about cultural, biological, and ecological	
	harm to the areas that were not meant through simply open up public access. The Department	
	really wanted to get more insight from the Commission on how to prioritize the list, whether to address access regionally, etc. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa also entertained the option of the	
	Commission forming a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) to meet with staff to go over the	
	inventory list, identify what work remains to be done, and what could be recommendations to the	
	Commission for next steps moving forward. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa noted that some accesses are	
	not fully conveyed to the County yet and others are in various states of being conveyed,	
	identified, etc. Ms. Dizon expressed her support for the formation of a PIG.	
	Ms. Barzilai stated that if the Commission would like to form a PIG, that they work to place the	
	item as such on the Commission's next agenda. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the	
	Department will work to get that item on the next agenda where the Commission could decide	
	upon the scope of what the PIG would investigate and complete, and who would make up the	
	PIG.	
	Mr. Ono stated that if Commissioners could identify accesses that are readily used, those should	
	automatically be placed at the top of the list as it would most likely pose the greatest liability to	
	the County.	
	Mr. Vinney, stated that the Commission has the duty to such ald the Dublic Trust and mublic access	
	Mr. Kinney stated that the Commission has the duty to uphold the Public Trust and public access to beaches is a public trust component. He further noted that perhaps a part of this exercise could	
	be to seek out additional public accesses that are not on any list but are of interest to community	
	members.	
	Ms. Dizon expressed her appreciation at signage she saw near Kalihiwai and the Dragon's Breath	No action was taken as it relates
	area as it keeps people to stay away from the area though they may not have been erected by the County.	to this agenda item.
	There being no objections, Item F.5. was taken out of order and proceeded as follows:	

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<u>F.5.</u> Discussion and recommendations to fill the vacant at-large position of the Commission.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa clarified that the position is an open At-Large position that is Commission-appointed. Administrator Ching stated that the Commission has nine positions. There is one vacancy which is an At-Large position. The other vacancy is a Council-appointee for the Hanapēpē-'Ele'ele area.	
	Mr. Kinney asked if the Council was currently looking. Administrator Ching stated that at least once a year, she encourages the Council to appoint someone to their vacant appointed positions. On another commission, the commission actually recommended people to the Council for them to select from to fill their vacancy. The Council was very open and receptive to this as well. Administrator Ching stated that if any Commissioner had recommendations for the Council appointed Hanapēpē-'Ele'ele vacancy, to please send them to her to transmit to the Council for their consideration.	
	Mr. Ono asked whether the person had to reside in the Hanapēpē and 'Ele'ele areas and how far those boundaries extended to. Administrator Ching stated that she would have to look into that.	
	Mr. Ono recommended that perhaps Mike Miranda might be a possible candidate as he met him doing the ground penetration work at the Hanapēpē Cemetery. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that he may live in the Līhu'e area.	
	Ms. Shintani asked whether there were any other requirements that the person may need to meet to serve on the Commission. Administrator Ching responded that they would need to be a resident elector (voter) in the County of Kaua'i.	
	Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the Department will continue to place this item on the Commission's agenda to keep it on everyone's radar.	No action was taken as it relates to this agenda item.

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION		ACT]	ION	
G.	There were no Communications on the Commission's agenda.				
Communications					
	Ms. Dizon commended the Department for creating a social media post for the Commission's				
	recent appearance before the County Council. Ms. Koga stated that she plans to post the website				
** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	for the Hanapēpē Massacre Centennial Celebration information and blessing details.				
H. Unfinished Business (For Action)	There was no Unfinished Business on the Commission's agenda.				
I. New Business (For Action)	There was no New Business on the Commission's agenda.				
J. Executive Session	An Executive Session was not needed for items J.1-5.				
K. Announcements	 K.1. Topics for Future Meetings. Ms. Higuchi Sayegusa stated that the following will appear on the next Commission agenda: An update on 'Aliomanu accesses. Prioritizing improvements for coastal access, creation of a Permitted Interaction Group related to the prioritization, and other related matters regarding the access inventory list. The Commission's At-Large vacancy. An update on the Hanapēpē parcels acquisition. An update on the Kīlauea Mauka access. Possible discussion about a potential Charter Amendment related to changing use of the Fund to support all accesses and not just coastal accesses. 				
	<u>K.2.</u> The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Public Access, Open Space, and Natural Resources Preservation Fund Commission will be scheduled for October 10, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.				
T	Resources I reservation rund Commission will be scheduled for October 10, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.	Ms.	Dizon	moved	for
L. Adjournment				moved the me	

Public Access, Open Space & Natural Resources Preservation Fund Commission Open Session August 8, 2024

SUBJECT	DISCUSSION		ACTION			
				Ms. Shintani	seconded	the
				motion. Motion	carried 6:0	0.
				The meeting was 2:50 p.m.	ıs adjourne	ed at
Submitted by: Lisa Oyama Reviewed and Approved by:						
Lis	a Oyama, Commission Support Clerk		Robin Pratt, Vice C	hair		
(X) Approved as c() Approved with	circulated. a amendments. See minutes of meeting.					